

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
		RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COM	MPLAINT NO:		
	RS ID#: 1170004 DA		ARRIVE: 10	:50	DEPART: <u>11:30</u>	
FACILITY NAME: MASCMEYER-CASSELBERRY CCB PLANT						
FA	CILITY LOCATION	1: 1601 CR 427				
		CASSELBERRY	32707-			
CC	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ROBERT TUCKER Email: Tucker@maschmeyer.com CONTACT NAME: Mr. Steve Bishop Email: steve@maschmeyer.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/5/2009 / 10/5/2014 (effective date) PHONE: (561)848-9112 Mobile: (561)718-0551 PHONE: (561)718-2696 Mobile: (561)718-2696					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
D A	DT II. ONGITE INTI	DODUCTODY MEETING	C			
1.		resentative(s): Mr. Steve I				only one ch question)
		resentative still ROBERT T	TUCKER?		\(\sum \text{Yes}\)	□No
3.		ility provide an administrat till DAX DAWSON?				□No □No
4.		eting VE test(s) during toda ance authority notified at le				⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo"A"(cement), w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 = CCD Traint-Sito A (centency, w/sitotop bagnouse subject to Reasonable Freedutions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)	
Date of last inspection: 06/20/1984 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards (check ✓ only one box for each question)			
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to contro emissions by:	ol unconfined		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or me 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessions?	X Yes essary to	□ No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrain	⊠ Yes	□ No	
particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the	truck? X Yes	☐ No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		□ No	

Emissions Unit Section -CCB Plant-silo (flyash) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

2 – CCB Plant-silo (flyash) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check only of box for each question		
Date of last inspection: 06/20/1984 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check only of box for each question		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards			
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncontemissions by:	fined		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	X Yes	No No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	; — —	No No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		No No	

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 3 -CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/small baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

3 -CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/small baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
ш	Date of last inspection: 06/20/1984 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>			box for each question)	
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiemissions by:	ined		
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		□ Na	
	 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		∐ No	
	control emissions?	\(\text{Yes}	∐ No	
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		□ N.	
	particulate matter?		∐ No	
	particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No	
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No	
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:			
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	☐ No	
II	h. If tested: ()% onacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% onacity?	\square \mathbf{v}_{oc}	\square No	

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 4 -CCB Plant-truck loadout w/spray ring & rubber shroud subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
	Date of last inspection: 06/20/1984 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No
	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigurations by:	ined	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	X Yes	□ No□ No
	 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	_	□ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	_	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	X YesX YesX Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propane. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane.)?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ition X Yes	☐ No
_			
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS		
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	-∏ Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		_
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	☐ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one box for each question)				
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (I			• ,	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		Yes	☐ No	
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific 	prior to changing location?		☐ No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busin c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	5)]	□ No□ No	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur	in that separate permit:		☐ No	
If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No	
<u>CHANGES</u>		(check ☑ box for each	•	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days	n of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility?	ative not nits or Yes	No □ No	
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	or the change.	105		
a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subs d. A change in ownership?	'	Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No	
		Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrati 30 days prior to the change?	on form and the appropriate fee sul	Yes	=	
	on form and the appropriate fee sul	Yes	⊠ No	
	on form and the appropriate fee sul	Yes	⊠ No	
• 1	on form and the appropriate fee sub	Yes	⊠ No	
John Vigliotti	on form and the appropriate fee sub	Yes	⊠ No	

COMMENTS: Florida Department of Environmental Protection ("Department") representative John Vigliotti, Engineering Specialists, met with Mr. Robert Tucker, Plant representative, of Maschmeyer-Casselberry CCB Plant, (Company") at its facility located at 1601 CR-427, Casselberry, Fl. 32707. Mr. Vigliotti explained that the Department is conducting a baseline inspection and providing compliance assistance. The facility has been subject to the following rules: Method 9 V.E. testing Rule No. 62-296.413(2), F.A.C.;(thirty Min.), with a minuimum Silo Rate of 25 Tons/Hr. During Loading. Rule 62-210.300(3) F.A.C. (Rolling 12- Month fuel consumption, for the past 5 years). Rule 62-296.414(2) F.A.C. (Unconfined Field Emissions). The last V.E. was conducted on 06/20/1984. The Concrete Batching Facility utilizes cement, flyash, slag and aggregate materials

The last V.E. was conducted on 06/20/1984. The Concrete Batching Facility utilizes cement, flyash, slag and aggregate materials to produce ready-mix concrete. Dust emissions generated during the filling of the plant's silos or loading of concrete mixer trucks

are controlled by dust collectors. The facility was found to be in compliance based on quantities and test reports received. Please see project file folder.