

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (C ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	,				
AIRS ID#: 7775310 001	DATE: <u>8/22/06</u>	ARRIVE: <u>2:00 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>3:30 PM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: Ac	tion Concrete LLC						
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 6201 Seminole Boulevard						
	Seminole, FL						
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	CIAL: <u>Richard K. Bailey</u> ?	PHONE: 727	-391-6442				
CONTACT NAME: R	ichard K. Bailey?	PHONE: 727	-391-6442				
REMITTANCE YEAR:	N/A ENTITLEN	MENT PERIOD: 1/8/06 (effective date)	/ 01/08/11 (end date)				
(check ☑ appropria <u>Stack Emissions</u> 1. Were visible emis	ssions tests conducted during this sit	te visit according to EPA Method 9	(Ref.: Chapter				
 Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? [Yes No Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? [Yes] No During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [Yes] No 							
 4. Are emissions from to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b) a) Was the batch b) During the vis duration? 5. If emissions from from the silo dust 	om the weigh hopper (batcher) opera "Yes", then continue on to question and continue on to question 5.) ing operation in operation during the tible emissions test, was the batching the weigh hopper (batcher) operation collector, are the visible emissions batching at a rate that is representation	ation controlled by the silo dust col ns 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is we visible emissions test?	lector? (If answer "No" then □Yes No batching rate and Yes □No or, which is separate) dust collector				

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
<u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) Xerometric Standard Standar
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? Yes No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? XYes No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ⊠Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable [concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)	
 a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year? c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? 	g]Yes ⊠ No]Yes ⊠ No]Yes □ No]Yes □ No]Yes □ No
 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? 	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (*continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

1.	Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin	ied
	emissions by:	

- \	······································		1		1, 1, 1, 11, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	or more of the following:
- 21	management of roads	narking areas	STOCK DILES	and varde	Which shall include one	or more of the following.
a	management of roads.	Darking areas.	SUCK DICS	and varus.	which shan meruue one	

1)	paving a	nd mair	ntenance of roads	, parking	areas,	stock piles,	and yard	ds?	 ⊠Yes	🗌 No

-2)) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control		
	emissions?	⊠Yes [No

- 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?----- Xes No
 - 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?-----
 ∑Yes
 No

b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?----- 🗌 Yes 🗌 No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
		🛛 No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?	Yes	🛛 No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	Yes	🗌 No

Mike Ojo Thomas

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

9/5/06

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS:

I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, as no tanker was onsite, and not batching at the time of the inspection. Reviewed records for the months of 1/2/06 through 8/22/06, the records were in compliance.

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT

EACH	1 1/1/3		D ID _ 2759					
FACII		Y: Action Concrete LLC Seminole Yard	Per_ID: 2758	DISTRICT: Southwest				
ADDR	RESS	5: 6201 Seminole Boulevard						
Contact: Richard Balley								
		Seminole, TE		Phone No: 727-391-6442				
ARMS			PERMIT NO.:	EXPIRATION DATE:				
7775	7775310 001 7775310-001-AG 01/08/11							
<i>EMIS:</i> Baghou		N UNIT DESCRIPTION: Co	oncrete Batch with 350 barrel Belgrade	cement silo, emissions controlled by a 225				
INSPE	ECT	ION DATE:	ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:	COMPLIANCE STATUS:				
8/22	2/200)6	\square INS2 or \square INS	\square IN \square MNC \square SNC				
		f Inspection:	□Re-inspection □Comp					
I yj	pe o							
1	Dorr	nit File Review	A. General Review:	Yes No				
		oduction and Entry		Yes No Yes No				
2.	mut	duction and Entry						
			rformed in order to determine if this f owner) was present during the facility	acility has been operating within applicable inspection of the emission unit.				
		ne Authorized Representative numents:	still: <u>Richard K. Bailey</u> ?	Yes No				
4.	<i>I</i> s tł	ne facility contact still: Richard	d K. Bailey?	Yes No				
		iments:						
M	S	_						
I M	S N							
N C	C		B. Specific Conditions					
		Relocation Notification Form [62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C.	(DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the	posing to change location shall submit a Facility Department at least 30 days prior to relocation;				
		operation of, one or more released same location as the concrete total combined annual facility material processed is less than by weight. The owner or oper consumption and material pro- the sulfur content of the fuel b	batching plant provided the resultant fa- wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less in 10 million tons per calendar year, and ator of the concrete batching plant shal beessed on a monthly basis. Fuel suppli- being bummed. [62-210.300(4)(c)2.e.,	n air general permit may operate, or allow the g plants using individual air general permits at the acility contains no additional nonexempt units, the s than 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the I the fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, I maintain a log book to account for fuel er certifications shall be maintained to account for F.A.C.]				

