

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)						
ΑI	IRS ID#: 7771291 DATE: <u>8/19/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>7:45 AM</u> DEPART	: <u>10:45 AM</u>					
FA	ACILITY NAME: EAGLE CRUSHER 1200-25CC-EUNICE AVE PLANT						
FA	ACILITY LOCATION: 2930 EUNICE AVE						
	ORLANDO 32808-3104						
CO	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DARRYL LANKER Email: CONTACT NAME: MIKE BYROADS Email: MBYROADS@JR-DAVIS.COM ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/19/2013 / 8/19/2018 (effective date) (end date)						
PA	Facility Section ART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)						
	☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMP	LIANCE					
D.A	ADT II. ONCUTE INTRODUCTORY MEETING						
	Name(s) of facility representative(s): Mike Byroads	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2.	Brief Notes: Is the Authorized Representative still DARRYL LANKER? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No					
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?						
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-impactcrusher,w/2deckscreen,325hpRICE,5conveyors

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Ις	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		,
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	🕅 Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Xes	□No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_	
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	_	
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	☐ Yes	⊠No

$\underline{1-NMMP\ Plant-impactcrusher,} w/2 deckscreen, 325 hpRICE, 5 conveyors$

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
<u>If</u>	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 6/20/2005		
	Wild was the 20 last constructed, mounted, of reconstructed of 2012000		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	_	_
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	<u></u> No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the }$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	☐No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		□No

$\underline{1-NMMP\ Plant-impactcrusher,} w/2 deckscreen, 325 hpRICE, 5 conveyors$

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	g	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 	☐ Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

$\underline{1-NMMP\ Plant-impactcrusher,} w/2 deckscreen, 325 hpRICE, 5 conveyors$

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	nissions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo					
initial startup of the EU?			I/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	nich there is mechanical	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in comple	iance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	·	☐ Yes	☐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	Yes	☐No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?			☐ Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator main					<u></u>
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through th	ne.		
		al basis in accordance with man			
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
		manufacturer to be accurate wit			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	11111 1230		
and					
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet soru	hher and th	ne.	
device has been calibrated on a					□No
		manufacturer to be accurate wit			140
of design scrubbing liquid flow	•	manufacturer to be accurate wit	IIII +3 /0		
4 When was the last VE test conduct	ad by the arranglances	ton fon this EU9			
4. When was the last VE test conduct		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	**************************************	□ Vas	□ No
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60		to been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		d		□ v	⊠ Ma
i. has the EU been tested durin				∐ Yes	⊠No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calenda	ar year?		☐ Yes	⊠No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow	<i>mer/onerator</i> for this u	nit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				Yes	□No
Rate: 150 TPH	occss rate that is represe	chative of the normal rate:			140
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 99			Yes	□No
c. The VE test conducted accord					140
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	phance with the opacity	mint: (See chart below)		□ 1es	NO
6. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>in</i> :	s <i>nector</i> for this unit du	ring this site visit?		X Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr					□No
Rate: 150 TPH	ocess rate that is represe	situative of the normal rate.		Z 103	
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 99			Yes	□No
c. The VE test conducted accord			·	∠J 103	□1
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				Yes	□No
a. Did the 12 test demonstrate comp	mance with the opacity	mint. (Bee chart below).	_	ZJ 103	110
	VF Ongo	city Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Suhnari	t OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	_	_		
		constructed, modified,		cted, modi	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		nstructed o	n or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
III onici unocou Eco	1 2070	10/0		7 /0	

Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-radial stacker,30",S/N 30240

		(check 🗹	only one	
	box for each question			
Ις	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		• /	
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,		
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant			
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No	
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	🔯 Yes	□No	
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No	
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	□No	
	\square crusher, \square grinding mill, \square bucket elevator, \boxtimes belt conveyor, \square bagging operation,			
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;			
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic			
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,			
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;			
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing			
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining			
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping			
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing			
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in			
	compliance with emissions limits. $\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through } \}$			
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building			
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}			
	an earlying particulate matter (114) emissions from one or more affected Boss,			
If a	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
If 1	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.			
5	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or			
٥.	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process			
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No	
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		ZJ1 (0	
•	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No	
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	<u> </u>	_	
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No	
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or			
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No	

