

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) [RE-INSPECTION (FUI) [COMPLAINT/D	ISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 7770499 DATE: <u>1/5/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:30</u>	DEPART:	<u>11:40</u>
FACILITY NAME: STORAGE			
FACILITY LOCATION: 2620 Hunt Rd			
LAND O LAKES	34638-4338		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: E Email: bkhouri@earthtech.net CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/18/2009 / 9/18/20 (effective date) (end date	014	PHONE: (813)909-8000 Mobile: (727)560-5699 PHONE: Mobile:	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS IN COMPLIANCE	_) NIFICANT Non-COMPLI	ANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	<u> </u>		(check ☑ only one
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Doug Stanley</u>		,	box for each question)
Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still BUD KHOUL If no, who is?: If different, did the facility provide an administrative			✓ Yes
3. Is the facility contact still?			YesNo
Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today. If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least.			☐ Yes

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse, 35 T capacity subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 – CCB Plant-sno (cement) w/snotop bagnouse, 55 1 capacity subject to Reasonable	1 recautions	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 11/1/07 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	box for each	question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	<u>U</u> Yes	∐ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-reloc.batch/mxr/loadout,3 bin (flyash,cement,sand) subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 11/1/07 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	☐ Yes	only one question) No No No No No No
	j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hourk. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	□ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during insp	
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	☐ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes Yes	☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	Yes	☐ No
	 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected. 	n is separate ector	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut		∐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	Yes	□ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-batch plant power, RIC diesel engine, 174 Hp subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Date of last inspection: 11/1/07 2. Part Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	tan tan dada quadada)
Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	☐ N/A ☐ Yes ☐ No
d. Date of last VE test: 2/19/10 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 26.5 tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?	□ N/A □ Yes □ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the l If not, what was the problem (if known)?	ast VE test? Yes No
DADT H. STACK EMISSIONS from a sile maint homeon (hotaken) an athan	
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site v	risit? Yes No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? f. What was the silo loading rate?tons/hour	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust of	
If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? — 2) During the visible emissions test was the batching rate representative of the norm.	Yes No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the norm duration?	Yes No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust of	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (bat	cher) dust collector
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minut c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? 	e average.
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(che	ck 🗹 (only one
				uestion)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Y	es	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		'es	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Zes .	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	X Y	es	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared	<u>nne/yr</u> e/yr	≤ 1.00?	,
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption Y	es es	⊠ No
-				
<u>GI</u>	ENERAL CONDITIONS			only one question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Y	Zes -	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	. Y	es es	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?			□ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	3		

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	· ·	only one
 Is the facility: stationary ☐; relocatable ☒; or consisting concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing 	ng of both stationary and relocatable box for each g plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>	• ′
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2	.c below.)	☐ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Dep e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one bb. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Reloca	usiness day prior to changing location? X Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocat	n five business days following a relocation? Yes ion Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program	at least five business days prior to relocation? X Yes	☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an em		
	routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate	how long it was Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in o	duration? Yes	□ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one n question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not	
Administrative Changes:	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not all relocation of the facility or any emissions units or	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone associated with a change in ownership or with a physic operations comprising the facility; or any other similar 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not all relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes nin 30 days of the change? Yes	n question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone associated with a change in ownership or with a physic operations comprising the facility; or any other similar	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not all relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes nin 30 days of the change? Yes ship:	h question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not all relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes nin 30 days of the change? Yes ship:	h question) No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone associated with a change in ownership or with a physic operations comprising the facility; or any other similar 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there beer a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without re-	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not all relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes hin 30 days of the change? Yes ship: 1 Yes eplacement?	h question) □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not all relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes hin 30 days of the change? Yes ship: The splacement?	h question) No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone associated with a change in ownership or with a physic operations comprising the facility; or any other similar 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there beer a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without rec. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not all relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes ain 30 days of the change? Yes ship:	No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there beer a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not all relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes ain 30 days of the change? Yes ship:	No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there beer a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not all relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes ain 30 days of the change? Yes ship:	No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar. 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners. 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there beer a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not al relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes hin 30 days of the change? Yes ship: Yes Ye	No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar. 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners. 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there beer a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not all relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes ain 30 days of the change? Yes ship:	No No No No No No

COMMENTS: This facility is currently in storage and is not operable. The silo is being stored separately from the rest of the unit due to space constraints. No alterations have been made to the equipment and control device. The unit was tested in CY 2010, but it was not tested in 2008 or 2009 as it was in a long term shutdown state.