auto	ATAL PROTECTION	Sec.
d SHOW	N	Calue
FLO	RIDA	-
FLO	RIDA	1

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 7775315 DATE: 03/25/2009 ARRIVE: 10:16 am DEPART: 1:36pm FACILITY NAME: C & M ROAD BUILDERS, INCBelgrade Silo FACILITY LOCATION: 6520 33rd Street East SARASOTA 34243 SARASOTA 34243 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MARK MCCABE PHONE: (941)758-1933 CONTACT NAME: PHONE: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/2/2006 / 3/2/2011 (effective date) (end date)					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?					

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
 <u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? Yes No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes X No
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable ⊠; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)	
 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.</i>)	
 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? □Yes No b) material processed on a monthly basis? □Yes No c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)? □Yes No 	

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes 🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to co	ontrol
emissions?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/op	erator to
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Yes No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No

PART IV: Special Conditions And PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- C) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most

	recent notification form?	<u>Yes</u>	🖂 No
d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
	notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
	local program office?	Yes	☐ No

Wendy D. Simmons

b

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

03/25/2012

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

03-25-2009

COMMENTS: Pre-inspection review: Entitlement began on 3/2/06. This facility was found operating w/o entitlement in 10/25/05. Last VE testing was conducted on 01/04/06. Found no testing for 2007 or 2008. ARM's says 1 EU with several points no point descriptions have been entered in ARM's. Need to issue FWN for 2007 and 2008 testing and missing relocation notices. Mr. McCabe forwarded relocation notices from 2006 to date to me on Monday 02/16/2009. Spoke with Mr McCabe on 02/17/2009. I informed Mr. McCabe that the facility is out of compliance. Mr. McCabe stated all his facilities except one are currently at the North Port yard location. Inspection Findings: Mr. Lynn Robinson of Southern Environmental Services conducted the testing. Photos were taken durig my visit at this site. I did witness all thirty minutes of the VE testing. Mr. Knowlton answered checklist questions. C & M Road Builders has a total of three relocatable facilities. During my time with Mr. Knowlton, I completed several compliance assistance items with him. I explained the requirements in the Concrete Batch Plant General Permit rules and highlighted each of the items in a copy of the forms I provided to him. I helped Mr. Knowlton navigate to the FL DEP Air webpages that include the relocation notices, permit forms, district divisions maps, and district contact information. I also provided Mr. Knowlton with each of the facility's permit numbers and the information for Sarasota County which included address, phone number, and contact name of Ms. Susan Cameron. I went over each facility's permit expiration dates and described the requirements necessary for reregistration, paying close attention to the 30 day timeframe requirement for reregistration. I discussed testing requirements for each facility and issued a Field Warning Notice for missing 2007 and 2008 testing for all three facilities; as well as missing relocation notices that have since been provided to the Department. I also provided Mr. Knowlton with my business card in case he had additional questions in the future. I also provided rule reference information for fuel sulfur content in the GP permit requirments for the crusher facility.