

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

June 21, 2011

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested jerrylong@panhandlepaving.com

Mr. Jerry Long Vice President Panhandle Grading & Paving, Inc. Post Office Box 3717 Pensacola, Florida 32516

Dear Mr. Long:

On June 7, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 7775283. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

(sove melton

CM/jw/c

Enclosure

c: Ricky Brooks, Group III Asphalt: rickybrooks5@aol.com



$\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\text{PLANTS}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA	SISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 7775283 DA	TE: <u>6/7/11</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART:	
FACILITY NAME: PA	NHANDLE GRADING & 1	PAVING-WASTLE RD		
FACILITY LOCATION	6108 WASTLE RD	•		
	MILTON 32583-8	8941		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO	D REPRESENTATIVE: OD: 10/17/2010 / 10/1 (effective date) (end da	7/2015	PHONE: (850)478-525 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	50
DADE A ANGREGATION	LOOMEN AND STATES	Facility Section		
IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-Co) NIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE
		~		
	resentative(s): Ricky Brook	_		(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Repriled If no, who is?:	resentative still JERRY LON -	NG?		⊠ Yes □No
	cility provide an administrati still?			☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No
4. Will facility be conducted If yes, was the compliant	cting VE test(s) during today ance authority notified at lea	y's inspection?ast 15 days in advance?		Yes ⊠No ☐ Yes ☐No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/conveyor,dieselRICE,200 T/hr capacity

		(check 🗹	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		□No □No □No
sul If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
σ.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/conveyor,dieselRICE,200 T/hr capacity

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	l ng	⊠No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
su. If	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 6/9/05		
	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	⊠No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes	□ No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

<u>1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/conveyor,dieselRICE,200 T/hr capacity</u>

16.Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	□ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	□No
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No □No □No □No

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/conveyor,dieselRICE,200 T/hr capacity

