

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

April 11, 2011

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested andyandheather@cox.net

Mr. Anderson D. Powell, Owner Southern Heritage Crematory, LLC 436 West James Lee Boulevard Crestview, Florida 32536

Dear Mr. Powell:

On April 5, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0910093. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850/595-0654 or e-mail christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Carre Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

CM/cs/c

Enclosure



## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  RE-INSPECTION (FUI)  ARMS COMPLAINT NO:							
AIRS ID#: 0910093 DATE: 4/5/2011 ARRIVE: 12:20 PM DEPART: 1	<u>12:48 PM</u>						
FACILITY NAME: CRESTVIEW CREMATORY							
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 436B W James Lee Blvd							
CRESTVIEW 32536							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ANDERSON POWELL Email: CONTACT NAME: Andy Powell Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/14/2007 / 6/14/2012 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (850)685-4214 Mobile: (850)585-9829 PHONE: Mobile:							
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
DADT II. ONSTRE INTRODUCTORY MEETING							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Andy Powell  Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still ANDERSON POWELL?  If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No						
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still?  If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No						

## **Emissions Unit Section**

	DEL THE PRIVING PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH		Ī		
PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one		
		box for each	question)		
1	Complete AC amplication on if no AC name it initial CD reciptuation received on an		,		
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or	□ <b>3</b> 7	□ »T.		
	after August 30, 1989?	∐ Yes	□No		
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the				
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time				
	at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	☐ Yes	<u></u> No		
	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	⊠ Yes	∟No		
	Date of last inspection: 3/2/2010				
4.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:				
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	⊠ Yes	□No		
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	⊠ Yes	□No		
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing				
	operation?	☐ Yes	□No		
	d. Date of last VE test: 3/17/2011				
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	⊠ Yes	□No		
	f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		□No		
	If no, what was the problem (if known)?				
	ii no, what was the problem (ii known):				
PΔ	ART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(1 1 7	1		
1 11	IXT II. VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING	(check ☑	only one		
		box for each	question)		
1	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠No		
1.			= "		
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?		∐No		
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	· L Yes	∐No		
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.				
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No		
	(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour)				
			5-3		
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?		⊠No		
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?		No		
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	□No		
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.				
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	- 🗌 Yes	□No		
3.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standard	rds?			
		☐ Yes	⊠No		
	If yes, what reason?	<del></del>			
PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹	only one		
	· <u> </u>	box for each			
		oox for cacif	question		
1	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	☐ Yes	⊠No		
• •	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:		_3 10		
	Downwind odor level detected-  Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)			
	Downwing odor rever detected wind direction - Opwing odor level detected-	(1-10)			
2	Continuous Monitoring Systems				
	Continuous Monitoring Systems –  Is a continuous temporature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temporatures in the				
a	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the	<b>V v</b>	□ NT.		
1.	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes	∐No		
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence	<b>□</b> • ·			
	time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1$ $\square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	⊠ Yes	□No		
	(Application or initial notification: * received on or after 8/30/89: * received before 8/30/89)				

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)	
PART III: MONITORING/RECORDREEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)	
<ul> <li>c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?</li> <li>1) All temperature measurements</li></ul>	nts; 
Adjustments      Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices      Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	X YesNo
<ul> <li>d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings</li> <li>e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)</li></ul>	omatically YesNo
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any o exceeds 15% opacity?	pacity ☐ Yes   ⊠No
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES  1. If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
	box for each question)
If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:     a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?  b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the combustion.	box for each question)
<ol> <li>If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:         <ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li></ul></li></ol>	box for each question)
<ol> <li>If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:         <ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the process begins in the primary chamber?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:         <ul> <li>a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 160 throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the oprocess begins in the primary chamber?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	box for each question)

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>		(check only one box for each question)				
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufactures	's specifications?	⊠ Yes				
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedure shutdown and malfunction?	es during startup,	⊠ Yes	□No			
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics.	tics?	Yes	⊠No			
If no, skip a. – b.  a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	each operating shift?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No			
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	only one box)					
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLI	ANCE				
Facility Section (continued)						
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)			
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative.  2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	f the facility or any emissions unit strative change at the facility? he change?	Yes	<ul><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li></ul>			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection				

**COMMENTS:** On April 5, 2011, a Department representative conducted an unannounced inspection at the Southern Heritage Crematory located in Okaloosa County. I met with the crematory owner, Andy Powell, who provided me with the required records, and then we toured the facility. The cremation unit was not in operation at the time of the inspection. Visible emissions testing is being conducted annually as required. The last visible emissions testing was conducted on March 17, 2011. There were no visible emissions observed during the 60-minute test. The facility appears to be in compliance with the rules listed under 62-296.401(5).