

NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	. ,
AIRS ID#: 7775303 001	DATE: <u>3/14/07</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART: 4:30
FACILITY NAME: P.A	A.W Materials, Inc.		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: Portable		
	, FL		
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	CIAL: <u>Richard Wohlfiel</u>	PHONE: 7	27-862-5956
CONTACT NAME: R	ichard Wohlfiel?	PHONE: 7	27-862-5956
REMITTANCE YEAR	: <u>N/A</u> ENTITLE	EMENT PERIOD: 8/2/06 (effective date	/ 10/21/10 (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION	N COMPLIANCE STATUS (che CE	-	Ion-COMPLIANCE
(check ☑ only <u>one</u> b	ATION OF FACILITY TYPE/2 pox)	APPLICABILITY	
	SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, d ☑ this category, answer <u>all</u> qu	, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1)) nestions <u>INCLUDING</u> those with	**.)
elevator, belt convey mix asphalt facilities	vor, bagging operation, storage bin	n, enclosed truck or railcar loading	inding mill, screening operation, bucket g station, crushers & grinding mills at hot l asphalt pavement & subsequent affected
		Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a) aestions <u>EXCEPT</u> those with **.	
grinding mills; facili sand & gravel plants & crushed stone plar	ties not subject to subparts F (Port, & crushed stone plants w/capaci	tland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Miz	A A sphalt Facilities) of this part; <u>fixed</u> (x A sphalt Facilities) of this part; <u>fixed</u> (x) or less; <u>portable</u> sand & gravel plants, (clay plants, and pumice plants)

PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check 🗹 appropriate box(es))		
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C. **1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60 Appendix A)?] No
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point of belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point:		
**a) exceed <u>7</u> % percent opacity?	Yes] No
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	Yes	No
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage		1
bin exceed 7% percent opacity?	Yes	No
Visible Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.		
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,		
Appendix A)?		l No
**2. Do visible emissions from any:		110
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation	1.	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed $\underline{10}\%$	-,	
percent opacity?	TYes 🛛	No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed <u>15</u> % opacity?		
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,		
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,		
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point NOT subject to 40 CFR Part 60,		
Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than <u>20</u> % percent opacity?	Yes	No
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62	2-204.800, F	F.A.C.
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, baggi		
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclo		
in a building? (If answer to question #4 is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to #4.a))	TYes 🛛	No
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (If		
answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).)	Yes	No
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control of		
1) the particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	TYes X	
2) the opacity greater than <u>7</u> % percent?		
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed 7% percent opacity?	TYes 🛛	No
**5. Do visible emissions from any:		
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation	1,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%		
percent opacity?		
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed <u>15</u> % opacity?	∐Yes ⊠	No
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:		
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening		
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to		1.3.7
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?		INO
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyo	rs	
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket	hin	
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage		l No
in the production line?		

DADT IV. TESTING/DECODD//FEDING DECUIDEMENTS D. D. C. 210.200 F. A. C.		
PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)		
1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as		
part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)	Yes	No
Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)		
2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of		
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:		
	Yes	No
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification		
form submittal date?	Yes	No
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)		
3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of		
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:		
	Yes	No
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification		
	Yes	No
Test Methods and Procedures – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adoption	ted and	
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.		
1 .	Yes	No
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22?	Yes	No
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17?	Yes	No
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and		
40 CFR Part 60.670 - 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]		
<u>Facility and/or Equipment Replacement</u>		
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of ex	kisting facil	lity
and/or equipment:		
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading	Station,	
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated_		
capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?	Yes	No
**b) <u>for a Screening Operation</u> ,		
**1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total		
surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?	Yes	No
**c) <u>for a Conveyor Belt</u> ,		
**1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt?	Yes	No
**d) for a Storage Bin,		
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated		
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?	Yes	No
Performance/Compliance Testing		
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change		
	Yes	No
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to		
the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid		
flow rate differ by more than ± 30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance		N 7
test?	Yes	No
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar quarters?		N
quortors'/	IYes	NO

PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (*Continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)

**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance wi 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?	th □Yes □ No
Process Changes	
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (If your	
answer to this question is <u>YES</u> , then answer <u>either</u> a)1) or a)2) below.)	Yes No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:	
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (<i>Note: The unsaturated</i>	
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>10% opacity limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(b)	
and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	Yes No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (Note: The saturated	
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(h).)
(If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	Yes No
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the	
change?	Yes No
Notification Requirements	
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities	
submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial	
	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also	
include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No

PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1.	Is this facility a: 1) relocatable ; 2) stationary ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Zonly one box above.</i>) (<i>NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a</i>) & 1.b). If you have checked the box for
	stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all
	relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)
	a) If this is a relocatable facility was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a
	Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation? XYes No
	b) If this is a relocatable facility , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from onsite
	deposits? (If your answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , please proceed to question 1) below.) Xes No
	1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray
	bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the
	conveyor drop points? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
	c) If this is a stationary facility, does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water
	suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s),
	the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points? No

PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (*Continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

**2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpar adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proce</i>	
questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)	
**a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:	
**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	Yes No
**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	Yes No
**b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the	
manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	Yes No
**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	Yes No
**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	Yes No
3. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant us	sing an
individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this questio	n
is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	
a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	TYes X No
b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate under	
a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	
c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	\square Yes \square No
d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	\square Yes \square No
4. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete	
batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this</i>)	
question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5	.) TYes 🛛 No
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	
b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	
5. Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing	
plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?	
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
calendar year?	
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🗌 Yes 📃 No
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
6. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	- Yes No
7. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a routine function of a facility	
a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphal	
plant?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for	
operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	
8. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as	
destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (<i>not a Title V source</i>)?	
a) If <u>YES</u> , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	
a) In <u>ALO</u> , does it operate under the authority of its an general permit.	

PART VI: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-

210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C. (check \square appropriate box(es))

<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

1.	Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined
	emissions by:

a)	use of a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the	
	crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points? Xes No	
b)	management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
	emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No	
3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
	particulate matter from stock piles? DYes D No	
	5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation? \Box Yes \Box No	

	5) Tanuscaping and/or the pranting of vegetation?	
	6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipment to contain, capture and/or vent particulate	
	matter?	Yes No
7)	the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No

PART VII: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1.	Since the last inspection has there been		
	a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
	b) alteration of existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
	c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	Yes	No
	d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?	□Yes	No

Mike Ojo Thomas

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

____3/14/07___

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: See the attached Pinellas County inspection report form for additional information

FAC	'I I I'	TY·	P.A.W Materials, Inc.	Per_ID: 2766	
PAC				i ci_ii). 2700	DISTRICT:
			SR 54 Odessa Yard		Southwest
ADD	RE	SS:	525 N Disston Ave		CONTACT: Ron Wohlfiel
Ta	rpoi	n Spi	ring, FL		Phone No: 727-862-5956
ARM	15 N	Ja ·		PERMIT NO.:	EXPIRATION DATE:
		03 0	01	7775303-001-AG	10/21/10
				4	
Subpa	rt O	00	>150 TPH; 2-Screen (10%);	3-Conveyor leaving screen (10%); 4-Co	g Operation: Emission points 1- Crusher (15%) onveyor to storage pile (10%)
Po	ortab	ole C	rusher	[
INSI	PEC	CTIO	N DATE:	ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:	COMPLIANCE STATUS:
3/2	22/0	7		⊠ INS2 orINS	\square IN \square MNC \square SNC
Т	ype	of I	nspection: 🛛 Initial	Re-inspection Comple	aint 🗌 Drive-by 🗌 Quarterly
				A. General Review:	
1.			File Review		Yes 🗌 No
2.	In	trodu	action and Entry		Yes 🗌 No
	operate onsite. I met with Mr. Nick Degawaro the Project Manager onsite; he connected me via a cell phone to Mr. Ron Wohfiel, the Authorized Representative. Mr. Wohfel indicated that he had notified DEP office prior to relocation to the site. He faxed AQ Division office a copy of the Facility Relocation Notification. See attached sheets. The emission unit was relocate from 14850 W. Hwy 328, Ocala, Fl, Marion; to 525 N Disston Ave, Tarpon Spring, Fl/Pinellas. I inspected the emission unit with Mr. Degawaro. He stated the emission unit was brought onsite on 3/10/07, and began operation onsite on 3/14/07. Mr. Degawaro stated the emission unit will be operating onsite for the next several weeks until the concrete crushing is through.				
3.	3. Is the Authorized Representative still: <u>Richard Wohlfiel</u> ? Xes Incompare No Comments: Mr. Wohlfiel is the Authorized Representative. Xes Incompare No				
4.				Yes 🗌 No	
	Ca	этт	ents: Mr. Wohlfiel is the fac	cility contact.	
5.	5. Does the equipment on-site match the notification form [Rule 62-210.300]				
		-			
IN	M N	Ν			
	C	C	The common on encoder of ou	B. Specific Conditions	ssing plant proposing to change location shall notify
			the Department by phone pr 62-210.900(6)) to the Depar F.A.C.]	ior to changing location and submit a Fa	acility Relocation Notification Form (DEP Form No. following relocation [62-210.300(4)(c)5.b.,
			material from onsite natural material, the owner or opera entrance and exit of the crus	deposits, and for all stationary nonmetal tor shall have a water suppression system ther(s), the classifier screens, and the con-	be located at mines or quarries and processing only llic mineral processing plants processing dry m with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the nveyor drop points [62-210.300(4)(c)5.c., F.A.C.] ppression system, and the system \boxtimes is \square is not

