

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

T/DISCOVERY (CI)
PLAINT NO:
36 DEPART: <u>14:07</u>
<b>PHONE:</b> (863)607-4455
<b>PHONE:</b> (813)909-8000
pox)
SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
-296.414, F.A.C.
g to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
(check is appropriate box(es)	
<ul> <li>Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)</li> <li>1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li></ul>	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?————  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?————————————————————————————————————	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	to □Yes □ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)		
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))		
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)  1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant	nt take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe du</li> </ol>	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: as, stock piles, and yards? ust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
<ul><li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and of re-entrainment, and from building or work area</li><li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of</li></ul>	other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to as to reduce airborne particulate matter?	
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to miti	igate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No	
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>S</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	
1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?		
Joe Panetta/Malik Pickering	9/24/08	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	9/24/11	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: Boxes not checked means not applicable due	to type of operation or new rule in effect since January 10, 2007.	
inspection at the Hudson facility (7775393), we spoke to Lewis Lakes facility (this one1010499) to use as a mobile unit. Joe with Ron Broadrick (who is the son of Lewis Broadrick and c that they will need to submit another registration form for a relater that afternoon we met with Doug Stanley at the Land O'D.	onducted a follow up inspection at this facility. During the morning is Broadrick, who expressed that he would like to have the Land O' be Panetta expressed to Mr. Broadrick that he had this conversation co owner) during the last inspection in November of 2007 and also clocatable permit.  Lakes facility. Mr. Stanley is the manufacturing shop manager for the eat the time and he took us to see it at the rear of the property. I took	

some pictures to verify that the unit was not in use.

Back at the office a records review was conducted for 1010499. We found that the last VE test was performed on March 30, 2007. The next VE test was due on or before March 30,2008, which means that the VE test was more than a year overdue.

\*This facility as far as the walk through inspection is concerned is incomplicance. The facility will be referred to enforcement on a later date for the failure to submit a VE test by March 30, 2008.