

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

December 19, 2011

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested jerrylong@panhandlepaving.com

Mr. Jerry Long Vice President Panhandle Grading & Paving, Inc. Post Office Box 3717 Pensacola, Florida 32516

Dear Mr. Long:

On December 13, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 7775283. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or e-mail jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

Carre Melton

CM/jw/c

Enclosure

c: Ricky Brooks, Group III Asphalt: rickybrooks5@aol.com



$\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\text{PLANTS}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION	N TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI		COMPLAINT/I		Y (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 77	75283 DA T	ΓΕ: <u>12/13/11</u>		ARRIVE:		DEPART: _		
FACILITY N	AME: PA	NHANDLE GRADING &	& PAVIN	G-WASTLE RD				
FACILITY L	OCATION	: 6108 WASTLE R	.D					
		MILTON 32583	3-8941					
OWNER/AUTEmail: CONTACT N Email: ENTITLEME	AME:	DREPRESENTATIVE DD: 10/17/2010 / 10 (effective date) (end)/17/2015	LONG	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(850)478-5250)	
PART I: <u>INS</u>	Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)							
⊠ IN CO	OMPLIANC	CE MINOR Non-	COMPLI	ANCE SIG	GNIFICANT	Non-COMPLI	ANCE	
DADT II. ON	CITE INTE	RODUCTORY MEETIN	NC .					
		resentative(s): Ricky Bro					(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Brief Notes	, ,	resentative(s). Ittery Bit	JOKS					
	orized Repr	esentative still JERRY L	ONG?				⊠ Yes	□No
If different, 3. Is the facility If no, who is	ty contact st	ility provide an administr	ative upda	ate within 30 days	?		Yes Yes	□No □No
4. Will facility If yes, was	y be conduc the complia	ting VE test(s) during too ance authority notified at	lay's inspeast 15 da	ection?ays in advance?			Yes Yes	⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/conveyor,dieselRICE,200 T/hr capacity

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granities Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	✓ Yes✓ Yes	□No □No □No
sul If 1	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/conveyor,dieselRICE,200 T/hr capacity

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	⊠No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
sui If	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 6/9/05		
	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If a	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
If a	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/conveyor,dieselRICE,200 T/hr capacity

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturir as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	_
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	□ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		No
 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/conveyor,dieselRICE,200 T/hr capacity

