

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>INSPECTION</u> <u>TYPE</u> : ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV	ERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	O:
AIRS ID#: 0150087 DATE: <u>4/13/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:50 am</u>	DEPART: <u>11:00 am</u>
FACILITY NAME: FORTRESS BLOCK		
FACILITY LOCATION: 26475 Eagle Boulevard	d	
PUNTA GORDA, FL	33950-8356	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DA	AVID CASMAN PHON	NE: (239)437-9488
CONTACT NAME:	PHON	NE:
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/3/2005 / 10/3/201	10	
(effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)	
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COM	- —	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REOUIRE	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.414.)	F.A.C.
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIRE (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, 1	F.A.C.
Stack Emissions		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during th 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA N	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during th 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batche	is site visit according to EPA N	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter ⊠Yes □ No and conveying equipment
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during th 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batche controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust col	ers), and other enclosed storage emissions to 5 percent opacity llector exhaust points was the le	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during th 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ers), and other enclosed storage emissions to 5 percent opacity llector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the m	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during th 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ers), and other enclosed storage emissions to 5 percent opacity llector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the moperation controlled by the silo estions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during th 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ers), and other enclosed storage emissions to 5 percent opacity llector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the moperation controlled by the silo estions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during th 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ers), and other enclosed storage emissions to 5 percent opacity llector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the moreoperation controlled by the silo estions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a loading rate representative of the	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during th 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batche controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust col at a rate that is representative of the normal silo l unless such rate is unachievable in practice? 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) of to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question 5.) a) Was the batching operation in operation during b) During the visible emissions test, was the batchuration?	ers), and other enclosed storage e emissions to 5 percent opacity llector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the more poperation controlled by the silo estions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a percent operation controlled by the silo estions 4.a and 4.b below. If a percent operation controlled by the silo estions 4.a and 4.b below. If a percent operation are controlled by a dust eration are controlled by a dust	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during th 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ers), and other enclosed storage e emissions to 5 percent opacity llector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the moreoperation controlled by the silo estions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a percent operation controlled by the silo estions 4.a and 4.b below. If a percent operation controlled by a dust ions tests of the weigh hopper of	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check papropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Donly one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by:					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:					
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control					
emissions?					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to r		☐Yes ⊠ No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind					
particulate matter from stock piles?					
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate of	emissions at the drop point to the truck?	□Yes ⊠ No			
DADE IV. CRECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES. D	-1. (2 210 200(4)(1)4 E A C				
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - R	ule 62-210.300(4)(a)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment					
1 Cinna the last increasting has them been					
	1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without repl	la coment?	☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No			
		☐ res ☐ No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differ	rent than that noted on the most	DV. DV.			
	recent notification form?				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?					
local program office?		□Yes ⊠ No			
Sherrill Culliver	4/13/09				
The state of the s					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
In an art and a Cinner	Annualizate Date (CN) (Territoria	_			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS:					