		and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with en a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo		rol device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU?		N	√A Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wi				
purpose of exhausting from a building	ng air carrying particula	tte matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}				
b. Was the EU found to be in compl				
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	om non-vent building op	penings less than or equal to 1%	opacity? Yes	∐No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control e	emissions from the EU?	·	Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator main			_	_
a. a device for the continuous measu	urement of the pressure l	oss of the gas stream through th	ne	
scrubber and the device has be	en calibrated on an annu	al basis in accordance with mar	nufacturer's	
				No
{Note: The monitoring device	must be certified by the	manufacturer to be accurate wit	thin +250	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pr	ressure.}			
and	unament of the same letter	liquid flow note to the court	hhan and the	
b. a device for the continuous measu		g nquid flow rate to the wet scru lance with manufacturer's instru		□ No
		manufacturer to be accurate with		∐No
of design scrubbing liquid flow		manufacturer to be accurate with	.IIIII ±370	
or design servesing riquid non	, 14001)			
4. When was the last VE test conduct	ted by the owner/opera	tor for this EU? 7/1/10		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			years? Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar	rt 000:	-		
i. has the EU been tested durin	ng each of the past 4 cale	endar vears?	X Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w		ar year?		_
	vithin the current calenda	ar year?	Yes	⊠No
5. Was a VE test conducted by the ov	within the current calenda wner/operator for this u	ar year? nit during this site visit?		✓No✓No
5. Was a VE test conducted by the ova. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the conducted by the ovarious of the conducted by the c	within the current calenda wner/operator for this u	ar year? nit during this site visit?		✓No✓No
5. Was a VE test conducted by the ova. Was the VE test conducted at a property Rate:	within the current calendary wner/operator for this unrocess rate that is represented that is represented to the current calendary within the current calendary w	ar year? unit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate?		■No□No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the conducted according to the test conducted by the own as the test conducted at a property of the test conducted by the own as the test conducted at a property of the test conducted by the own as the test conducted by the own as the test conducted according to the test conducted by the own as the test conducted by the own as the test conducted by the own as the test conducted by the test co	within the current calendal wner/operator for this userocess rate that is represeduing to EPA Method 9?	ar year? unit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate?		■No□No
5. Was a VE test conducted by the ova. Was the VE test conducted at a property Rate:	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is representating to EPA Method 9? by of% for the high	ar year? unit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? enest six-minute average.	Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the ovariance and a property and the VE test conducted at a property and the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate comparison.	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? by of% for the high pliance with the opacity	ar year? Init during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? nest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		NoNoNoNoNo
a. Was a VE test conducted by the ovariance at a property of the set of the s	within the current calendal wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? by of% for the high pliance with the opacity aspector for this unit due.	ar year? entative of the normal rate? nest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		NoNoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was a VE test conducted by the ovariance at a property of the vertical accordance at a property of the vertical accordance accordance. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts of the vertical accordance accordance accordance accordance accordance. 6. Was a VE test conducted by the in a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the vertical accordance acc	within the current calendal wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? by of% for the high pliance with the opacity aspector for this unit due.	ar year? entative of the normal rate? nest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		NoNoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the ovariate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate community. 6. Was a VE test conducted by the inate. a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted at a property.	within the current calendal within the current calendal with the curre	ar year? unit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? nest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) uring this site visit? entative of the normal rate?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the ovariance and a vector and a vecto	within the current calendal wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represented in the EPA Method 9? If y of% for the high appliance with the opacity aspector for this unit durocess rate that is represented in the EPA Method 9?	entative of the normal rate? limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the oval. a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the very series of the very series. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. Did the VE test demonstrate composite of the very series. 6. Was a VE test conducted by the integral accorded accorded to the very series. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity.	within the current calendal within the current calendal within the current calendal within the current calendal with the service of the control of the current calendal with the opacity aspector for this unit durocess rate that is represented in the current calendal within the curre	entative of the normal rate? entative (See chart below) entative of the normal rate? nest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) entative of the normal rate? entative of the normal rate?	Yes	 ■No □No □No □No □No □No □No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the ovariance and a vector of the vector	within the current calendal within the current calendal within the current calendal within the current calendal with the service of the control of the current calendal with the opacity aspector for this unit durocess rate that is represented in the current calendal within the curre	entative of the normal rate? entative (See chart below) entative of the normal rate? nest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) entative of the normal rate? entative of the normal rate?	Yes	 ■No □No □No □No □No □No □No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the ovariance and a vector of the vector	within the current calendal within the current calendal with the current calendal with the concess rate that is represent that is represent to EPA Method 9? If y of% for the high pliance with the opacity aspector for this unit durencess rate that is represent that is represent that is represent that is represent to EPA Method 9? If y of% for the high pliance with the opacity	entative of the normal rate? entative (See chart below) entative of the normal rate?	Yes	 ■No □No □No □No □No □No □No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the ovariance and a very a test conducted at a property of the very and the v	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represent the ding to EPA Method 9? If y of% for the high repliance with the opacity rocess rate that is represent that i	entative of the normal rate? limit? (See chart below) lentative of the normal rate? lest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) lentative of the normal rate? lest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) lest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the ovariance and a very a was the VE test conducted at a property of the very a v	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represent the ding to EPA Method 9? by of% for the high repliance with the opacity expector for this unit dustrocess rate that is represent ding to EPA Method 9? by of% for the high repliance with the opacity of% for the high repliance with the opacity with the opacity EU not subject to	ar year?	Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the ovariance and a very a was the VE test conducted at a property of the very a v	within the current calendal within the current calendal with the current rocess rate that is represented in the EPA Method 9? If y of% for the high pliance with the opacity respector for this unit durencess rate that is represented in the EPA Method 9? If y of% for the high pliance with the opacity represented in the control of the property of the pliance with the opacity of% for the high pliance with the opacity represented in the control of the property of the plant of the property of the plant of the property of the plant of the property of the pr	ar year?	Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the ovariance and a very a test conducted at a property of the very and the v	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represent the ding to EPA Method 9? by of% for the high repliance with the opacity expector for this unit dustrocess rate that is represent ding to EPA Method 9? by of% for the high repliance with the opacity of% for the high repliance with the opacity with the opacity EU not subject to	entative of the normal rate?	Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the ovariance and a very a test conducted at a property of the very and the v	wner/operator for this use rocess rate that is represent the ding to EPA Method 9? The second of the high replicance with the opacity rocess rate that is represent that is re	ar year?	Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the ovariance and a very a test conducted at a property of the very and the v	within the current calendal within the current calendal with the current rocess rate that is represented in the EPA Method 9? If y of% for the high pliance with the opacity respector for this unit durencess rate that is represented in the EPA Method 9? If y of% for the high pliance with the opacity represented in the control of the property of the pliance with the opacity of% for the high pliance with the opacity represented in the control of the property of the plant of the property of the plant of the property of the plant of the property of the pr	entative of the normal rate?	Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo

