

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO	· · · —			
AIRS ID#: 7775292 DATE: <u>10/08/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:45 A.M.</u>	DEPART: <u>11:20 A.M.</u>			
FACILITY NAME: AVE MARIA RM				
FACILITY LOCATION: 4811 AVE' MARIA BLVD				
AVE MARIA, FL 34142				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JASON JONES Email: jasonp.jones@cemex.com CONTACT NAME: JASON JONES Email: jasonp.jones@cemex.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013 (effective date) (end date)	E: (813)269-1240			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JASON JONES?				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still JASON JONES?				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/silo baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 08/07/2009 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		- 🔲 Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
DADT H. FIELD OBSERVATIONS Dula (2.20(.414/2)) E A.C.			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles,	and Yards	(check v box for each	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to emissions by:	o control unconfi	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include o 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals wh 	en necessary to	- X Yes	□ No
control emissions?	ol of the	⊠ Yes	∐ No
particulate matter?		X Yes	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles?		- X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop poin	it to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		- Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 -CCB Plant-silo (flyash/slag) w/silo baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
	(check ✓ box for each of	only one question)
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		,
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiend emissions by:	ined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	× Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section

4 – CCB Plant-weign nopper w/individual bagnouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
Date of last inspection: 10/17/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfemissions by: 	ined		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	□ No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	\(\times \text{ Yes}	☐ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		☐ No	

2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

_			
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	No No No No No
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr	0? □ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	\ Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	_	
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- ⊠ Yes	∐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check 🗹	
Is the facility: stationary ☐; relocatable ☒; or consisting of concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants.	both stationary and relocatable	box for each question 2.)	question)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cemer soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c be		☐ Yes	⊠ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departm e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busine b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation	ent or Local Air Program by telephone, ss day prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least	business days following a relocation? Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	Yes	☐ No
If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a sep and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission.	parate air construction or air operation perm		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routi If YES, what was the purpose?	ne purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)	? Yes	⊠ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
<u>CHANGES</u>		(check 🗹 box for each	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone num associated with a change in ownership or with a physical rel operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mino 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	ocation of the facility or any emissions unit r administrative change at the facility?	s or Yes	⊠ No □ No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that d. A change in ownership?	ement?is substantially different?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new reg 30 days prior to the change?		nitted Yes	☐ No
ROBERT J. STEWART	10/08/2010		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	10/2010		
Robert J. Stewart			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection	

COMMENTS: A dust cloud and visible emissions were noted coming from the loading chute shroud at the end of truck batching operation. It appeared as if that the truck may not have been adequately positioned under the chute at the time of the batching operation. The six minute average opacity for testing of this event was calculated as 2.29%