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
	[Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
<u>If</u>	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 6/20/2005		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
1.	gregues to Organian 12 is "No" akin the following greations and go directly to Organian 10		
IJ	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the}$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	☐ Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	□No

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	☐ Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi	issions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor					
initial startup of the EU?			'A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ich there is mechanicali	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
c. Were initial ragicive emissions from	ar non-vent sunding op	omings ress than or equal to 770	spacity.		
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			☐ Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					2310
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	<u>.</u>		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				□ Vac	\square No
				☐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device n	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	iin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	ssure.}				
and	. 6.1	1 1.0			
b. a device for the continuous measur					
device has been calibrated on an				☐ Yes	∟No
{Note: The monitoring device n	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducte				_	_
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart					
 has the EU been tested during 	geach of the past 4 cale	ndar years?		☐ Yes	⊠No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	ar year?		☐ Yes	\boxtimes No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own				Yes	☐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		⊠ Yes	□No
Rate: <u>150 TPH</u>					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9?			⊠ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				Yes	□No
•	1 7	,		_	_
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	<i>pector</i> for this unit du	ring this site visit?		⊠ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				X Yes	□No
Rate: 150 TPH					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 97			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				Z 105	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	nance with the opacity	mint: (See chart below)			
	VE Opac	rity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	-		hai
		1		cted, modifi	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed or	or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
		•			

Emissions Unit Section 3 –NMMP Plant-radial stacker,30",S/N 30279

		(check 🗹	only one			
	box for each question					
Te ·	s the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants?					
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ry e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,				
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant					
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	□No			
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No			
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	□No			
	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	No			
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,					
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;					
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic					
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,					
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;					
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing					
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining					
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping					
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing					
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)					
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in					
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through					
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}					
	air carrying particulate matter (FM) emissions from one or more affected EOs.}					
If a	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to					
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.					
If 1	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.					
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or					
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process					
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No			
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a					
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No			
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_	_			
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No			
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		_			
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	☐ Yes	□No			

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	.Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
I f	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
J			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
	T to 100 a		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	□ v	□ Na
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Tes	∐No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } \}$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	□No

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Π Y	Zes .	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			_
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	<u> </u>	l'es	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	<u> </u>	l'es	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	<u> </u>	l'es	□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		l'es	□No
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	<u> </u>	<i>l</i> 'es	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	<u> </u>	l'es	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	<u> </u>	l'es	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Y	les les les les	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	C	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi		11 : :1: 100 1 6			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? Yes				□ Vac	□ No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through whith } A$			A	∐ Yes	∐ No
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}	air carrying particulai	e maner (1 m) emissions from			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	nce with the PM limit of	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
	8 1	3	1		
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en				Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					
a. a device for the continuous measure					
scrubber and the device has beer					
instructions?				∐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m	•	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nın +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	ssure. }				
b. a device for the continuous measur	ement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	ober and the		
device has been calibrated on an	9	•		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m				_	_
of design scrubbing liquid flow i	ate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducte			0	□ x z	□ N.
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 sb. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		U been tested within the past 5	years?	∐ Yes	□No
i. has the EU been tested during		ndar vears?		☐ Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested during				Yes	No
		. ,			
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own				☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? ————————————————————————————————————				□No	
Rate:				_	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?				☐ Yes	∐No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of	of% for the higher	est six-minute average.		□ x z	□ N.
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the opacity I	imit? (See chart below)		∐ Yes	∐No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the insp	pector for this unit dur	ing this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	□No
Rate:	1			_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi				☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of				_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the opacity l	imit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
	VE Opaci	ity Limits			
EU not subject to Subpart OOO EU Subpart OOO EU					
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	_	ted, modifi	ed,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed on	
	•	to 4/22/2008	after 4/22		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Emissions Unit Section 4 –NMMP Plant-crusher RIC diesel engine, model yr 2005, 325 hp

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Ις	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		,
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	🔯 Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	uir currying particulate matter (1 m) emissions from one or more affected 20s.7		
If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If 1	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
٠.	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		_
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No

