

NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 7775293 DA	.TE: <u>11/28/2007</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:16 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:50 AM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: MI	AMI-QUARRY			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 12201 NW 41 Street			
	MIAMI 33178			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	CD REPRESENTATIVE: JIM H	IURLEY PHONE:	(561)793-2102	
CONTACT NAME: ST	ΓEVE HALE	PHONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 12/17/2005 / 12/16/201 (effective date) (end date)	0		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: DETERMINATION OF FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABILITY (check ☑ only one box)				
EOR FACILTIES SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1)) (If you have checked ☑ this category, answer <u>all</u> questions <u>INCLUDING</u> those with **.)				
<u>Subject</u> Facilities: (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)				
FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) (If you have checked ☑ this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.)				
grinding mills; facilit sand & gravel plants, plants, & crushed stone	ties not subject to subparts F (Port, & crushed stone plants w/capacit	land Cement Plants) or I (Hot M ties of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons	ening operations at plants w/o crushers or fix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; <u>fixed</u> s/hr) or less; <u>portable</u> sand & gravel ommon clay plants, and pumice plants	

PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)? [Yes] No	
Appendix A)? Yes No **2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point:	
**a) exceed $\underline{7}\%$ percent opacity? \Box Yes \boxtimes No	
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? [Yes] No	
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin exceed <u>7</u> % percent opacity?	
Visible Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,	
Appendix A)?	
 **2. Do visible emissions from any: **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, 	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed <u>10</u> %	
percent opacity? [Yes] No	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,	
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,	
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point NOT subject to 40 CFR Part 60,	
Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity?	
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C	1 *•
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging	
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed	
in a building? (<i>If answer to question #4 is <u>YES</u>, then proceed to #4.a</i>))	
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (If	
answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).) [Yes] No	
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is:	
1) the particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	
2) the opacity greater than $\underline{7}\%$ percent? \Box Yes \Box No	
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed $\underline{7}$ % percent opacity? \Box Yes \Box No	
**5. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	
percent opacity? 🗌 Yes 📃 No	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity? [Yes] No	
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:	
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening	
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to	
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin? [Yes] No	
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors	
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket	
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin	
in the production line? Yes 🗌 No	

PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)
1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as
part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)
Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)
2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:
a) initial compliance prior to beginning commercial operation?
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification
form submittal date? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)
3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:
a) compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form? XYes No
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification
form submittal date? Yes No
Test Methods and Procedures – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted and
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C. 4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9? XYes No
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9?
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17?
0. Were an referenced stack emissions of particulate matter tests conducted using Er A Methods 5 of 17?
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and
40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]
<u>Facility and/or Equipment Replacement</u>
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility
and/or equipment:
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station,
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated
capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?
**b) for a Screening Operation,
**1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total
surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation? [Yes] No
**c) for a Conveyor Belt,
**1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt? Yes No
**d) <u>for a Storage Bin</u> ,
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins? [Yes] No <u>Performance/Compliance Testing</u>
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins? [Yes] No <u>Performance/Compliance Testing</u> **8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change
 capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins? Yes No <u>Performance/Compliance Testing</u> **8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate? Yes No
 capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins? Yes No <u>Performance/Compliance Testing</u> **8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate? Yes No **9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to
 capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins? Yes No Performance/Compliance Testing **8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate? Yes No **9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins? □Yes No Performance/Compliance Testing **8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate? □Yes □ No **9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance test?
 capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins? Yes No Performance/Compliance Testing **8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate? Yes No **9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance

PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (*Continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)

**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))? [h]Yes □ No			
Process Changes				
 **11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (<i>If your answer to this question is <u>YES</u>, then answer <u>either</u> a)1) or a)2) below.) [</i> **a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system: 	Yes 🛛 No			
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (<i>Note: The unsaturated</i>				
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>10% opacity limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(b)	Yes 🗌 No			
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.67.	(2(h)).)			
(If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	Yes 🗌 No			
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the				
	Yes 🗌 No			
Notification Requirements				
	Yes 🗌 No			
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial				
	Yes 🗌 No			
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also				
include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	Yes 🗌 No			

PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1.	con (<u>N(</u>	his facility a: 1) relocatable, 2) stationary; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable crete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check of only one box above.</i>) <u>OTE</u> : If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the box for		
	stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all			
	rel	ocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)		
	a)	If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a		
		Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation? Xes 🗌 No		
	b)	If this is a relocatable facility , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from onsite		
		deposits? (If your answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , please proceed to question 1) below.) Xes No		
		1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray		
		bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the		
		conveyor drop points? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No		
	c)	If this is a stationary facility, does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water		
		suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s),		
		the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points? [Yes] No		

PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (*Continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

**2.	Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OC adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed</i>)		
	<i>questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)</i>	Yes] No
**	a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:		• •
	**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	□Yes □	No
	**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?] No
**	b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the] 110
	manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	□Yes □] No
	**1) ± 250 pascals ± 1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?] No
	**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?		
3	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant using		
5.	individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this question)		
	is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	″ □Yes □] No
] No
	a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?		
	b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate under		1 NT.
	a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?] No
	c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?		
4	d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	Yes] No
4.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete		
	batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this</i>		1
	question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5.)] No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?] No
-	b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	Yes] No
5.	Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing	— F	-
	plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?		_ No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	□Yes □	No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per		7
	calendar year?	Yes	No
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?		No
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	□Yes □	No
6.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:		7
	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?		No
	b) material processed on a monthly basis?		No
_	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	Yes	No
7.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a routine function of a facility (no	ot	
	a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt		-
	plant?	Yes	No
	a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the		-
	operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	Yes] No
8.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as		-
	destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?	Yes	No
	a) If <u>YES</u> , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	Yes	No

PART VI: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-

210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined			
emissions by:			
a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the			
crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points?	Yes 🗌 No		
b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the follow	wing:		
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes 🗌 No		
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control			
emissions?	Yes 🗌 No		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to)		
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Yes 🗌 No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of			
particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes 🗌 No		
5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation?	Yes 🗌 No		
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipment to contain, capture and/or vent particulate			
matter?	Yes 🗌 No		
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems? \overline{X}	Yes 🗌 No		

PART VII: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1.	Sinc	the last inspection has there been		
	a)	installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
	b)	alteration of existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
	c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
		recent notification form?	Yes	No
	d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
		notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
		local program office?	Yes	No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

11/28/2007

Date of Inspection

11/2008

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: KOOGLER AND ASSOCIATES PERFORMED A THIRTY (30) MINUTE VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST ON THE PORTABLE CRUSHER. NO VISIBLE EMISSIONS WERE OBSERVED. STEVE HALE, THE ROCK QUARRY MANAGER ASSISTED ME IN THIS INSPECTION.