individually in compliance with en		s and all enclosed EUs are not			
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo	ormed on each vent cont	rol device within 180 days of			_
initial startup of the EU?			J/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh					
purpose of exhausting from a building	ig air carrying particuld	ite matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}	' '.1 .1 DM.1' '.	60.05 /1 /0.000 /1.00		W 17	
b. Was the EU found to be in compl				∐ Yes	LNo
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	om non-vent building op	benings less than or equal to 1%	opacity?	Yes	LNo
22 I				□ 3 7	⊠ N.
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e				∐ Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator main		loss of the assistment through th			
a. a device for the continuous measu					
		al basis in accordance with man		☐ Yes	□ No
				1 es	LNo
_		manufacturer to be accurate with	mm +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pr	essure.}				
and		1' - ' 1 Cl	1.1 1.41		
b. a device for the continuous measu					□ NI.
		lance with manufacturer's instru		Yes	LNo
		manufacturer to be accurate with	mm +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate. J				
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		EU been tested within the past 5	years?	Yes	□No
		endar years?		X Yes	□No
n. has the EU been tested yet w	vithin the current calend			Xes	□No
n. has the EU been tested yet w	vithin the current calend	ar year?		X Yes	=
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow	<i>vner/operator</i> for this u	ar year? unit during this site visit?		⊠ Yes □ Yes	=
	<i>vner/operator</i> for this u	ar year? unit during this site visit?			☐No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owa. Was the VE test conducted at a practice.	wner/operator for this uncess rate that is represent	ar year? unit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate?		 ☐ Yes	□No □No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owa. a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. B. Was the VE test conducted according to the oward	wner/operator for this user occess rate that is representing to EPA Method 9?	ar year? unit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate?		 ☐ Yes	□No <u>□</u> No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the test conducted according to the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9?	ar year? unit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? nest six-minute average.		Yes Yes	□No □No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the conducted at a property of the conducted according to the vertical conducted by the own as well as the vertical conducted by the own as well as the vertical conducted by the own as well as the vertical conducted by the own as well as the vertical conducted at a property of the vertical conducted according to the ve	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9?	ar year? unit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? nest six-minute average.		Yes Yes	□No □No
a. Was a VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the test conducted according to the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity	ar year? unit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? nest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No □No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owa. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted according to the VE test conducted according to the VE test demonstrate compacts. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the incompact of the VE test conducted by the VE test conducted by the incompact of the VE test conducted by the incompact of the VE test conducted by the incompact of the VE test conducted by the VE test cond	wner/operator for this upper to the super to	ar year? Init during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? nest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) Iring this site visit?		Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No
a. Was a VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the test conducted according to the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	wner/operator for this upper to the super to	ar year? Init during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? nest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) Iring this site visit?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No □No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owa. a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the vertical according to the verti	wner/operator for this up rocess rate that is represent ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit du rocess rate that is represent	ar year? Init during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? nest six-minute average. Ilimit? (See chart below) Iring this site visit? entative of the normal rate?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the vertical accordance of the vertical acc	wner/operator for this unit duracess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit duracess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9?	ar year? unit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? nest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) uring this site visit? entative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
a. Was a VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the test conducted according to the vE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts as a VE test conducted by the integral a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the vE test conducted according to the vE test resulted in an opacity	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit duraccess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	ar year?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the vertical accordance of the vertical acc	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit duraccess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	ar year?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was a VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the action of the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts as a VE test conducted by the interval of the very open open of the very open open open open of the very open open open open open open open open	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit duraccess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	ar year?		☐ Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was a VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the action of the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts as a VE test conducted by the interval of the very open open of the very open open open open of the very open open open open open open open open	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity	ar year?		☐ Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts at the VE test conducted by the interval a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opace	ar year?		☐ Yes ☐ Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts at VE test conducted by the interpretation as the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit duracess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opace	ar year?	Subpart	☐ Yes ☐ OOO EU	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts at the VE test conducted by the interval a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit duracess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opace EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	ar year?	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO EU ted, modi	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts at VE test conducted by the interpretation as the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit duracess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opace	ar year?	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO EU ted, modi	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts at VE test conducted by the interpretation as the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit duracess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opace EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	ar year?	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO EU ted, modi	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according to the VE test conducted according to the VE test demonstrate compacts of the VE test conducted by the integral as the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according to the VE test demonstrate compacts of the VE test de	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity with the opacity EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	ar year?	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO EU ted, modi	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts at the VE test conducted by the interval a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit duracess rate that is represented ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opace EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	ar year?	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO EU ted, modi	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo

Emissions Unit Section 3 -NMMP Plant-screen 4'x10',w/55 ft belt convey,diesel pwr unit

		(check 🗹	only one
	t	ox for each	question)
Is t	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		. ,
	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlodand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		□No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	⊠ Yes	□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	□No
	crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material unough one of more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. [A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
sub	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to opart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. he answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		N
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	□ Vas	⊠ No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

3 –NMMP Plant-screen 4'x10',w/55 ft belt convey,diesel pwr unit

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	⊠No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
sui If	conswer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to be		
	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If a	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
If a	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

3 –NMMP Plant-screen 4'x10',w/55 ft belt convey,diesel pwr unit

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturir as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	_
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	□ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		No
 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No

