A AND
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, RE-INSPECTION	
AIRS ID#: 7775286 DATE: <u>12/05/2006</u> FACILITY NAME: COUCH VILLA TASS FACILITY LOCATION: 10640 Hwy NICEVILLI RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: MARC TYS	20 W E 32578
CONTACT NAME: David Gulley	PHONE: (850)897-2868
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/18/2005 / 6/18/2010 (effective date) (end date)
 PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) <u>Stack Emissions</u> Were visible emissions tests conducte 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hopp controlled to the extent necessary to li During visible emissions tests of the s at a rate that is representative of the nunless such rate is unachievable in prate to this question is "Yes", then continue skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to a) Was the batching operation in oper b) During the visible emissions test, v duration? 	Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. d during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
 <u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) ∑Yes ∑Yes No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes No
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ⊠Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹 appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable ⊠; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)
 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i>.) □Yes □No a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? □Yes □ No b) material processed on a monthly basis? □Yes □ No c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)? □Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes No	
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
		emissions?	
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No	
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
		particulate matter from stock piles? Xes No	
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No	

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?------ □Yes □No b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?------ □Yes □No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?------ □Yes to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?----- □Yes □No

Michael Gordon

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

8-12 Months

12/05/2006

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Facility appeared in good working order during inspection. Batching occurred during inspection. Facility appears to continue to have issues with the spray bar assembly on the weight hopper outlet. The facility was informed that the issue was of concern to the Department. Maintenance was called at that time. If subsequent inspections indicate that the problem is not resolved itself or if the facility continues to ignore the malfunctions, it is recommended that they be placed in a state of non-compliance until the spray bar assembly is properly repaired or replaced. The facility has a tenative plan to add a dust collector to serve the weight hopper assembly.