

## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)	
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0951289 DATE: 9/2/08 ARRIVE: 1:00 PM DEPART: 2:45 PM	М
FACILITY NAME: COMMUNITY FUNERAL HOME & SUNSET CREMATN	
FACILITY LOCATION: 910 W MICHIGAN ST	
ORLANDO 32805-5404	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Scott Hora/Funeral Director PHONE: (407)841-44	.24
CONTACT NAME: Scott Hora/Eduardo Bori - Funeral Directors PHONE: (407)841-4424	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/17/2006 / 11/17/2011 (effective date) (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)	
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
DADT II. TESTING/DECODDKEEDING DECHIDEMENTS   Dula 62,206 A01   F A C	
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ul>	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ol>	☐ Yes ⊠ No ☑Yes ☐ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ol>	⊠Yes □ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ol>	Yes □ No Yes □ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ol>	Yes ☐ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ol>	Yes □ No Yes □ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ol>	<ul><li>Yes □ No</li><li>Yes □ No</li><li>□Yes □ No</li><li>□Yes □ No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ol>	Yes □ No Yes □ No □Yes □ No
<ol> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ol>	Yes No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ol>	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ol>	Yes
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ol>	Yes
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ol>	Yes   No   No     Yes   No   No   Yes   No   No   Yes   Yes

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	amparetures in the
1. Is there <b>Continuous Emissions Monitoring System</b> (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record t primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	mbustion zono in
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the records are applied to the following the records and applied to the following the records are applied to the following the records and applied to the following the records are applied to the record	cording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes ☐ No
2) Monitoring device	
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	∐Yes ∐ No
	∐Yes ∐ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	∐Yes ∐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one <b>box</b> )	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ <b>1600°F</b> ?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$ ?	☐Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	☐Yes ☐ No
4. If constructed <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	ne
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes ☐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematio	
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes ☐ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes ☐ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	□Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	Yes No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the dur	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes □ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Ru A. New or Modified Process Equipment	ıle 62-296.401, F.A.C.
<ol> <li>Since the last inspection has there been         <ul> <li>installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>alterations to existing process equipment without replace or replacement of existing equipment substantially differ recent notification form?</li> <li>If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the own notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, local program office?</li></ul></li></ol>	ent than that noted on the most
Norma R. Ali	9/2/2008
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	9/2/2009
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
COMMENTS: Norma Ali met with Scott Hora, Funeral Director, at A records review and a VE compliance test were conducted on this of temperature charts from June 9, 2006, to present. Most of them show and some showed power failures, equipment tests, in which everythis 12 months showed dates, weights, operator's initials, and reference calibration was done to the machine since the last inspection. Inspect anytime a maintenance or calibration is performed to the equipment. II cremator, manufactured by Matthews Cremation Division, which is	late. Scott Hora showed their records, including the wed temperatures above or equal to 1,600 degrees Farenheit, in appeared well documented. Temperature charts for the last number from their recordkeeping. No maintenance or stor, suggested to Mr. Hora to keep a maintenance log, to record This facility has one human crematory unit, an IEE Power Pak
Currently, they are using cardboard containers, MSDS sheets were a	vailable. Sometimes for a large body, they use a wood board.
No objectinable odors were noted at the time of inspection.	
A visual emission test was conducted on the stack coming from the of Mr. Hora faxed copy of the temperature chart for today's test and copy	
On September 4, 2008, Norma Ali sent an e-mail to Mr. Hora, reque down and malfunction for the crematory unit. As well, a maintenan On September 8, 2008, Mr. Hora faxed the information requested an calibrated since installation. All EPA method 9 tests have been 0% on not necessary at the moment. The date of the next equipment calibrates.	ce and calibration schedule for this unit. d a letter explaining that their unit is new and has not been opacity. According to manufacturer, equipment calibration is
The facility was in compliance, at the time of inspection. Mr. Hora is as OCEPD receives the report from their consultant.	requested a copy of this report, which will be provided as soon