3 –NMMP Plant-screen 4'x10',w/55 ft belt convey,diesel pwr unit

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an individually in compliance with em		and all enclosed EUs are not			
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo	rmed on each vent contr	rol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?			J/A	☐ Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh					
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	ite matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}		- f 0 05 - /4 (0 022/4 f))	□ V.	□ Na
b. Was the EU found to be in comple				☐ Yes	LNo
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	om non-vent building op	benings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	Yes	LNo
22 To a seed assemble on some 14 a control of				□ 3 7	M M.
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e		·		∐ Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint		and of the god atmoore through th			
a. a device for the continuous measu					
scrubber and the device has been instructions?				☐ Yes	□ No
				i es	No
	-	manufacturer to be accurate with	ının +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	essure.}				
and		Time! A Class note to the cont	Jalanes of 194	_	
b. a device for the continuous measu					
device has been calibrated on a				☐ Yes	No
		manufacturer to be accurate with	thin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar	t 000:	-	•	∐ Yes	∐No
i. has the EU been tested durin				∑ Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calenda	ar year?		Yes	∐No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the on	way/anayatay fan this u	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own				Yes	No
*	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		1 es	100
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EDA Mothed 02			□ Vac	□ No
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	IIIIg to EPA Metilod 9?			☐ Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	or% for the night	lest six-minute average.		T 37	□ NI.
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	phance with the opacity	innit? (See chart below)		∐ Yes	No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ins</i>	anastar for this unit du	ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No
				Yes	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		L i es	LNo
Rate:	line to EDA Mother 100			□ V.	□ Na
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				Yes	lNo
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				□ v	NT.
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	phanice with the opacity	mint? (See chart below)		Yes	No
	VE Opac	city Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Suhnart	OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	_	cted, modi	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed o	on or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
*	•	•	•		

Emissions Unit Section <u>5 –NMMP Plant-radial conveyor/stacker</u>, 67'L, hydraulic driven

2. Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?			(check 🗹	only one
Note: "Nommetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzile, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Punice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Bartie; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumoriterite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		ł	ox for each	question)
Note: "Nommetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzile, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Punice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Bartie; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumoriterite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Is			•
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granic Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice	ty te, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
3. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		□No
□ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. [A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? ———————————————————————————————————	3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	No No
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	5.	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	□ Vos	⊠ No
7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
		Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	8.		Yes	⊠No

<u>5 –NMMP Plant-radial conveyor/stacker, 67'L, hydraulic driven</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	⊠No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
sui If	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 6/9/05		
	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	⊠No
If a	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
If a	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	No No No

5 –NMMP Plant-radial conveyor/stacker, 67'L, hydraulic driven

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturir as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	_
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	□ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		No
 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No

