

# NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



### **COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST**

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)
AIRS ID#: 7775290 DA	ГЕ: <u>05/06/2009</u>	ARRIVE: <u>09:55A.M.</u>	DEPART: <u>10:20A.M.</u>
FACILITY NAME: CR	USHCO / (DELARY BEACH)		
FACILITY LOCATION	I: 1911 NW 12st Ave		
	MIAMI 33136-4102		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: IVY	FRADIN <b>PHONE</b> :	(561)715-0530
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	<b>DD:</b> 7/1/2005 / 7/1/2010 (effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE         IN COMPLIANCE         IN COMPLIANCE			
<ul> <li>(check <b>R</b> appropriate</li> <li><u>GENERAL</u> <u>PROCEI</u></li> <li>1.Does this facility ke</li> <li>a) 10 tons per yea</li> <li>b) 25 tons per yea</li> <li>c) 100 tons per yea</li> <li>c) 100 tons per yea</li> <li>a) any emission u</li> </ul>	DURES – Confirmation of Eliginer expression of any hazardous air per ar or more of any combination of ear or more of any other regulated contain:	bility – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A ot have the potential to emit: ollutant? hazardous air pollutants? d air pollutants? he applicable air general permit	·······       ⊠ Yes       No       N/A         ······       □ Yes       No       N/A         ······       □ Yes       No       N/A         ·····       □ Yes       No       N/A         with the exception       ·····       ·····       ·····
or Rule 62-4.04 b) any emission u general permit	tivities that are exempt from perm 40, F.A.C.?; nits or activities authorized by ar and the air general permit of inte ility?	nother air general permit where s rest specifically allow the use of	Yes No N/A uch other air one another
<ol> <li>Has the owner or of Department for the</li> <li>Does this facility I</li> <li>PART II-A: <u>AIR GE</u> (check <b>R</b> appropriate</li> </ol>	ENERAL PERMITS – Rule 62- e box(es))	d and submitted the proper regist e used?;	ration form to the
4. Have there been as	ny new administrative, constructi	ion, modification, or equipment of	changes that require

	NERAL CONDITIONS – Rule 62-210.310(3), F.A.C. Does the air general permit registration form contain all current information regarding the facility?; □ Yes □ N/A
2.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?;  Yes X No X/A
3.	Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?; X Yes No N/A
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?; Xer No N/A
4.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules? Xest No N/A

#### PART II-B: DETERMINATION OF FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABILITY

(check **R** only <u>one</u> box)

**FOR FACILTIES SUBJECT TO:** (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1)) (If you have checked **R** this category, answer <u>all</u> questions <u>INCLUDING</u> those with \*\*.)

**Subject** Facilities: (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)

## **FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO**: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d))

(If you have checked **R** this category, answer <u>all</u> questions <u>EXCEPT</u> those with \*\*.)

<u>Non-Subject Facilities</u>: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crushers or grinding mills; facilities not subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; <u>fixed</u> sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; <u>portable</u> sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants w/capacities of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.)

### PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C.

(check **R** appropriate box(es))

<u>Stack Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C. **1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,
Appendix A)? [] Yes [] No
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point:
**a) exceed $\underline{7}$ % percent opacity? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? Yes No

PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C., Cont.
(check <b>R</b> appropriate box(es))
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin exceed <u>7</u> % percent opacity? Yes No
Visible Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)? Yes Ves Ves
<ul> <li>**2. Do visible emissions from any:</li> <li>**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity? Yes No</li> <li>**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15% opacity? Yes No</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point <u>NOT</u> subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than <u>20</u>% percent opacity? Yes Ves</li> </ol>
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.
<ul> <li>**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed in a building? (<i>If answer to question #4 is <u>YES</u>, then proceed to #4.a</i>))</li></ul>
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? ( <i>If answer to this question is <u>NO</u>, then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) &amp; 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).)  Yes  No</i>
<ul> <li>**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is:</li> <li>1) the particulate matter in excess of <b>0.05 grams</b> per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? Yes Yes No</li> </ul>
2) the opacity greater than <u>7</u> % percent? Yes No
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed $\underline{7}\%$ percent opacity? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
<ul> <li>**5. Do visible emissions from any:</li> <li>**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity? Yes Yes Yes</li> </ul>
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity? Ves 🗌 No
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:
<ul> <li>**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?</li> </ul>
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line? Yes Yes Yes Yes

# PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.

(check <b>K</b> appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3, F.A.C.)
1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as
part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3.e., F.A.C.) Yes No
Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.)
2. Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.)
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted within
365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test? Yes No
Test Methods and Procedures – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.
4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Reporting and Recordkeeping - (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C. )[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and
40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]
<u>Facility and/or Equipment Replacement</u>
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility
and/or equipment:
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station,
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated
capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment? No
**b) for a Screening Operation,
**1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total
surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation? Yes No
<ul> <li>**c) for a Conveyor Belt,</li> <li>**1)the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt? Yes Yes No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>**d) for a Storage Bin,</li> <li>**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated</li> </ul>
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?
Performance/Compliance Testing
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change
in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate? [] Yes [] No
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to
the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid
flow rate differ by more than $\pm 30$ percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance test? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar
quarters?   Yes   No

PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (Continued)		
(check $\mathbf{R}$ appropriate box(es)		
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance w 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?		
Process Changes		
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (If your answer to this question is <u>YES</u> , then answer <u>either</u> a)1) or a)2) below.)	Yes No	
<ul> <li>**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:</li> <li>**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (Note: The unsaturated material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>10% opacity limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(b) and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? ( <i>Note: The saturated material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(h). (If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)</i>	.) □Yes □ No	
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the change?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
Notification Requirements		
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	Yes No	
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available?	Yes No	
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	Yes No	

### PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.

(check  $\mathbf{R}$  appropriate box(es))

1.	Is this facility a: 1) relocatable $(3)$ ; 2) stationary $(3)$ ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable		
	concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check R only one box above.)		
	( <u>NOTE</u> : If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the box for		
	stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all		
	relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)		
	a) If this is a relocatable facility was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a		
	Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No		

If this is a <b>relocatable facility</b> , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from deposits? ( <i>If your answer to this question is <u>NO</u>, please proceed to question 1) below.</i> )	
	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
If this is a <u>stationary facility</u> , does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No

PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (Continued)		
(check $\mathbf{R}$ appropriate box(es))		
**2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a</i> ) and 2.b), below.)		
<ul> <li>**a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:</li> <li>**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber? Yes Yes No</li> <li>**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber? Yes Yes No</li> </ul>		
**b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?		
**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?       Yes       No         **2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?       Yes       Yes       No		
PART VI: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C. (check <b>R</b> appropriate box(es))		
<ol> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable ⊠; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ (<i>Please check</i> <b>R</b> <i>only one box.</i>)</li> </ol>		
<ul> <li>2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants, located with stationary or relocatable concreted batching plants:</li> <li>a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? Yes X No</li> </ul>		
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel Yes 🗌 No		
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline Yes 🗌 No		
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas Ves 🗌 No		
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane Yes 🗌 No		
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite [Yes ] No		
3. Does the owner/operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant submitting this registration maintain a log book or books to account for fuel consumption on a monthly basis? Yes Yes Yes Yes		
4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility ( <i>not a Title V source</i> ) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant?  Yes Yes Yes		
a) If <b>YES</b> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?		
5. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility ( <i>not a Title V source</i> )?		
a) If <u>YES</u> , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit? Yes Yes Yes No		

# PART VII: <u>REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3.c., F.A.C.

(check **R** appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

<ol> <li>Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:         <ul> <li>a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points? Yes Yes Yes</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
<ul> <li>b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes Yes</li> </ul>
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? Yes No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No
<ul> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?  Yes No</li> </ul>
5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation? [] Yes [] No
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipment to contain, capture and/or vent particulate matter?  Yes  No
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems? Yes 🗌 No

### PART VIII: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1. Since	e the last inspection has there been	
<b>a</b> ) i	installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
b)	alteration of existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
,	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most ent notification form?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
not	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete ification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or al program office?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No

#### MARUFUL MALIK

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

05/06/2009

05/2010

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** On May 06, 2009 I visited this facility to conduct an annual compliance inspection. On site I met Mr.Gary Low, the owner of the facility. On site I observed one crusher and it was not operational. According to Mr. Low, they were done with crushing all the materials and in a process of hauling the rocks.