IN	M N	Ν	
	C	С	B. Specific Conditions
			The owner or operator shall comply with paragraph 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C., using the following reasonable precautions: (i) Unconfined emissions that might be generated from various activities throughout a nonmetallic mineral processing plant processing dry material shall be controlled by using a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points. (ii) Unconfined emissions that might be generated by vehicular traffic or wind shall be controlled by applying water (by water trucks equipped with spray bars) or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis to all stockpiles, roadways and work-yards where this nonmetallic mineral processing plant is located; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.d., F.A.C.]
			<i>Comments</i> : The facility does operate a water suppression system, and the system is functional. The suppression system spray bars were located at the entrance and exit of the crusher and drop points. The system was in operation at the time, no unconfined emissions were observed. The demolition site was being water down with water truck.
			Stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not contain particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm) nor exceed 7% opacity, unless the stack emissions are discharged from a wet scrubbing control device. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(i), F.A.C.]
			<i>Comments:</i> An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes \Box , or No \boxtimes , or NA \Box . The results of the various points were: There were no emissions from the emission unit or points observed during this inspection.
			Stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed 7% opacity. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(ii), F.A.C.]
			<i>Comments</i> : The facility \Box does not operate an enclosed storage bin with a baghouse.
			Visible emissions from any grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed 10% opacity; and visible emissions from any crusher without a capture system subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, shall not exceed 15% opacity. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(iii), F.A.C.]
			Comments : An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes \Box , or No \boxtimes , or NA \Box The results of the various points were: There were no emissions from the emission unit or points observed during this inspection.
			Truck dumping of nonmetallic minerals into any screening operation, feed hopper, or crusher subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., is exempt from the emissions standards of sub-subparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(vi), F.A.C.]
			<i>Comments:</i> The crusher unit, pay loader and backhoe were in operation, no unconfined emissions were observed
			The owner or operator shall ensure that wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill or storage bin and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800,

C		B. Specific Conditions
		F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions. The owner or operator shall also ensure that screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.f., F.A.C.] <i>Comments:</i> The emission unit was in operation at the time. The demolition debris was wet, and no emissions were occurring.
		 The owner or operator of a nonmetallic mineral processing plant subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., and using a wet scrubber to control emissions shall comply with the monitoring requirements of 40 CFR 60.674, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.g., F.A.C.] §60.674 Monitoring of operations. The owner or operator of any affected facility subject to the provisions of this subpart which uses a wet scrubber to control emissions shall install, calibrate, maintain and operate the following monitoring devices: (a) A device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±250 pascals ±1 inch water gauge pressure and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. (b) A device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±250 pascals ±1 inch water gauge pressure and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. (b) A device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. <i>Comments: The facility</i> does M does not operate a wet scrubber.
	_	
		The owner or operator of any existing facility shall demonstrate compliance with the emission standards of sub- subparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C., within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and shall demonstrate renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.] Comments: The test for renewal should be completed within 60 days prior to 1/9/10
		 The owner or operator shall meet all applicable reporting and recordkeeping requirements of Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR 60.676, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.i., F.A.C.] §60.676 Reporting and recordkeeping. (a) Each owner or operator seeking to comply with §60.670(d) shall submit to the Administrator the following information about the existing facility being replaced and the replacement piece of equipment. (1) For a crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, bagging operation, or enclosed truck or railcar loading station: (i) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and (ii) The rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment. (2) For a screening operation: (i) The total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation being replaced and (ii) The total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation. (3) For a conveyor belt: (i) The width of the replacement conveyor belt. (4) For a storage bin: (i) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and (iii) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins. (c) During the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, and daily thereafter, the owner or operator shall record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate. (d) After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, the owner or operator shall submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate

