

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ⊠ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) □					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FACILITY: Concrete On Call, Inc. DISTRICT:					
DBA/Site Name: North Pinellas Plant	Southwest				
ADDRESS: 12354 44th Street Nor	CONTACT PHONE:				
Pinellas Park, FL	727-528-4683				
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 2/5/2016			
1030513 001	1030513-002-AG	Renewal Date: 1/6/2016 Test Date: 1/11/2000			
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Meter-Mix concrete plant: Cement storage silo, weigh hopper, loading chute, and aggregate storage piles. Silo, weigh hopper, and auger-type loading controlled by a Belgrade BST-100 Baghouse.					
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check \(\precedef \) only one box)				
4/18/11		liance; Significant Non-Compliance			
	PART I: General Review:				
1. Permit File Review		⊠Yes □ No			
2. Introduction and Entry		∑Yes □ No			
Comments: I met with Gordon Wardell who provided all requested documents and gave me a tour of the facility.					
Comments:	25 the Harmon Board Heart State Octaon ++ war work				
4. Is the facility contact still Gordon W. Comments:					
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]					
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Compliance Demonstration 1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No					
2. \(\sum \) Existing Facilities — (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test? \(\sum \) Yes \(\sum \) No					
Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?					
4. Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.	A.C.]			
	he department as soon as practical, but no late				
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes					
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
ι	unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]	⊠ Yes ∐ No		
8 4	Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer			
t	to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.)	□ Yes ⊠ No		
	a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.]b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]			
SEE COMMENT #1				
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]				
C	Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?			
	PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance			
1.	Is this facility: 1) a \boxtimes stationary; 2) a \square relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, \square stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check \square only one box.)			
2.	For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:			
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages	<u> Yes</u> No		
	listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	Yes No		
	1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equalsgallons			
	 2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons 3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 			
	4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons			
	5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of al	i fueis		
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and			
	are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?			
	[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	☐ Yes ☐ No		
	<u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)			
1.	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)	□ Yes □ No		
	a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication			
	at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6))	Yes No		
	to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	Yes No		
	If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below			
2.	Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at	□ V □ N-		
	least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	Yes No		
PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)				
1	(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
1.	Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions	⊠ Yes □ No		
	Which of the following methods are used:			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ✓ Yes ✓ No				
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control			
l	emissions?	$\nabla V_{os} \square N_{o}$		

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check [] appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?				
particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes:				
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No 				
Permit Effective Period - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Yes No				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? 🖂 Yes 🗌 No				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]				
a) installation of any new process equipment?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No				
d) Change in ownership				
If any of the answers to $1a - 1d$ is <u>Yes</u> , a new registration form and appropriate fee should have been submitted 30 days prior to the change No				
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?				
a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?				
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance? Yes No				
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance?				
DADT VII. Community				
PART VI: Comments O&M Plan				
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:				
(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;				
(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;				
(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;				
(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;				
(5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:				
a. When maintenance and observations were performed;				
b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and				
c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.				
[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]				
Reviewed records for the months ofApril 2010 – March 2011				
Comments:				

1.	This facility does not have a weigh hopper.		
2.	The O&M Plan was complete and up-to-date and the forms match what is in the file. There is no manufacturer's manual available for the dust collector, but Mr. Wardell provided a specifications sheet for the control device (see attached).		
3.	3. The facility has a paved yard and sweeps it every day. They apply water to the stock pile.		
Ex	it Interview:		
	nformed Mr. Wardell that the facility appears well kept on the pears to be in compliance at this time.	and the records are well maintained. I further informed him the facility	
	Shannon Ransom	4/18/11	
	Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection	
		~4/2012	
	Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06