

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DIS	SCOVERY (CI)		
]	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLA	NT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0970082 DAT	E: <u>July 30, 2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:10</u>	DEPART: <u>12:00</u>		
FACILITY NAME: MAS	SCHMEYER CONCRETE	COMPANY			
FACILITY LOCATION: 4870 IRLO BRONSON MEMORIAL HWY					
	ST CLOUD 34771	-8714			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: CONTACT NAME: KE Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO	VIN MCFARLAND	1 1 1 2015	PHONE: (561)848-9112 Mobile: (561)718-0551 PHONE: (407)908-9732 Mobile: (407)956-2101		
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: <u>TESTING/REC</u> (check ☑ appropriate	CORDKEEPING REQUI box(es))	<u>REMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296	.414, F.A.C.		
62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the ex 3. During visible emis at a rate that is reprunless such rate is the discount of this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b) and a) Was the batching b) During the visib duration? 5. If emissions from the from the silo dust controlled to the existence of the controlled to the existence of the existence	a silos, weigh hoppers (batcher) tent necessary to limit visil silons tests of the silo dust esentative of the normal silonachievable in practice?— the weigh hopper (batcher) the weigh hopper (batcher) a continue on to cond continue on to question go peration in operation dulle emissions test, was the because weigh hopper (batcher) ollector, are the visible em	chers), and other enclosed stable emissions to 5 percent of collector exhaust points was to loading rate, or at least at a point of controlled by the questions 4.a) and 4.b) below 5.)————————————————————————————————————	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter orage and conveying equipment pacity? s the loading of the silo conducted the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, e silo dust collector? (If answer w. If answer is "No" then of the normal batching rate and enduration dust collector which is separate opper (batcher) dust collector ching rate and duration?	Yes No No Yes No No Yes No Yes No	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	he ⊠Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	the ⊠Yes □ No
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es))]
	e 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant tak emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-s emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of win particulate matter from stock piles?	ards, which shall include one or more of the force piles, and yards? uppressant chemicals when necessary to contropaved areas under control of the owner/operator reduce airborne particulate matter?d breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	llowing: Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – I A. New or Modified Process Equipment	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without rep 	□Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?					
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the over notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050 local program office?	□Yes ⊠ No				
noun program office.		105 2410			
Michael Young	July 30, 2010				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_			
inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next hispection				
COMMENTS: The facility has been purchased and the VE was done on July 7, 2010					