

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D		CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0951289 DA	TE: <u>11/11/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:15 P</u>	M	DEPART: <u>3:15 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: A	COMMUNITY FUNERAL	HOME&SUNSET CREM	ATIONS			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 910 W Michigan St					
	ORLANDO 3280	95-5404				
Email: scotthora@ac CONTACT NAME: C Email: scotthora@ac	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: CHRISTOPHER HORA PHONE: (407)841-4424 Email: scotthora@aol.com Mobile: (407)489-6326 CONTACT NAME: CHRISTOPHER HORA PHONE: (407)841-4424 Email: scotthora@aol.com Mobile: (407)841-4424 Email: scotthora@aol.com Mobile: (407)489-6326 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/29/2011 / 9/29/2016 (effective date) (end date) (end date)					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
	RODUCTORY MEETING presentative(s): <u>Christopher</u>			(check ☑ box for each		
2. Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?:	resentative still CHRISTOP	HER HORA?		Xes	No	
	cility provide an administrat still CHRISTOPHER HORA -				□No □No	
4. Will facility be condu If yes, was the compli	cting VE test(s) during today ance authority notified at lea	y's inspection? ast 15 days in advance?		Xes Yes	□No □No	

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – Human Crematory-prim/2ndarychmbr,NG,tempM/R,opac.M,150lbs/hr</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	Xes Yes	No
 b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No ⊠No
 4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 	Yes Yes	□No ⊠No
operation? 🛛 N/A	Yes	No
 d. Date of last VE test: 10/23/2012 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)? 		□No □No
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u> 1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	box for each → ∑ Yes ∑ Yes	
 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	box for each Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)
 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	box for each Yes Yes Ves Yes in any one-hour) Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No No No
 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	box for each ∴ Yes ∴ Yes	uestion)

PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑ box for each	-
1.	······································	Yes	🖾No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
2.	Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		No
	time at $[m] 1,800^1$ $[m] 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	Yes Yes	No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
	1) All temperature measurements	Xes Yes	No
	 2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations		No
	4) Adjustments	Yes	L.No
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes Yes	∐No
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	🖂 Yes	L.No
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of		
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	🛛 Yes	🗌No
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Yes	🖾No
	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatica	lly	
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes	□No
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity		
	exceeds 15% opacity ?	Yes	No
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	Yes	No

PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES

(check \square only one box for each question)

1.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? Yes b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremation	No
	process begins in the primary chamber? Yes	No
2.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? Yes	No
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? Xes	No

PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>		(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	<i>Other than</i> human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	Yes	XNo
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	-
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	- 🛛 Yes	No
 Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	🛛 Yes	No
b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	🖂 Yes	LNo

PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check 🗹 only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Administrative Changes:		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? 	s or Ves	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No

Ilka Bundy

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

11/11/2013

Date of Inspection

11/11/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Ilka Bundy, inspector, met with Scott Hora, Vice President, and Bill Arlington, consultant, on 11/11/2013, to audit the compliance test on the human cremation unit, EU001. The inspector arrived early and requested to review all of the strip charts for the past twelve months. All strip charts had the required documentation, including thermocouple replacements. All of the charts had temperatures above 1600° F, as required by the air permit. The facility cremated a 130 pound female for the compliance test. No visible emissions were observed. No objectionable odors were detected. The cremation unit had the floor replaced inside of the unit in June 2013. A Certificate of Completion form was available for the inspector to review regarding the floor replacement. The facility is in compliance with the air permit.