

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ⊠ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) □				
RE-INSPECTIO	N (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
FACILITY: Concrete On Call, Inc.		DISTRICT:		
DBA/Site Name: North Pinellas Plant		Southwest		
ADDRESS: 12354 44th Street North		CONTACT PHONE:		
Pinellas Park, FL		727-528-4683		
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 2/5/2016 Renewal Date: 1/6/2016		
1030513 001	1030513-002-AG	Test Date: 12/31/2014		
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Meter-Mix concrete plant: Cement storage silo, weigh hopper, loading chute, and aggregate storage piles. Silo, weigh hopper, and auger-type loading controlled by a Belgrade BST-100 Baghouse.				
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	$eck \square only one box)$		
6/5/13		iance; Significant Non-Compliance		
	PART I: General Review:			
1. Permit File Review		⊠Yes ☐ No		
2. Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No		
<i>Comments:</i> Mr. Gordon Wardell met with me, answered questions, and provided the requested maintenance logs. Mr. Charles Spencer also assisted me in answering questions and touring the facility.				
3. Is the Authorized Representative sti	ill: <u>Gordon Wardell</u> ?	⊠Yes ☐ No		
Comments: The e-mail address is: wgwardell@yahoo.com				
4. Is the facility contact still: Gordon Wardell?				
Comments:				
The e-mail address is: wgwardell@yahoo.com				
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]				
PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.				
	x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in			
Compliance Demonstration 1. □ New Facilities / □ New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?				
compliance test?				
Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?				
The last visible emission test, conducted on $\frac{1/9/13}{}$ resulted in an opacity of $\frac{0.0}{}$ % for the highest				
six minute average. [62-296.414(1) F.A.C.] 4. Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.] \boxtimes Yes \square No				
5. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)				
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] ⊠ Yes ☐ No.				
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,				

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]	- 🛚 Yes 🗌 No		
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.]b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]	Yes No		
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]	- Yes No		
<i>Note:</i> N/A – There is no weigh hopper (batcher) stationary at the facility. This task is performed by the facility trucks off site.			
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Yes No a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? Yes No			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.			
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Is this facility: 1) a \boxtimes stationary; 2) a \square relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, \square stationary and relocatable			
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check \Box only one box.)			
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:	•		
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]			
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons	Tes No		
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons 3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all	fuels		
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Relocation Notification $-(Rule\ 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b.,\ F.A.C.)$	100 110		
1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)	- □ Vas □ No		
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication			
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6))			
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	- 🗌 Yes 📙 No		
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	- 🗌 Yes 🔲 No		
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>			
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control)		
unconfined emissions	⊠ Yes □ No		
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the followin 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	□ Yes ⊠ No		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠ Yes □ No		

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>		
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No		
o, mo o, open, om o, o parameter and general meters and more promise and m		
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
Administrative Changes:		
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative		
not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 🗌 Yes 🔀 No		
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No		
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]		
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Yes No		
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership		
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been $[62-210.310(2)(b)2]$		
a) installation of any new process equipment? \square Yes \simeq No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes 🗵 No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?		
d) Change in ownership Yes No		
If any of the answers to $1a(1-1)d$ is <u>Yes</u> , a new registration form and appropriate fee should		
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change No		
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]		
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?		
In the answer is \underline{Yes} , proceed to a) and b).		
a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?		
b) Did the notification include:		
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?		
continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? Yes No		
PART VI: Comments O&M Plan		
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:		
(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;		
(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;		
(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;		
(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;		
(5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:		
a. When maintenance and observations were performed;		
b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and		
c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.		
[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]		
Reviewed records for the months of		

Comments:

Inspector's Signature	~4/2014 Approximate Date of Next Inspection
inspector s rame	-
Brennan Farrington Inspector's Name	6/5/13 Date of Inspection
Danier Caminatan	C/5/12
I discussed having the maintenance logs describe the procedures comments should distinguish when the filter cartridges are manu Wardell to keep the maintenance logs up to date due to the fact maintenance was said to have been performed.	
operated by the end of the day and will continue to operate it da	lation. Mr. Wardell stated that he would be sure the sweeper was ily if possible.
Exit Interview:	
No VE was performed during inspection due to no activity occu	rring.
There is no weigh hopper (batcher) stationary at the facility. Thi	
I inquired about this frequency, it was explained that periodicall and manually clean the filters. This has been documented as "ch signed by the individual performing the work.	
Facility stockpiles were reduced and kept at a level below the w the sand pile was not.	ind breaks. The rock aggregate was being watered by sprinklers but
particulate matter from the yard and adjacent road. During the i site. Mr. Charles Spencer stated that the yard had not been swep	ally basis most of the time (permitting weather conditions) to remove inspection the yard had some accumulation of particulate matter onto the for the last few days due to the ground being wet. It was explained is wet at all. No fugitive dust was observed during inspection (no
The facility appears to be in compliance. The facility was in ove compliance. Maintenance procedures have been performed rout occurring during inspection.	erall good condition. Emissions testing has been performed and in inely and documented in the appropriate logs. No activity was

 $H: \label{localization} Like the local large of t$

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06