<u>5 –NMMP Plant-radial conveyor/stacker, 67'L, hydraulic driven</u>

If the EU is a building enclosing ar						
individually in compliance with en a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo		ol device within 180 days of				
initial startup of the EU?			N/A		Yes	□ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh						
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from				
one or more affected EUs.}				_		
b. Was the EU found to be in compl					Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	om non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?		Yes	No
	• • • 41 15110			_ 、	. 7	□ N
Is a wet scrubber used to control e				Ц,	Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator main a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas streem through th	ho			
scrubber and the device has been						
instructions?					Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device					1 68	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pr	_	manufacturer to be accurate wi	um +230			
ind	essure.					
o. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scri	abber and th	е		
device has been calibrated on a					Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device						
of design scrubbing liquid flow						
	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale	ndar years?			Yes Yes Yes	No
i. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpartion i. has the EU been tested during ii. has the EU been tested yet wWas a VE test conducted by the own	rt OOO: g each of the past 4 cale within the current calenda wner/operator for this use	U been tested within the past 5 ndar years? ir year? nit during this site visit?			Yes Yes Yes	No
o. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet w Was a VE test conducted by the own. Was the VE test conducted at a pro-	rt OOO: g each of the past 4 cale within the current calenda wner/operator for this use	U been tested within the past 5 ndar years? ir year? nit during this site visit?			Yes Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet w Was a VE test conducted by the ow a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice.	et OOO: g each of the past 4 cale within the current calenda wner/operator for this up rocess rate that is represe	U been tested within the past 5 Indar years? In year? Init during this site visit? Intative of the normal rate?			Yes Yes Yes	No
i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet w. Was a VE test conducted by the own. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the extension	et OOO: g each of the past 4 cale within the current calenda wner/operator for this un cocess rate that is represed ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	U been tested within the past 5 ndar years? r year? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average.			Yes Yes Yes Yes	No
i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet w. Was a VE test conducted by the own. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the extension	et OOO: g each of the past 4 cale within the current calenda wner/operator for this un cocess rate that is represed ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	U been tested within the past 5 ndar years? r year? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average.			Yes Yes Yes Yes	No
i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet w. Was a VE test conducted by the own. Was the VE test conducted at a proper Rate: D. Was the VE test conducted according to the VE test conducted according to the VE test demonstrate complete.	rt OOO: g each of the past 4 cale within the current calenda wner/operator for this un cocess rate that is represe ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity	U been tested within the past 5 Indar years? In year? Intit during this site visit? Intative of the normal rate? Est six-minute average. Ilimit? (See chart below)			Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No
i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested durin iii. has the EU been tested yet we was a VE test conducted by the own. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according. The VE test resulted in an opacity of the VE test demonstrate composition. Was a VE test conducted by the incomposition.	rt OOO: g each of the past 4 cale within the current calenda wner/operator for this us rocess rate that is represe ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit due	U been tested within the past 5 Indar years? In year? Intit during this site visit? Intative of the normal rate? Interest six-minute average. Ilimit? (See chart below) Intit this site visit?			Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No
i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet we was a VE test conducted by the own. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according to the VE test demonstrate computer a VE test conducted by the inc. Was the VE test conducted by the inc. Was the VE test conducted at a property was the VE test conducted by the inc.	rt OOO: g each of the past 4 cale within the current calenda wner/operator for this us rocess rate that is represe ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit due	U been tested within the past 5 Indar years? In year? Intit during this site visit? Intative of the normal rate? Interest six-minute average. Ilimit? (See chart below) Intit this site visit?			Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No
i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet we was a VE test conducted by the own. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according. The VE test resulted in an opacity of the VE test demonstrate computer as a VE test conducted by the inc. Was the VE test conducted at a property was a VE test conducted at a property was the VE test conducted at a property was a VE test conducted by the incomplete was a VE test conducted by the incomplete was a VE test conducted at a property was a VE test conducted by the incomplete was a VE test conducted at a property was a VE test conducted by the incomplete was a V	rt OOO: g each of the past 4 cale within the current calenda wner/operator for this way rocess rate that is represed ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit du rocess rate that is represed	Indar years?			Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No
i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet we was a VE test conducted by the own. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the very large to the very large test. The VE test resulted in an opacity large test conducted by the inc. Was a VE test conducted by the inc. Was the VE test conducted at a property large test conducted according to the very large test conducted according test conducted according test conducted according	g each of the past 4 cale within the current calendar ener/operator for this uncocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? If you will be pliance with the opacity espector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? If you will be pliance with the opacity espector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9?	Indar years?			Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No
i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet we was a VE test conducted by the own. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the very large to the very large test. The VE test resulted in an opacity large test conducted by the interverse and very large test conducted at a property large test conducted by the interverse was the VE test conducted at a property large test conducted at a property large test conducted at a property large test conducted according to the very large test conducted according test	rt OOO: g each of the past 4 cale within the current calenda wner/operator for this un rocess rate that is represe ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit du rocess rate that is represe ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	Indar years?			Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No
i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet we was a VE test conducted by the own. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the very large to the very large test. The VE test resulted in an opacity large test conducted by the interverse and very large test conducted at a property large test conducted by the interverse was the VE test conducted at a property large test conducted at a property large test conducted at a property large test conducted according to the very large test conducted according test	rt OOO: g each of the past 4 cale within the current calenda wner/operator for this un rocess rate that is represe ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit du rocess rate that is represe ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	Indar years?			Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	N N N N N N
i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet we was a VE test conducted by the own. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the vector of the ve	et OOO: g each of the past 4 cale grithin the current calendar green/operator for this universes rate that is represed ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit dur cocess rate that is represed ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity	Indar years?			Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No
i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet we was a VE test conducted by the own. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the vector of the ve	et OOO: g each of the past 4 cale grithin the current calendar green/operator for this universes rate that is represed ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit dur cocess rate that is represed ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity	Indar years?			Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet we was a VE test conducted by the own. Was the VE test conducted at a program of the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate comparate: Was a VE test conducted by the interval. Was the VE test conducted at a program of the VE test conducted at a program of the VE test conducted accorded to the VE test resulted in an opacity of the VE test resulted in an opacity the VE test resulted in the VE test r	rt OOO: g each of the past 4 cale within the current calenda wner/operator for this un rocess rate that is represe ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit dur rocess rate that is represe ding to EPA Method 9? ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opace	Indar years?			Yes	No
i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet we was a VE test conducted by the own. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the very large to the very large test. The VE test resulted in an opacity large test conducted by the interverse was the VE test conducted at a property large test conducted by the interverse was the VE test conducted at a property large test conducted at a property large test conducted at a property large test conducted according to the very large test conducted according test conducted according to the very large test conducted according test conducte	g each of the past 4 cale within the current calendar ener/operator for this uncocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity expector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity energy	Indar years?	Subpart		Yes	No
i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet we was a VE test conducted by the own. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the very large to the very large test. The VE test resulted in an opacity large test conducted by the interverse was the VE test conducted at a property large test conducted by the interverse was the VE test conducted at a property large test conducted at a property large test conducted at a property large test conducted according to the very large test conducted according test conducted according to the very large test conducted according test conducte	g each of the past 4 cale within the current calendar ener/operator for this was cocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity expector for this unit durates are that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity energy for the high pliance with the opa	Indar years?	Subpart	OOO cted, astruc	Yes	No
i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet we was a VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate composite was a VE test conducted by the interval. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. Was the VE test conducted according to the VE test demonstrate composite to the VE test demonstrate to the VE test demonstrate composite to the VE test demonstrate composite to the VE test demonstrate to the VE test dem	rt OOO: g each of the past 4 cale within the current calenda winer/operator for this un rocess rate that is represe ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit dur rocess rate that is represe ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opac EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Indar years?	Subpart	OO0cted, astructed, 22/200	Yes	No
ii. has the EU been tested yet we was a VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the very state b. Was the VE test conducted according to the VE test demonstrate computed. Did the VE test demonstrate computes a VE test conducted by the incomputes as the very state of the very state. Was the VE test conducted at a property was a VE test conducted at a property was a very state.	g each of the past 4 cale within the current calendar ener/operator for this uncocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity expector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity energy	Indar years?	Subpart	OOO cted, astruc	Yes	No