IN	M N	S N	
111	C	C	B. Specific Conditions
			 differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance test. (e) The reports required under paragraph (d) shall be postmarked within 30 days following end of the second and fourth calendar quarters. (f) The owner or operator of any affected facility shall submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the standards set forth in §60.672 of this subpart, including reports of opacity observations made using Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with § 60.672(b), (c), and (f), and reports of observations using Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with § 60.672(c). (g) The owner or operator of any screening operation, bucket elevator, or belt conveyor that processes saturated material and is subject to §60.672(h) and subsequently processes unsaturated materials, shall submit a report of this change within 30 days following such change. This screening operation, bucket elevator, or belt conveyor is then subject to the 10 percent opacity limit in §60.672(b) and the emission test requirements of § 60.11 and this subpart. Likewise a screening operation, bucket elevator, or belt conveyor that processes unsaturated material but subsequently processes saturated material shall submit a report of this change. This screening operation, bucket elevator, or belt conveyor is then subject to the no visible emission limit in §60.672(h). (h) The subpart A requirement under §60.7(a)(2) for notification of the anticipated date of initial startup of an affected facility shall be waived for owners or operators of affected facilities regulated under this subpart. (i) A notification of affected facilities in a production line that begin actual initial startup on the same day, a single notification of startup may be submitted by the owner or operator to the Administrator. The notification shall be postmarked within 15 days after such date and shall include a description of each affected facili
			Comments: This is not applicable at this time.
			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate a stationary concrete batching plant using an air general permit at the same location provided all nonmetallic mineral processing plant units operate under a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit, all concrete batching plant units operate under a single concrete batching plant air general permit, and the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.j., F.A.C.]
			operations 🗌 are 🖾 are not considered a Title V source. (See below)
			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate, or allow the operation of, one or more relocatable concrete batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location as the nonmetallic mineral processing plant provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.k., F.A.C.] Comments: The crushing operation is located \boxtimes independently or \square with relocatable concrete batch plant(s). The operations \square are \boxtimes are not considered a Title V source. (See below)
			The owner or operator of multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits may operate more than one such plant at the same location provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units, the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the material processed is less than 10 million tons per calendar year, and the fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, by weight. The owner or operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plants shall maintain a log book to account for fuel consumption and material processed on a monthly basis.

			S	
IN			N C	B. Specific Conditions
				Fuel supplier certifications shall be maintained to account for the sulfur content of the fuel being burned; and [62-210.300(4)(c)5.1., F.A.C.] Comments: The facility \Box does \Box does not operate multiple nonmetallic mineral processing plants using an individual air general permit. Records are required, \Box yes \Box no. If required, records reviewed from
	M	S		rounne function [] non-rounne denviry ().
I N	N C	N C	_	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the
			n a b [(otification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include: Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit. 52-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.] <i>Comments:</i> This is not applicable at this time.
			w n n E d	Equipment Changes. In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment vithout replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that oted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit otification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the appropriate Department of nvironmental Protection district office or local air pollution control program office to which the Department has elegated its permitting authority. 52-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]
			C	<i>comments:</i> This is not applicable at this time.
			2 tł	 F, for any reason, the owner or operator of any facility operating under an air general permit pursuant to Rule 62-10.300(4)(a), F.A.C., does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the permit, he permittee shall immediately provide the Department with the following information: A description of and cause of noncompliance; and The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance. The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result.
			C	<i>comments:</i> This is not applicable at this time.
			V	 alid Permit Throughout the term of the general permit: a. The facility operates no emissions units other than a unit described in an air general permit and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of Rule 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C.;

-	M					
I N	N C	N C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures			
	0		 b. The facility is not a Title V source as defined in Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C. [62-210.300(4)(c), F.A.C. <i>Comments:</i> The operations are not considered a Title V source. 			
			A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the filling of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit. [General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.] <i>Comments:</i> The permit expires on 10/21/10. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 9/21/10.			
\boxtimes			No person shall circumvent any air pollution control device or allow the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices. [62-210.300(4)(e)12., F.A.C.] Comments: The emission unit appears to be in compliance of the above rule.			
			D. Other:			
Clo	osing	g Co	nference \sqrt{Yes} No			
Oth	Other Comments: This emission unit is deemed to be in compliance.					
	Inspector(s): Mike Ojo Thomas, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division					
Sig	Signature(s) Date: 3/22/07					
CO	CONTACT LOG?yes, ACCESS?yes, ARMs?yes					

H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\7775303 001 60095.doc