

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 7775314 DATE: 10/29/2008 ARRIVE: 2:03 pm DEPART: 2:10pm		
FACILITY NAME: C & M ROAD BUILDERS INC.		
FACILITY LOCATION: 6520 33rd Street East		
SARASOTA 34219		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MARK MCCABE PHONE: (941)758-1933		
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/2/2006 / 3/2/2011 (effective data) (and data)		
(effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS _ Rule 62,296 414 F A C		
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?───────────────────────────────────		
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	⊠Yes □ No	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes No		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?———————————————————————————————————		
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
	; []	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable ☑; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processin plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
paving and maintenance of roads, parking at application of water or environmentally safe emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and re-entrainment, and from building or work at reduction of stock pile height, or installation particulate matter from stock piles?		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?————————————————————————————————————		
Wendy D. Simmons	10/29/2008	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	03/25/2009	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: Pre-inspection review: Entitlement began on 3/2/06. This facility was found operating w/o entitlement in 10/25/05. Last VE testing was conducted on 01/04/06. Found no testing for 2007. ARM's says 1 EU with several points. Need to verify EU's/EP's. Need startup and shutdown dates if not operating and letter to DEP indicating why testing was not conducted. If operating, need to issue FWN for 2007 testing. If not at this location, need FWN for moving without notifying. Inspection findings: Lot at location indicated above was empty. Photos were taken of empty lot during my visit to the site. No equipment was at this location and the gates closed and locked. I contacted Mr. Mark McCabe on 2/12/2009 and left a voice mail for him to contact me. I spoke with Mr. McCabe at 10am on 02/12/2009. See attached conversation record. Equipment is currently located in North Port, Florida. Mr. McCabe will forward relocation notices from 2006 to date to me on Monday 02/16/2009. Mark stated he would call me to discuss a date and time for conducting a compliance assistance meeting and inspection on the equipment. Spoke with Mr McCabe on 02/17/2009. See attached conversation record. This facility is currently out of the SWD jurisdiction in Sarasota County. I informed Mr. McCabe that the facility is out of compliance, but I have not scheduled a combined Sarasota/SWD inspection. Mr. McCabe stated all his facilities except one are currently at the North Port yard location. The last unit (crushing facility) may return to main yard sometime in December 2008. On March 25, 2009, Visible Emissions testing was conducted on this facility and I witnessed testing, conducted and inspection and compliance assistance with Mr. Bob Knowlton. Please see inspection report dated 03/25/2009.