

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO	· · ·			
AIRS ID#: 0210105 DAT	ΓΕ: <u>11/08/2010</u>	ARRIVE: 9:30 a.m.	DEPART: <u>10:20 a.m.</u>			
FACILITY NAME: M&	M DRY CLEANERS					
FACILITY LOCATION	: 1054 Pine Ridge Rd					
	NAPLES 34108-8960					
OWNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: mariomena440 CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO		RIO MENA PHONE Mobile: PHONE Mobile:	Ε:			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check only one box in A) - Rule 62-213.300 FAC						
transfer only, so both types, x < (constructed b 3. Existing large dry-to-dry only transfer only, so both types, 14 (constructed b 5. Ineligible fo	y, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr efore $12/9/91$) e area source y, $140 \le x \le 2,100 \text{ gal/yr}$ $200 \le x \le 1,800 \text{ gal/yr}$ $0 \le x \le 1,800 \text{ gal/yr}$ efore $12/9/91$) or General Permit \square t of business/petroleum /	 2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 14 transfer only, x < 200 g both types, x < 140 gal (constructed on or after dry-to-dry only, 140 ≤ transfer only, 200 ≤ x both types, 140 ≤ x ≤ (constructed on or after dry-to-dry only area for the dry-to-dry only area for the dry-to-dry only area for the dry-to-dry only. 	0 gal/yr gal/yr //yr r 12/9/91) e			
	volume of all perchloroethylene (was 45.00 gallons.	(perc) purchases made in each (of the previous 12 months by this dry			

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		,	check 🗹 ox for each o	only one question)		
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A		
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?		Yes	☐ No			
4. Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?		Yes	☐ No	□ N/A		
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	☐ No	N/A		
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?	. 🗆	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form) 1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. If the facility classification is a new small area source, the machine should be equipped						
 Complete section A. below. If the fa cility classification is an existing large area source, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993 If the facility classification is a new large area source, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below. 						
A. Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> :			check 🗹 ox for each o			
1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	- 🔲	Yes	☐ No			
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?		Yes	☐ No	□ N/A		
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?		Yes	☐ No	□ N/A		
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?		Yes	☐ No	N/A		
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	. 🗆	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	. 🗆	Yes	☐ No			

PA	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
B. 1.	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?	Yes		No		
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?	Yes		No		N/A
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?	Yes		No		N/A
	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	Yes		No		N/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	Yes		No		N/A
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	Yes		No		N/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?	Yes		No		N/A
1						ľ
	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	Yes		No		N/A
	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	Yes		No		N/A
	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	 Yes		No		N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	((check ox for e.		only o	one
6.		((check ox for e		only o	one
6. PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC	(bo	check ox for e	☑ each c	only o	one
6. PA	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	(bo	check ox for e	☑ each c	only o	one
1. 2. 3.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	(bo	(check ox for e	☑ each c	only o	one
1. 2. 3.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	Yes Yes	(check ox for each	each o	only o	one on)
1. 2. 3.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	Yes Yes Yes	(check ox for each	each control No	only o	one on)
1. 2. 3. 4.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	Yes Yes Yes	(check ox for e	No No No	only o questio	one on) N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	(check ox for e	No No No No	only o questio	one on) N/A N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	(check ox for each of the character)	No No No No No No No	only o	one on) N/A N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	(check ox for e	No N	only o	nne on) N/A N/A N/A

PA	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		(check 🗹	only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	bo	ox for each	question)
	☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used			
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to			
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate			
	procedure) ?	Yes	☐ No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer			
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of			
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along			
	the interface periphery? \boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or			
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per			
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations			
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and			
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting			
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? 🖂	Yes	☐ No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm	nell or	touch) while	le the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?			
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	ection	of perceptib	le leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills X		NoNoNoNoNoNoNo	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected $\underline{monthly}$ for $\underline{vapor\ leaks}$ using a halogen $\underline{monthly}$ for $\underline{monthly}$ f	enated	hydrocarbo	on detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this paragraph of the paragraph) of the paragraph of the system is in operation?	raph sl	hall satisfy th	ne
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l))			
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A N/A N/A Stills Yes Yes No N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNo	N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as ☐ Leak log documentation ☐ RO Assurances ☐ Explain other:	_				
ROBERT J. STEWART	11/08/2010				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	09/2011				
Robert J. Stewart					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

COMMENTS: Reinspected facility to check dry cleaning machine operation to verify temperature gauge was in working order and the exhaust temperature of the condensor was reading 45 degrees F or less. Also wanted to review owners leak and temperature notes from the period from 4/05/10 through 9/27/10, as documentation of this data was not recorded in the compliance calendar as revealed in the last inspection on 9/27/10. This inspection revealed that the owner did not have his leak and temp check notes available at the facility for review. The dry cleaning machine condensor temperature gauge was observed during operation of a normal load in the machine. The temperature at the end of the cooling cycle indicated on the temperature gauge was 36 degrees F, below the maximum permitted range of 45 degrees F. A strong odor of PERC was also noted 3-6 feet in front of the machine and appeared to be coming from in and around the door hatch of the machine. Owner was informed of a possible leak in this area and was advised to check gasket around the door and proper operation of the door closing mechanism. No annotations were seen in the calendar's leak checks documenting a strong odor in this area. The DEP calendar was now seen to have the data filled in for the missing period (4/5-9/27) with the temperature annotations consistently recorded as 45 degrees F. When asked about this consistent reading during the missing period, the owner (Mr. Mena) thought that one should record the maximum temperature for the condensor as stated in the calendar, although the actual true temperature had been annotated in the calendar for October and the first week in November 2010. Owner was requested to fax copies of his temp and leak detection notes from the missing period to the DEP South District's office for verification and review.