

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

June 9, 2011

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested wprconcrete@aol.com

Mr. Douglas P. Russell, President WPR, Inc. 4175 Briarglen Road Milton, Florida 32583

Dear Mr. Russell:

On June 7, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facilities, ID Nos. 1131127 and 1130175. Copies of the inspection reports are enclosed. The inspections and a review of Department records indicate the facilities were in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection reports.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Carre Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

CM/jw/c

Enclosures



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

NSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 1130175 DATE: <u>6/7/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:27 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:40 PM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: WPR-MILTON/BRIARGLEN	N PLANT		
FACILITY LOCATION: 4175 BRIARGLEN	N RD		
MILTON 32583-	2884		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/10/2010 / 5/10/2010 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: /2015	(850)626-7713 (850)336-1782	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Douglas Ru Brief Notes:		(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DOUGLAS If no, who is?:	RUSSELL?	X YesNo	
If different, did the facility provide an administrat 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:	tive update within 30 days?	Yes	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le			

Emissions Unit Section 1 -CCB Plant-1silo(cement)1bin(flyash),trk ldout&weighhpr/mixer subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1. 2.	Date of last inspection: 3/30/10 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	box for each	only one question) No No No No No No No No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	Yes	☐ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust point adding of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate?	ded during inc	rate pection. No
		Yes	☐ No
	If YES, then continue on to que $(1, 2, 3)$ be $(2, 3)$ by $(3, 3)$ by $(3, 3)$ in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching raduration?	- Yes	☐ No
	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minute. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	n is separate	
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut	? Yes	☐ No
	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Matthews?	Ves	No No
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of the visible emissions test demon to the visible emission test resulted in an opacity of the visible emission test resulted in an opacity of the visible emission test demon to the visible emission test resulted in an opacity of the visible emission test demon to the vi	☐ Yes	□ No
	d. What was the process rate? besnour.		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check	
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?		□ No
]	b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount of multiple gal diesel/yr + gallons of gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propa	- ☐ Yes - ☐ Yes ane/yr ≤ 1.00	No No No No No No No No
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propan	ıe/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption Yes	□ No
_			
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- 🔀 Yes	∐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

 RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ∑; relocatable □; or consisting of b 	hov for	k ☑ only one each question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plan		n 2.)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c belo	Y (es 🗌 No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departmer e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one businessb. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 10 of the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner or operator transmit and the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No. 20 of the owner owner of the owner ow	day prior to choosing location? Ye	es 🗌 No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Room	Yes	
to the appropriate Department of the	f five business days prior to relocation? Y	es No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-localed at a facility with a sepa and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine If YES, what was the purpose?	e purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? \(\subseteq\) Y	es No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how local co-located at the permitted facility?		es 🗆 No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duratio	n? Yo	
CHANGES		k ☑ only one each question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocution operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	cation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? You days of the change? You you hent? You	es No es No es No
d. A change in ownership?	Y	es 🛚 No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new regis 30 days prior to the change?		es 🗌 No
Jennifer Waltrip	June 7, 2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_
/s/	June 2012	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_
COMMENTS: On June 7, 2011, Department personnel conduct concrete batch plant in Santa Rosa County. The facility was not was available to assist during the inspection. According to facilit for the on-site septic tank manufacturing. This facility is powered by electricity so fuel records are not requ	in operation at the time of the inspection. Mr. Do y personnel, this plant is used for back-up and to	ouglas Russell



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 1131127 DATE: 6/7/11 ARRIVE: 12:45 PM DEPART: 12	2:50 PM		
FACILITY NAME: WPR CONCRETE PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 4054 BRIARGLEN RD			
MILTON 32583-2819			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DOUGLAS RUSSELL Email: wprconcrete@aol.com CONTACT NAME: DOUGLAS RUSSELL Email: wprconcrete@aol.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/20/2010 / 12/20/2015 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (850)626-7713 Mobile: PHONE: (850)626-7713 Mobile:			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
	(check ☑ only one ox for each question)		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DOUGLAS RUSSELL? If no, who is?:	∑ Yes □No		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?	☐ Yes ☐No ☑ Yes ☐No		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	☐ Yes		

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-2silo(cement)800T,w/individdc's&batcherw/ventfiltr subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1. 2.	Date of last inspection: 3/30/10 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	YesYesYesYesYesYesYesYes	only one question) No No No No No No No No
PA	RT II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
	 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	_	☐ No ☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust point that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 to the silo dust collector? If what was the silo loading rate? If yES, then continue on to questing the visible emissions test? If was the weigh hopper (batter) and yet of the normal batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	ded during ins - Yes Yes - Yes - h. Yes - tate and Yes	ate pection. No No No No No
2.	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector whice from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	h is separate lector? Yes tes. Yes Yes	□ No □ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each	•
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if my tiply with the propagation of	Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes	No No No No No No No No
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
 Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNo

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ✓ box for each	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary \(\sum_{\cong} \); relocatable \(\sum_{\cong} \); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \(\sum_{\cong} \) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary</i> , <i>skip the follow</i>)		• ,
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Agreement by telephone,		☐ No
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day price of a ging location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Ports (A) DEP No. 62-210.90	Yes (0(6))	□ No
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to ging location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Form [DEP No. 62-210.90 to the Department or Local Air Program no least that the cation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program is a Facility of the least five business days prior to relocation for the appropriate Department of Local Air Program is propriate Department or Local Air Program is program of Local Air Program in the Local Air Program of Local Air Program o	Yes ()(6)]	□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation p and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usa If YES, what was the purpose?	permit,	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ✓ box for each	only one
 Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represer associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	units or Yes	⊠ No □ No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?	Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee s 30 days prior to the change?	ubmitted	☐ No
Jennifer Waltrip June 7, 2011		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection		
/s/ June 2012		
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next I	Inspection	

COMMENTS: On June 7, 2011, Department personnel conducted the annual air program compliance inspection of WPR, Inc. concrete batch plant in Santa Rosa County. No trucks were loaded during the inspection. Mr. Douglas Russell was available to assist during the inspection.

A majority of the yard is covered with gravel, but some areas where trucks drive are paved. Stock piles are stored within containment walls and sprinklers are located at each pile to prevent fugitive emissions. No emissions were noted during the inspection. The facility is powered by electricity so fuel records are not required.