Emissions Unit Section 3 -NMMP Plant-screen 4'x10',w/55 ft belt convey,diesel pwr unit

box for ea Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants? [Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grantie, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Mart, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Bartie; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15) Ferlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	(check	k ☑ only one
Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO — Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants? (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gysum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? Yes 2. Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)? Yes 3. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983? Yes 4. Is the EU one of the following? Service of the following:	box for	each question)
(Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfae; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		•
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? Yes Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)? Yes Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983? Yes Yes Is the EU one of the following? crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.] If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? Yes Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? Yes	majority Granite, and and Gravel; Rock Salt; m Chloride, Borax, Kernite	<i>!;</i>
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? Yes Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)? Yes Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983? Yes Yes Is the EU one of the following? crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.] If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? Yes Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? Yes		
2. Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	X Ye	esNo
3. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983? ————————————————————————————————————		esNo
 □ crusher, □ grinding mill, □ bucket elevator, □ belt conveyor, □ bagging operation, □ storage bin, □ enclosed truck loading station □ enclosed railcar loading station; □ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? ———————————————————————————————————	X Ye	esNo
storage bin,	X Ye	esNo
□ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; Screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1-4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? □ □ □ Yes 6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? □ □ Yes 7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; ☑ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) ☐ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? ———————————————————————————————————		
but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. [A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.] If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		
 ☑ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. [A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		
oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		
and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		
plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		
building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. <i>[A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.]</i> If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? ———————————————————————————————————		
compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		
 air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		
If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		
subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		
any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		
 6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?		_
capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Ye	es 🗵No
7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
		es 🖾No
capacity less than of equal to 150 megagrams/nour (150 tons/nour) ?	□ v -	os Mo
8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	Ц Үе	es \(\sum \)No
equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Ye	es \(\simex\)No

3 –NMMP Plant-screen 4'x10',w/55 ft belt convey,diesel pwr unit

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	⊠No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
su. If	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 6/9/05		
	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	⊠No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNo
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

3 –NMMP Plant-screen 4'x10',w/55 ft belt convey,diesel pwr unit

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		Yes	No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	- 🔲 '	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
 a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	□No
questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No □No □No □No

3 –NMMP Plant-screen 4'x10',w/55 ft belt convey,diesel pwr unit

If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	No No No
initial startup of the EU? ———————————————————————————————————	No No
A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	No No
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	No
one or more affected EUs.} b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	No
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? Yes 23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? ———————————————————————————————————	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	
instructions? Yes	
	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}	
and	
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}	
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 7/1/10	
	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	
	No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? Yes	No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit:	No
*	140
Rate:	NIa
	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	NTa
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) Yes	No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes	
*	No
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	No
	100
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	Ma
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) Yes	No
VE Opacity Limits	
EU not subject to Subpart OOO EU Subpart OOO EU	
40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, constructed, modified,	
Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior or reconstructed on or	
to 4/22/2008 after 4/22/2008	
	\dashv
	\dashv
All other affected EUs 20% 10% 7%	

Emissions Unit Section <u>5 –NMMP Plant-radial conveyor/stacker</u>, 67'L, hydraulic driven

2. Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?			(check 🗹	only one
Note: "Nommetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzile, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Punice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Bartie; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumoriterite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		ł	ox for each	question)
Note: "Nommetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzile, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Punice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Bartie; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumoriterite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Is			•
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granic Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice	ty te, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
3. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		□No
□ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. [A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? ———————————————————————————————————	3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	No No
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	5.	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	□ Vos	⊠ No
7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
		Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	8.		Yes	⊠No

<u>5 –NMMP Plant-radial conveyor/stacker, 67'L, hydraulic driven</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material	iI	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	(Note: Wet mining apprection means a mining or dradging apprection designed and apprected to extract		
	(Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
Ιf	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
,	z		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 6/9/05		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	⊠No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
12	Does the EII have a particulate matter conture quetare (equipment including analoguese		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
	Hoods, rails, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	1 es	140
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
15	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	□ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		□No

<u>5 –NMMP Plant-radial conveyor/stacker, 67'L, hydraulic driven</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		Yes	No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	- 🔲 '	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
 a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	□No
questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No □No □No □No