4 –NMMP Plant-crusher RIC diesel engine, model yr 2005, 325 hp

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	□No
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

4 –NMMP Plant-crusher RIC diesel engine, model yr 2005, 325 hp

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;		
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturir	ıg	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
477 4641 4777 1 1 1 1 1 4 11 4 11 1 1 1		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	□ Vas	□ No
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	∐ Yes	∐No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	□ Ves	□No
recorded in the written of electronic togotok as required by 40 er k 00.070(b).		
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	_ **	
initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes	□No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No

4 –NMMP Plant-crusher RIC diesel engine, model yr 2005, 325 hp

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any		and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform					
initial startup of the EU?			/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi					
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in complia				∐ Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	n non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control em	issions from the EU?			☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					
a. a device for the continuous measure	ement of the pressure lo	oss of the gas stream through the	е		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m	ust be certified by the 1	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	ssure.}				
and		l'a '1 Classacian de la casacian	.1 1 41		
b. a device for the continuous measure					□No
device has been calibrated on an {Note: The monitoring device m				∐ Yes	NO
of design scrubbing liquid flow r		nandracturer to be accurate with	IIII +J /0		
or design serdoonig riquid now r	ate. j				
24. When was the last VE test conducted	d by the owner/operat	tor for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years?	Yes	⊠No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart				_	_
i. has the EU been tested during				∐ Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wit	hin the current calenda	r year?		∐ Yes	∐No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	ver/onerator for this w	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	□No
Rate:	ooss race that is represe				
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EPA Method 9? -			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of	of% for the high	est six-minute average.		_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>insp</i>				∐ Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		∐ Yes	∐No
Rate:	EDA Mada a 1 00			□ V	□ Na
b. Was the VE test conducted accordic. The VE test resulted in an opacity of				Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl				Yes	□No
d. Did the VL test demonstrate compr	innee with the opacity.	mint. (See chart below)			10
		ity Limits	0.1.4	OOO FIL	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	OOO EU	الم
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		ted, modifi	,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed or	or
Constant and the	200/	to 4/22/2008	after 4/22		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:		
a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY 1. Does this facility bear proceed to about that it does not have the activities to switch	(check ☑ box for each of	only one question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- X Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	⊠No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No No No No No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(ahasta 🎵	only or
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check v box for each of	only one question)
Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	☐ Yes	⊠No
2. Does the owner or operator:a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	Yes	□No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?	X Yes	□No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□No
RELOCATABLE PLANT		
 The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) 	(check ✓ box for each of	only one question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	5)]	□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operar permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose? ————————————————————————————————————	Yes Yes	□No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	Yes	□No

 CHANGES Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 	relocation of the facility or any emissions units or ninor administrative change at the facility? Yes	only one question) ⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without rep c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment th d) A change in ownership?	Yes placement?	□No□No□No□No
Ilka Bundy ———————————————————————————————————	8/19/2013 Date of Inspection 12/31/2014	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: Ilka Bundy, inspector, met with Dart Morales, consultant for Grove Scientific and Engineering, and Mike Byroads, Operations Manager for S&L Materials, Inc. on August 19, 2013, to audit the compliance test on the rock crusher. It should be noted that S&L purchased the crusher from Eco Rock Resources and took over the current site located at 2930 Eunice Ave. The new notification form was submitted to FDEP on July 19, 2013. Mr. Byroads stated that emission unit 3, radial stacker S/N 30279, is not being used at this time. Mr. Byroads stated they will be installing some new conveyers/stackers in the near future. The inspector informed Mr. Byroads to contact his consultant to register the new equipment. Mr. Byroads also stated that the diesel engine to operate the crusher will be replaced with electric power in the near future. Future plans may include bringing in an asphalt plant. The inspector informed Mr. Byroads that a different permit will be required if the asphalt plant is built. For the compliance test, all observed emission units had an observed opacity of zero percent. The operating rate of the crusher was 150 TPH. A compliance assistance e-mail will be sent to Mr. Byroads to inform him that emission unit 3 still must be tested before the end of the year, or to place this emission unit in Long Term Reserve Shutdown. The facility is in compliance with the air permit requirements at this time.