

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole Secretary

May 29, 2009

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL smlewis6@bellsouth.net

Mr. Michael S. Lewis, Director Lewis Funeral Home 6405 Highway 90 West Milton, Florida 32570

Dear Mr. Lewis:

On May 19, 2009, Department representatives with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, the Fields of Faith Crematory and Tribute Center, ID 1131128. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-8300, extension 1222 or via e-mail at Jennifer.Waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

a Mitchell

Erica Mitchell Air Compliance Supervisor

EM/jw/c

Enclosure

"More Protection, Less Process" www.dep.state.fl.us



HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	NNUAL (INS1, INS2) [E-INSPECTION (FUI) [COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	· · ·			
AIRS ID#: 1131128 DATE	: <u>5/19/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>2:17 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>3:04 P</u>	M		
FACILITY NAME: FIELDS OF FAITH CREMATORY & TRIBUTE CENT						
FACILITY LOCATION: 4777 W Spencer Field Rd						
	PACE 32571					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MICHAEL LEWIS PHONE: (850)623-2243						
CONTACT NAME: Chas	se Lewis	PHONE	E: (850)623-2243			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD	e: 9/15/2007 / 9/15/20 (effective date) (end date					
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECC</u> (check ☑ appropriate b		<u>REMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.	A.C.			
 (check appropriate t 1. Were there any object 2. Was a visible emission 	box(es)) tionable odor(s) detected? ons test conducted during t	REMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.		Yes No		
 (check ☑ appropriate b 1. Were there any object 2. Was a visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstration days prior to the AG 	box(es)) tionable odor(s) detected? ons test conducted during t ter individual source comp P Notification form submi	this site visit according to EPA Me bliance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to e	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date?	□Yes ⊠ No		
 (check ☑ appropriate b 1. Were there any object 2. Was a visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstration days prior to the AG (Rule 62-296.401(5)) 4. In order to demonstration completed within 6000000000000000000000000000000000000	box(es)) tionable odor(s) detected? ons test conducted during t te individual source comp P Notification form submi (i), F.A.C.)	this site visit according to EPA Me bliance, was an annual visible emissi	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.)			
 (check ☑ appropriate b 1. Were there any object 2. Was a visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstration days prior to the AG (Rule 62-296.401(5)) 4. In order to demonstration completed within 60 a) Carbon Monoxide volume, dry basis, control (Ref.: Chapter 62) b) Oxygen test performed c) Particulate matter 	box(es)) tionable odor(s) detected? ons test conducted during t terminate individual source comp P Notification form submit (i), F.A.C.)	this site visit according to EPA Me bliance, was an annual visible emiss ssion, and within 60 days prior to e bliance were the remaining applicat otification form submission? (Rule or below the requirements of 100 p burly average basis and tested acco Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A s equal to or below the requiremen	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by rding to EPA Method A.C.)?	□Yes ⊠ No		
 (check ☑ appropriate b 1. Were there any object 2. Was a visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrate days prior to the AG (Rule 62-296.401(5)) 4. In order to demonstrate completed within 60 a) Carbon Monoxide volume, dry basis, control (Ref.: Chapter 62 b) Oxygen test perfore c) Particulate matter dry standard cubic for (Ref.: Chapter.62-29) 5. Was all emissions test 	pox(es)) tionable odor(s) detected? ons test conducted during t the individual source comp P Notification form submi (i), F.A.C.)	this site visit according to EPA Me obliance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to e obliance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule or below the requirements of 100 p purly average basis and tested according Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.4) is equal to or below the requirement ed to 7% O_2 and tested according to purce operating at the manufacture	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by rding to EPA Method A.C.)?	 Yes ⋈ No 		
 (check ☑ appropriate b 1. Were there any object 2. Was a visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrate days prior to the AG (Rule 62-296.401(5)) 4. In order to demonstrate completed within 60 a) Carbon Monoxide volume, dry basis, control (Ref.: Chapter 62 b) Oxygen test perfore c) Particulate matter dry standard cubic for (Ref.: Chapter.62-29) 5. Was all emissions test capacity?6. Was CO & PM comp 7. Was the Department 8. Was the required test 	box(es)) tionable odor(s) detected? ons test conducted during t the individual source comp P Notification form submi (i), F.A.C.)	this site visit according to EPA Me obliance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to e obliance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule or below the requirements of 100 p pourly average basis and tested according Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.4) s equal to or below the requirement ed to 7% O_2 and tested according to	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by rding to EPA Method A.C.)?	□Yes No □Yes No		

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re	cording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
2) Monitoring device	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	Yes No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
6) Adjustments	Yes No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes 🗌 No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one \square box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
$\gamma \square$	
3. If constructed <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	Yes No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	Yes No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	
@ 1800° F?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1600^{\circ}F$	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	🖾 Yes 🗌 No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	on
process begins in the primary chamber?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	v
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	□Yes 🖂 No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	\boxtimes Yes \square No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	\boxtimes Yes \square No
of the operator is employment or for an additional two years after termination of employment.	

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	⊠No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP of	r	
local program office?	Yes	No
2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent that a Department air construction permi was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit?	t □Yes	No
3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction permit was required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates?	□Yes □Yes	□No □No

Jennifer Waltrip

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Inspector's Signature

5/19/09

Date of Inspection

May 2010

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Department representatives met with Mr. Chase Lewis on May 19, 2009 for the unannounced annual air program compliance inspection. Mr. Lewis operates and maintains required records for the cremation unit.

The cremation unit was not in operation during the time of the inspection. Mr. Lewis stated the unit can not be loaded until the temperature reaches 1675°F, but they wait until it reaches 1700°F to ensure the temperature does not drop below 1600°F at any time.

Circle charts were available on site and reviewed for compliance with the continuous monitoring requirements. According to facility personnel, the unit is equipped with an opacity meter that is calibrated bi-monthly. After 1,000 cremations have occurred, the manufacturer will come on site to inspect the unit and perform calibrations on a regular basis. At the time of this insepction, 1,000 cremations had not yet occurred; thus, records for these calibrations had not yet been created. The unit is inspected once every two months by personnel on site. A log of these inspections and any repairs made was made available to Department personnel during the inspection.

A visible emissions (VE) test was last performed on July 9, 2008 and resulted in an average opacity of 0%. The Department was notified on May 14, 2009 that the next VE test will occur on June 17, 2009.