

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0510024 DATE: <u>08/29/06</u>	ARRIVE: <u>14:00</u> DEPART: <u>16:40</u>		
FACILITY NAME: LABELLE READY-MIX PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 413 South Industrial Loop Road			
LABELLE 33935			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: HUGH PERRY	<b>PHONE:</b> (904)355-1781		
CONTACT NAME: Earrol Smith	<b>PHONE:</b> (863)675-60		
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2006 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: /			
	(effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
Stack Emissions			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?   Yes No			
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? ☐Yes ☒ No			
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,			
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?			
4 Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) one			
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questi	eration controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer ions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then		
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)a) Was the batching operation in operation during the statement of	eration controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer ions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then		
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questi skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.) a) Was the batching operation in operation during t b) During the visible emissions test, was the batchi duration?	eration controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer ions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then		
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questi skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.) a) Was the batching operation in operation during to b) During the visible emissions test, was the batchi duration?	eration controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer ions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
	le 🗌		
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No		
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)		
(check  appropriate box(es))		
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)		
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by:		
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:		
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \bigsymbol{\text{\subsymbol{\text{Ves}}} \bigsymbol{\text{\subsymbol{\text{Ves}}}} \bigsymbol{\text{No}}		
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control		
emissions?		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pa	aved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
	educe airborne particulate matter? \big Yes \big No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind		
	missions at the drop point to the truck? \bigsymbol{\text{Yes}} \bigsymbol{\text{No}} No	
o) 400 cp,,, p		
[F		
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL</u> <u>CONDITIONS</u> <u>AND</u> <u>PROCEDURES</u> – Ru	ıle 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	
A. New or Modified Process Equipment		
<del></del>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form? Yes No		
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office? \Bysi No		
local program office:		
W/ Y ·	00/20/04	
Wayne Lewis	08/29/06	
In an antan's Manner (Diagon Daint)	D. C. of Landstine	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
T	A control Date (CN) of Instruction	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
<b>COMMENTS:</b> New GP submitted after twin silos found to be twin	split silos looking into greater then 30 day laps for testing	