

## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	· · · · · · -	COMPLAINT/DISCOVI	· / —	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	O:	
AIRS ID#: 0050088 DA	TE: <u>2/9/2009</u>	ARRIVE: 1:30pm	DEPART: <u>2:00pm</u>	
FACILITY NAME: FL	ORIDA VANTAGE CREMA	ATION SVC		
FACILITY LOCATION	<b>N:</b> 310 N Gay Ave			
	CALLAWAY 3240	)4		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: JO	OHNNY BROCK PHON	<b>IE:</b> (850)874-0818	
CONTACT NAME: F	elicia Boesch	PHON	<b>IE:</b> (850)874-0818	
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	<b>OD:</b> 5/7/2007 / 5/7/2012 (effective date) (end date			
PART I: INSPECTION		MDI I ANGE GIGNIEIGA	ANT Non COMDULANCE	
IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-CO	MPLIANCE SIGNIFICA	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
IN COMPLIAN	_			
IN COMPLIANO  PART II: TESTING/RE  (check  appropriate	ECORDKEEPING REQUIR te box(es))	REMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F	F.A.C.	
PART II: TESTING/RE (check  appropriate  1. Were there any obtained a visible emissible emissibl	te box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected?- ssions test conducted during the	REMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F	F.A.C.	Yes No
PART II: TESTING/RE (check  appropriat  1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demon	te box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected?- ssions test conducted during the strate individual source comparison.	REMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F  his site visit according to EPA M  liance, was an annual visible emi	F.A.C.  Lethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Lissions test conducted 60	] Yes ⊠ No ]Yes ⊠ No
PART II: TESTING/RE (check  appropriate   1. Were there any obtained   2. Was a visible emit   62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demon days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401)	ecorphic reprint the box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected?- ssions test conducted during the strate individual source compacts and the strategies in the strategies i	his site visit according to EPA M liance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to	F.A.C.  Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date?	
PART II: TESTING/RE (check  appropriate any observation of the second appropriate any observation of the second appropriate and the second appropriate appropriate and the second appropriate appropriate and the second appropriate and the	eccordinate box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected?  ssions test conducted during the strate individual source composition form submist (5)(i), F.A.C.)  strate individual source composition form submist (5)(i) and the strate individual source composition for the AGP Notation for t	his site visit according to EPA M liance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to liance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule or below the requirements of 100	E.A.C.  Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter  Jessions test conducted 60  Deach anniversary date?  Jessions testing  Deach and testing	Yes ⊠ No
PART II: TESTING/RE (check  appropriate    1. Were there any obtoo 2. Was a visible emine  62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demone days prior to the   (Rule 62-296.401) 4. In order to demone completed within a) Carbon Monore volume, dry basis   10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test per      Description	eccording to the AGP No according to EPA Meritane to the the the total according to EPA Meritane to the total according to th	his site visit according to EPA M liance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to diance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule or below the requirements of 100 purly average basis and tested according to the submission of the su	E.A.C.  Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date?  Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date?  Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date?  Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date?  Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date?  Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date?	Yes ⊠ No
PART II: TESTING/RE (check  appropriat  1. Were there any obtoes 2. Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demon days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401  4. In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Monor volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate mandry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62-	eccording to the AGP No strate individual source compared for the AGP Notification form submis (5)(i), F.A.C.)	his site visit according to EPA M  liance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to  liance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule or below the requirements of 100 purly average basis and tested according to 100 days prior to 100	E.A.C.  Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date?  Jeach anniversary date?	Yes ⊠ No Yes □ No Yes □ No
PART II: TESTING/RE (check  appropriate  1. Were there any obtoo  2. Was a visible emit  62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demon  days prior to the  A  (Rule 62-296.401 4. In order to demon  completed within  a) Carbon Monor  volume, dry basis  10 (Ref.: Chapter  b) Oxygen test per  c) Particulate mandry standard cubic  (Ref.: Chapter.62- 5. Was all emissions  capacity? 6. Was CO & PM co	ECORDKEEPING REQUIR te box(es))  Dejectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during the strate individual source composite (5)(i), F.A.C.)  Instrate individual source composite (5)(i), F.A.C.)  Instrate individual source composite (60 days prior to the AGP Notation (CO) emissions equal to concept (CO) emissions equal to concept (CO) emissions to the AGP Notation (CO) emissions equal to concept (CO) emissions to the AGP Notation (CO) emissions equal to concept (CO) emissions to the AGP Notation (CO) emissions equal to concept (CO) emissions to the AGP Notation (CO) emissions equal to concept (CO) emissions to the AGP Notation (CO) emissions equal to concept (CO) emissions equal to con	his site visit according to EPA M liance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to liance were the remaining applicatification form submission? (Rule or below the requirements of 100 burly average basis and tested according to 100 days prior to 100 burly average basis and tested according to 100 days prior to 100 burly average basis and tested according to 100 days prior to 100 days pri	Ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter dissions test conducted 60 or each anniversary date? dissions test conducted for each anniversary date?  Disparts per million by fording to EPA Method 5 disparts per million by fording to EPA Method	Yes No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there <b>Continuous Emissions Monitoring System</b> (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re-	
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	cording or such
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes □ No
2) Monitoring device	⊠Yes □ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes ☐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one <b>box</b> )	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ <b>1600°F</b> ?	∐Yes ∐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	☐Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	☐Yes ☐ No
4. If constructed <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tin	ne
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes □ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes ☐ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes ☐ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	<u> </u>
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes □ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	⊠ 1 €2
	□Vos ⋈ No
this location?	∐Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes □ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes ∐ No

Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.				
rent than that noted on the most rent submit a new and complete  Yes  Yes  Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No			
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?				
2/9/2009				
Date of Inspection				
Approximate Date of Next Inspection	<u>—</u>			
	Yes   Yes			

**COMMENTS:** I met with the facility manager, Ms. Felicia Boesch and Mr. Johnny Broch one of the owners, who provided me with all the records I requested. The crematory was not in operation at the time of my visit. The last VE test was performed on April 9, 2008 with a reported VE of 0%. VE testing is scheduled to be performed at this facility by the end of March 2009. Part II, question 4 information was provided to the Department from data retrieved from an identical crematory unit.