Ι	M N	S N	
N	C	C	B. Specific Conditions
			 Unconfined Emissions. The owner or operator shall take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions from hoppers, storage and conveying equipment, conveyor drop points, truck loading and unloading, roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards as required by Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C. For concrete batching plants the following shall constitute reasonable precautions: (a) Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1. Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, and yards. 2. Application of water or environmentally safe dust- suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions. 3. Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner or operator to mitigate re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter. 4. Reduction of stock pile height or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles. (b) Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck. [62-296.414(2)] Comments: The yard area was free of dust. There was no unconfined material onsite.
\boxtimes			Visible emissions tests of silo dust collector exhaust points shall be conducted while loading the silo at a rate that is
			representative of the normal silo loading rate. The minimum loading rate shall be 25 tons per hour unless such rate is unachievable in practice. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are also controlled by the silo dust collector, the batching operation shall be in operation during the visible emissions test. The batching rate during the emissions test shall be representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing and, if applicable, whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]
			process rate was limited to 25 tph.
\square			If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate from the silo dust collector, visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector exhaust point shall be conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual batching rate during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]
			<i>Comments:</i> Emissions from the weigh hopper \Box are \Box are not controlled by a separate dust collector. A separate test was not conducted at the appropriate rate.
			Each dust collector exhaust point shall be tested annually. New facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation, and annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. Existing facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit form and within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-296.414(4), F.A.C.] Comments: The test should be completed between 10/9/05 and 12/9/05. The last test was conducted on 11/15/05, and the test results were submitted on 11/16/05.
\boxtimes			Test Reports The required test report shall be filed with the PCDEM as soon as practical but no later than 45 days after the test is completed. [Rules 62-213.440 and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.] <i>Comments: The last test was conducted on 11/15/05, and the test results were submitted on 11/16/05.</i>
			Comments. The tast lest was conducted on 11/15/05, and the lest results were submitted on 11/10/05.

Ι	M N	S N	
N	C	C	B. Specific Conditions
			The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M)
			plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:
			(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;
			(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;
			(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;
			(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the
			premises of the permit applicant;
			(5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:a. When maintenance and observations were performed;
			b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and
			c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.
			d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.
			[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]
			Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 1/2/06 through 8/22/06, the records were in compliance.
			Comments. Reviewed records for the months of 1/2/00 through 0/22/00, the records were in complaince.
			C. General Procedure Requirements and Conditions
\square			Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the
			notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include:
			a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or
			b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit.
			[62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]
			Comments: This is non applicable at this time.
\square			Equipment Changes. In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment
			without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that
			noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit
			notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the Department.
			[62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.]
			Comments: No facility changes.
\square			A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the
			filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain
			all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of
			ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the
			pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations
			occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to
			use an air general permit.
			[General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.]
			Comments: The permit expires on 01/08/11. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than
			11/09/10.
Cl		C:	D. Other:
	-	-	nference S: I informed Mr. Bailey the emission unit appeared to be in compliance.
			ments: I was not able to perform a visible emission test at the time, as no tankers were on site, and not batching at
			the inspection. Reviewed records for the months of 1/2/06 through 8/22/06, the records were in compliance.
Ins	spect	tor(s	s): Mike Ojo Thomas, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division
	gnati		

Comments: I informed Mr. Bailey the emission unit appeared to be in compliance. Other Comments: I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, as no tankers were on site, and not batching at the time of the inspection. Reviewed records for the months of 1/2/06 through 8/22/06, the records were in compliance. Inspector(s): Mike Ojo Thomas, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division	D. Other:								
Other Comments: I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, as no tankers were on site, and not batching at the time of the inspection. Reviewed records for the months of 1/2/06 through 8/22/06, the records were in compliance. Inspector(s): Mike Ojo Thomas, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division	Closing Conference				\forall Yes \Box No				
the time of the inspection. Reviewed records for the months of 1/2/06 through 8/22/06, the records were in compliance. Inspector(s): Mike Ojo Thomas, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division	Comments: I informed	Mr. Bailey the emission un	it appeared to	be in compli	liance.				
	Other Comments: I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, as no tankers were on site, and not batching at the time of the inspection. Reviewed records for the months of 1/2/06 through 8/22/06, the records were in compliance.								
Signature(s) Date: 9/5/06	Inspector(s): Mike Ojo	Thomas, Pinellas County,	Air Quality Di	vision					
	Signature(s)				Date: 9/5/06				

CONTACT LOG? ___yes___, ACCESS? _yes__, ARMs? __yes___ H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\7775310 001 55639.doc