<u>5 –NMMP Plant-radial conveyor/stacker, 67'L, hydraulic driven</u>

individually in compliance with em	•	and all enclosed EUs are not			
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform	rmed on each vent contr				
initial startup of the EU?			/A	Yes Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh					
purpose of exhausting from a building	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}	on as with the DM limit	of 0.05 a/doom (0.022 am/doof)		□ Vac	
b. Was the EU found to be in complic. Were initial fugitive emissions fro				Yes Yes	□No □No
c. Were minal rugitive emissions no	om non-vent bunding op	ennigs less than of equal to 7%	opacity !	res	NO
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the FII?			Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint				103	<u></u>
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through th	е		
scrubber and the device has bee					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device r					
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	_				
and	,				
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scru	bber and the	9	
device has been calibrated on a	n annual basis in accord	ance with manufacturer's instru	ctions ?	Yes	☐No
{Note: The monitoring device r	nust be certified by the	manufacturer to be accurate wit	hin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow					
24. When was the last VE test conduct					_
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60		TU been tested within the past 5	years?	Yes	LNo
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar					_
i. has the EU been tested during				∑ Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calenda	ar year?		☐ Yes	⊠No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow	nor/onerator for this w	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own				Yes	No
Rate:	occss rate that is represe	intative of the normal rate:		1 CS	
 b. Was the VF test conducted accord 	ling to FPA Method 97.			□ Ves	□ No
		est six-minute average		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	est six-minute average.			
	of% for the high	est six-minute average.		☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	of% for the high bliance with the opacity	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacityd. Did the VE test demonstrate comp6. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ins</i>	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit du	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit?			
 c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp 6. Was a VE test conducted by the instance a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr 	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit du	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
 c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp 6. Was a VE test conducted by the instance at the vertical and ver	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit du ocess rate that is represe	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	□No
 c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp 6. Was a VE test conducted by the instance a. Was the VE test conducted at a presented by the instance. b. Was the VE test conducted according to the very series. 	of% for the high bliance with the opacity spector for this unit dur- ocess rate that is represe	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No
 c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp 6. Was a VE test conducted by the instance at the vertical and the vertical and the vertical and the vertical and vertica	of% for the high bliance with the opacity spector for this unit dur- ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? - of% for the high	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average.		☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts. 6. Was a VE test conducted by the instance a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the very description. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high bliance with the opacity spector for this unit dur- ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? - of% for the high	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average.		Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNo
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the instance a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the very description. The VE test resulted in an opacity description.	of% for the high bliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high bliance with the opacity	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNo
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the instance a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the very description. The VE test resulted in an opacity description.	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opac	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the instance a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the very description. The VE test resulted in an opacity description.	of% for the high bliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high bliance with the opacity VE Opac EU not subject to	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) eity Limits Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO EI	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the instance a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity.	of% for the high bliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high bliance with the opacity VE Opac EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) eity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified,	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO EU	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the instance a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the very description. The VE test resulted in an opacity description.	of% for the high bliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high bliance with the opacity VE Opac EU not subject to	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) eity Limits Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO EI	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the instance a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according to the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity.	of% for the high bliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high bliance with the opacity VE Opac EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) eity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified,	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO EV	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the instance. a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr. Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp.	of% for the high bliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high bliance with the opacity VE Opace EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) eity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO EV	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compacts. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the instance a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the VE test conducted according to the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity.	of% for the high bliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high bliance with the opacity VE Opac EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) eity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO El ted, mod structed 2/2008	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	⊠ Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□ No ⊠ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	☐ Yes	⊠ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each of	only one question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- X Yes - X Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include:		
a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	r	⊠No

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Anne/yr < 1.00	No No No No No
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consur for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption	□No
GENERAL CONDITIONS 1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	_	⊠No
a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🛛 Yes	□No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□No
RELOCATABLE PLANT 1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	[6)]	□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		⊠No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	⊠ Yes	□No

<u>CHANGES</u>	(check	only one each question)
Administrative Changes:		each question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the		
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin	istrative change at the facility? Y	es 🗵No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of		esNo
* *		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a) Installation of any new process equipment?	Y	es 🛛 No
b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Y	es 🖾No
c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substa		es 🖾No
d) A change in ownership?		es \overline{\times}\text{No}
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration		
30 days prior to the change?	Y	es \(\sigma_\)No
50 day	_	
Jennifer Waltrip	June 7, 2011	
Jennier waitip	Julie 7, 2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_
/s/	June 2012	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: Department personnel conducted the annual air progra	am compliance inspection on June 7, 2011	of the Panhandle
Grading & Paving Crusher located on Wastle Road in Santa Rosa Coun		
to assist during the inspection.	ity. Wil. Nicky Blooks, I tulk Supermente	III, was available
to assist during the hispection.		
The amelian was in energion during the inspection and no emissions we	are noted. Departs of fuel usage and tone	of matarial
The crusher was in operation during the inspection and no emissions we		or material
throughput were available for review. The site was not paved, but no e	xcessive fugitive emissions were noted.	
TT	1 1 100/	. 1
The most recent visible emissions test was conducted on July 1, 2010 a		it drop points. The
visible emission test for federal fiscal year 2011 shall be completed price		
	or to December 31, 2011.	
Questions on the checklist made less visible are not applicable to this cr		