

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0112660 DATE: <u>09/18/2006</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:00 AM</u> DEPART: <u>11:00AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: IDEAL AUTO COLLISION	
FACILITY LOCATION: 2990 SIMMS STREET	
HOLLYWOOD 33020-	
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: MICHAEL PIERRO	PHONE: (954)921-7918
CONTACT NAME:	PHONE:
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLEM	MENT PERIOD: 3/2/2006 / 3/2/2011 (effective date) (end date)
	(CHECHVE date) (CHa date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	k ☑ only one box)
☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLI	IANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rul (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	e 62-210.300, F.A.C.
1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other th	an the surface coating operations and emissions units
	iteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or
2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain reco	ords to document the VOC content of the coatings
and the quantity of the coatings used? 3. Does the owner/operator retain, and make available f	\ Yes \ \ No
of at least five years?	🖂 Yes 🗌 No
4. Is the total quantity of VOC's in such coatings 44 lbs5. Does the amount of coatings used, include solvents a	
for cleanups?	
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE	REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT)
emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, I 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the d	F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) Yes No lischarge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to
	.) Yes No

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	E REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)
b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excess c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., v d) implementing inventory control practices to prev e) implementing management practices to reduce V 1. spraying light colored coatings before dark cycles?	of reducing VOC emissions by: effective application with a minimum of overspray? sive coating? waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)? vent spillage? Yes No Yes No
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office?	
A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62)	
New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office?	Yes No nout replacement? Yes No ly different than that noted on the most Yes No let the owner submit a new and complete 2-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or Yes No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office?	Tyes No nout replacement?

during inspection. Two spray booths on site. Filters maintained and changed as necessary.