

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

> Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389

Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

March 30, 2011

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL krspatel@aol.com

Mr. Kirit R. Patel Lynn Haven Dry Cleaners 2008 South Highway 77 Lynn Haven, Florida 32444

Dear Mr. Patel:

On March 2 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Lynn Haven Dry Cleaners Facility ID 0050086. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or *mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us*.

Sincerely,

Sally M. Cooey Panama City Branch Administrator

SMC/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

SWOTAL MOTECTION	
FLORIDA	

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DI ARMS COMPLA	SCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0050086 DATE: <u>3-2-2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:29</u>	DEPART: <u>1:02</u>		
FACILITY NAME: LYNN HAVEN DRY CLEANERS				
FACILITY LOCATION: 2008 S Hwy 77				
LYNN HAVEN 32444-4	4232			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: KIRIT Email: krspatel@aol.com CONTACT NAME: NA Email: NA ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/12/2011 / 2/12/2016 (effective date) (end date)		PHONE: (850)265-6535 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:		
[
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check I only one box)				
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPL	LIANCE SIG	NIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
L				
PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check I only one box in A) - Rule 62-213.300 FAC				
A. 1. Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, $x < 140$ gal/yr transfer only, $x < 200$ gal/yr both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)3. Existing large area source \Box dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)5. Ineligible for General Permit \Box d rop store/out of business/petroleum / facility exceeds above limits	transfer only, 2 both types, x < (constructed of 4. New large are dry-to-dry only transfer only, 2 both types, 140	y, $x < 140$ gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr n or after 12/9/91)		

B. The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (perc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was 114.00 gallons.

PART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check ☑ x for each c	only one juestion)
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
 Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? 	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	No	N/A
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC				

(Refer to Part II-A.1.-4. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u>, this form)

1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V.

2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.

3. If the facility classification is an **existing large area source**, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber . **Complete both sections A and B below.** *Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993*

4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.

A.	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> :		`	check ☑ x for each q	only one uestion)
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	\square	Yes	🗌 No	

PA	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)	 		
	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?	Yes Yes	D No	□ N/A □ N/A
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	Yes	D No	□ N/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	Note: Part IV B. is not applicable for this facility at this time.			

PART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC	`		only one
	box f	for each q	uestion)
1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	Yes [No	
2. Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ?	Yes [No	
3. Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:			
a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	Yes [No	N/A
 b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt? 	Yes [No	□ N/A
4. Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments?	Yes [No No	N/A
5. Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained?	Yes [No No	N/A
6. Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine? 🖂	Yes [No No	
7. Are deviation reports maintained?	Yes [No	N/A
a) Problem corrected?	Yes [No	N/A
8. Is a compliance plan maintained, if applicable?	Yes [No	N/A

P	ART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check 🗹 only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	box for each question)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used	
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to	
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate	
	<i>procedure)</i> ? 🖂	Yes 🗌 No
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer	
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes 🗌 No 🖾 N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of	
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along	
	the interface periphery?	Yes 🗌 No
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or	
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per	
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes 🗌 No 🖾 N/A
6.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations	
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and	
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting	
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? $\hfill \hfill \$	Yes No N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn	nell or touch) while the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?	
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection of perceptible leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Xes No N/A h) Stills Xes No	
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog	genated hydrocarbon detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage	graph shall satisfy the
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $63.322(k)$ or (1))	
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills c) Filter gaskets and seating Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers	Yes No N/A Yes No N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required? Leak log documentation RO Assurances Structure of the second se					
C. Mark Sumner	March 2, 2011				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
Mark Sen	March 2012				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS: Mr. Kirit Patel, allowed me access to the fact	ility's dry cleaning machines and provided me with all requested				

records. This facility operates two perc dry cleaning machines, one for dark colored fabrics, the other is for light colored fabrics. The facility uses a TIFKL-1A halogen leak detector for the required weekly leak checks (Fridays). All the perc and wastes containing perc were in tightly sealed and impervious containers. The machine doors appeared to be kept closed except during loading and unloading. The cartridge filters are drained in their housing using centripetal force to limit the amount of perc in the waste filter. The waste filters are stored in a sealed and impervious container and records were maintained for the proper disposal. Receipts were reviewed for January 2010 to February 2011 for all perc purchased. This facility has purchased 114 gallons of perc during the last 12 months. A facility record is kept with the inspections, maintenance, and repairs documented. A startup/shutdown/malfunction plan was provided for the dry cleaning machines, and the plan appears to be updated to match the current conditions at this facility. No signs of perc leaks or spills were noted at the time of this inspection.