

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI		DISCOVERY (CI)	ARMS V/12/	UPDATI		
AIRS ID#: 0810209 DATE: <u>12/12/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1045</u>	DEPART:	<u>1050</u>			
FACILITY NAME: CONCRETE ON CALL-BR	ADENTON PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION: 3606 15TH ST E						
BRADENTON	34208-4616					
'	9/2015	PHONE: (941)746-0288 Mobile: (941)232-8534 PHONE: Mobile:		·		
Facility Section						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN	NG		<i>(</i>) 1 7	<u> </u>		
Name(s) of facility representative(s):	<u></u>	t	(check 🗹 oox for each q			
Brief Notes:						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still GORDON If no, who is?:	WARDELL?	<u></u>	⊠ Yes	□No		
If different, did the facility provide an administr 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:	ative update within 30 days?		☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during too If yes, was the compliance authority notified at I	lay's inspection?least 15 days in advance?		Yes Yes	⊠No □No		

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/silotop dust collector, 300 Bbl subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1. Da 2. Pa a. b. c. d. e. f g. h. i.]	ate of last inspection: 07/21/09 set Visible Emissions (VE) tests: Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	(check ☑ box for each ☑ Yes	only one question) No No No No No No No No
	If not, what was the problem (if known)? II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check ✓	only one
	enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	•
1. V	vas a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
a.	Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
	The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	☐ No
d.	During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo collector		
	that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		ection.
	What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		
	Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	☐ No
"	YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	n. Yes	☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat		
	duration?3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour. What was the batching duration?minute		☐ No
h.	1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	is separate	
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?		□ No
	2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute	s	
	Was the visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No □ No
	The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	□ 162	LINU
c.	Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	☐ Yes	☐ No
u.	what was the process rate: tons/nour.		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u> (ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	<u></u>	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	NoNoNoNoNoNo
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared 1.4 MM gal propared 1.5 MM gal propared 1.6 MM gal propared 1.7 MM gal propared 1.8 MM gal propared 1.9 MM g		?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption Yes	□ No
_			
<u>G</u>	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 o	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	□ Yes	⊠ No
2.		_	□ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🛛 Yes	☐ No
	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		only one
1. Is the facility: stationary \(\sum_{\text{i}};\) relocatable \(\sum_{\text{i}};\) or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \(\sum_{\text{concrete}};\) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following)</i>	box for each ag question 2.	•
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,	Yes	☐ No
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900([6)]	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(of to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	5)]	∐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	mit,	_
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?	e)? 🗌 Yes	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		☐ No ☐ No
		The second secon
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	•
 Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represents associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	ntive not nits or Yes	⊠ No □ No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?		No No No No No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub 30 days prior to the change?	omitted Yes	☐ No
Nedin Bahtic 12/12/11	·	
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection		
Nedri Baltia		
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection	
COMMENTS: Note: All questions left unanswered do not apply. The gate was closed (this is a remote truck loading site). Annual VE test was performed on 07/19/11.		