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	⊠ Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	⊠ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY 1. Does this facility learn records to show that it does not have the notantial to emit.	(check ☑ box for each a	only one question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- 🛛 Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include:		
a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	or	⊠No

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d) 1.3 million gallons of propane?		No No No
e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? () gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal prop 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar. 4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumptions.	ane/yr ≤ 1.00 te/yr	_
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	- 🗵 Yes	∐No
GENERAL CONDITIONS 1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	- Yes	⊠No
2. Does the owner or operator:a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	X Yes	□No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?		□No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□No
RELOCATABLE PLANT		only one
1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>)	box for each	question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? - 	[6)]	□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air oper permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose? If YES, what was the purpose? {Note: crushing recycled asphalt pavement (rap) at an asphalt plant is considered routine and so therefore must be authorized in the facility's air construction or operation permit.} b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at	Yes	⊠No
the permitted facility?		∐No □No

<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ☑	•
Administrative Changes:	box for each	n question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numl associated with a change in ownership or with a physical rele operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 	location of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? Yes	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that i d) A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new reg 30 days prior to the change? 	is substantially different?	□No□No□No□No
Jennifer Waltrip	December 13, 2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
/s/	December 2012	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: Department personnel conducted the annual air Panhandle Grading & Paving Crusher located on Wastle Road is available to assist during the inspection.		

The crusher was in operation during the inspection and no emissions were noted. Records of fuel usage and tons of material throughput were available for review. The site was not paved in the area around the crusher, but no fugitive emissions were noted. Other areas of the site are paved and well-maintained to prevent emissions from truck traffic.

The visible emissions test for calendar year 2011 was conducted on October 3, 2011. The test results reported the opacity to be within the permitted limits.