

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2 RE-INSPECTION (FUI		, , , <u>—</u>			
AIRS ID#: 0150087 DATE: <u>1/25/12</u>	ARRIVE: 7:50 am	DEPART: <u>9 am</u>			
FACILITY NAME: FORTRESS BLOCK					
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 26475 EAGLE B	LVD				
PUNTA GORDA	A 33950-8356				
	PHON Mobile PHON Mobile 16/2015 I date)	E:			
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETI  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):  Brief Notes:	<u>NG</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DAVID ( If no, who is?:	CASMAN?	X YesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an administ  3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during to If yes, was the compliance authority notified at					

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo(cement) w/silotop dustcollector subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Date of last inspection: 2/7/11	box for each question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of comoperation?d. Date of last VE test: 2/7/11	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 40 tons/hour	
<ul> <li>h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?</li> <li>i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?</li> <li>j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour</li> </ul>	No Yes No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity lim  If not, what was the problem (if known)?	it during the last VE test?
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or ot	her (1.1.1.7)
enclosed storage and conveying equipm	
	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit dur	ing this site visit? 🖂 Yes 🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest si	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacing of the state of the compliance with the 5% opacing of the compliance with the c	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points w	
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \(\sum \) Yes \(\sum \)	
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable</li> <li>f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour</li> </ul>	e in practice? Yes No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by	
If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, the  1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emis	ssions test? Yes No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representati	Yes No
<ul><li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batch.</li><li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are control.</li></ul>	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weight	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the norma  2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batch	l batching rate and duration?  Yes No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit du	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest s c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opace.	
d. What was the process rate? 34.8 tons/hour.	

# Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-Batcher/Mixer w/central dust collector subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
1. Date of last inspection:	box for each	question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	□ No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		□ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	_	
operation?	☐ Yes	☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Yes	□ No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?		☐ No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?  \Boxed N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?		□ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	Yes Yes	☐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	- Yes	☐ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	- L Yes	∐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo c	onducted at a r	ate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loa		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	- Yes	☐ No
f. What was the silo loading rate?tons/hour	□ <b>x</b> z	□ N.
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and go to	∐ Yes	∐ No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		☐ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching r		_
duration?		☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration		☐ No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu		
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		□ No
<ul><li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li><li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.</li></ul>	- U Yes	∐ No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	- Yes	☐ No
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	_	<del></del>

## **Facility Section (continued)**

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(-1		1
				only one uestion)
		00X 101	each q	uestion)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:			
	a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?			⊠ No
	b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?			⊠ No
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Ye	es	⊠ No
2	Does this facility include:			
۷.	a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	of		
	units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or	OI .		
	Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	-   Ye	es	⊠ No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?			
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general			<b>~</b>
	permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	_ Ye	es	⊠ No
	If YES, what other general permit units or activities?			
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:			
	a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	-	es	⊠ No
	b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?		es	No No
	c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		es	No No
	d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?		es	⊠ No
	e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	☐ Yee	es	⊠ No
	1 " 1/ 1007	,	4.000	
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared   275,000 gal diesel/yr   23,000 gal gasoline/yr   44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr   1.3 MM gal propared   1.5 MM gal propared   1.6 MM gal propared   1.7 MM gal propared   1.8 MM gal propared   1.9 MM g	ane/yr <	(1.00?	'
	2/5,000 gai diesel/yr 25,000 gai gasonne/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gai propan	e/yr		
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum	nption		
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	- Π Yε	es	⊠ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(choc		only one
	<del></del>			uestion)
		00X 101	caen q	uestion
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed			
	the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control	□ x <sub>7</sub>		
2	devices?	<u> </u> Ye	es	⊠ No
۷.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	_ 🔘 v	<b>1</b> C	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	. M 16	.3	☐ 140
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Ye	es	☐ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		. ~	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general			
	permit and Department rules?	X Υε	es	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:  1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □	(check ☑ box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following	g question 2.)	)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]</li> </ul>		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	5)]	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage		□ No
If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	
Administrative Changes:		1
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representations.		
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represents associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol>	nits or 	⊠ No ⊠ No
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represents associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	its or	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represents associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol>	its or	No  No  No  No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? —</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	rits or  Yes	No No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol>	rits or  Yes	No No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? —</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	rits or  Yes	No No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol>	its or	No No No No No No