	WENTAL PROTECTION	
No.	Ner N	
FL	ORIDA	
1		

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



# COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/I	DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0310538 DA	TE: <u>4/10/13</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART	:
FACILITY NAME: OL	DCASTLE COASTAL-JA	CKSONVILLE PLANT		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 5959 SOUTEL DR			
	JACKSONVILLE	32219-3739		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: greg.nitz@old CONTACT NAME: J( Email: john.widell@ ENTITLEMENT PERIC	OHN WIDELL* oldcastle.com	/2017	PHONE:       (904)713-99         Mobile:       (904)486-01         PHONE:       (813)367-97         Mobile:       (813)373-09	76 780
Facility Section         PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE         SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE       SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
<ol> <li>Name(s) of facility rep Brief Notes: <u>Walked</u></li> </ol>	RODUCTORY MEETING presentative(s): <u>Shawn Eck</u> <u>thru facility with Shawn Ec</u> /12 On Eu's2&4,all Ve's sh	hoff hoff Plant clean and free		
<ol> <li>Is the Authorized Repu If no, who is?:</li> </ol>	resentative still GREG NIT	Z*?		XesNo
If different, did the fac 3. Is the facility contact s If no, who is?:	cility provide an administrat still JOHN WIDELL*?	ive update within 30 days	?	- YesNo YesNo
	cting VE test(s) during toda ance authority notified at lea			

.....

<u>1-CCB Plant-silo#1 Center (white cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>		
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>		
	Yes No Yes No Yes No	

PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the followir</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X</li> <li>Y</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? X</li> </ul>	es
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	'es

	<ul> <li>owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?</li> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?</li> </ul>	_	🗌 No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🗌 No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		⊠ No □ No

🗌 No

🗌 No

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: <u>6/30/2011</u>	
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? 🛛 Yes	No No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	No No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions $< 20\%$ opacity? $\square$ N/A $\square$ Yes	No No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🛛 Yes	No No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	
control emissions? Xes	🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? Xes	No No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles? Xes	No No
	Ì
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	Ì
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? [] Yes	🖾 No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? [] Yes	🗌 No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	I

3 – CCB Plant-silo#3 South (slag) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions
--

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>6/30/2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? ☐ Yes If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ☐ Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? ☐ N/A ☐ Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<ul> <li><u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u></li> <li><u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u></li> <li>1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:</li> </ul>	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes	🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? X Yes	🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	□ No
<ul> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?</li> </ul>	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	No No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	∐ No

**Emissions Unit Section** <u>4 – CCB Plant-brick/paver tumbler w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>6/30/2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<ul> <li><u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u></li> <li><u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u></li> <li>1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: <ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	□ No □ No
<ul> <li>owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne</li> <li>particulate matter?</li></ul>	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? X Yes	D No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? Yes</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	□ No □ No

5 – CCB Plant-block/brick mfg plant, w/central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions
---

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	
1. Date of last inspection: 6/30/2011	
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes	□ No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions $< 20\%$ opacity? $\square$ N/A $\square$ Yes	∐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
	□ No
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes	
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	<b>—</b>
control emissions? Xes	No No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? Xes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? X Yes	
particulate matter from stock piles /	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	No No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	_
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? [] Yes	🖾 No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? [] Yes	No No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	L
c. what caused the problem(s) (if known):	

# Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
<ol> <li>Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:         <ul> <li>a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?</li> <li>b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Yes	□ No □ No □ No
<ol> <li>Does this facility include:         <ul> <li>a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	_	🛛 No
<ul> <li>b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?</li> <li>If YES, what other general permit units or activities?</li> </ul>		🛛 No
<ul> <li>3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:</li> <li>a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?</li> <li>b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?</li> <li>c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?</li> <li>d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?</li> <li>e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
$\frac{0 \text{ gal diesel/yr} + 0 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} + 0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + 0 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr} 23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} \frac{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} \frac{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \frac{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}$	ne/yr	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🛛 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
2. Does the owner or operator:	res	
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	Yes	🗌 No
<ul><li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li></ul>		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	•			
box for each question) box for each question and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i> )					
<ul> <li>2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ul>	🗌 Yes	🗌 No			
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]</li> </ul>		🗌 No			
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(	🗌 Yes	No No			
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No			
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:					
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose?	ge)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No			
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No			
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes	No No			
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	•			
Administrative Changes:	DOX IOI CACII	question)			
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not					
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u		_			
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?		🛛 No			
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	🗌 Yes	No No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:					
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		M N-			
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	L Yes	🖂 No			

<ul><li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li></ul>	Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submi 30 days prior to the change?		🗌 No

William Coffman

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

4/10/13

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Vena tumbler installed.AGP renewal form submitted 4/20/12.