

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Governor Leff Kottkamp

Charlie Crist

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole Secretary

August 20, 2009

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL donaldlong@panhandlepaving.com

Mr. Johnnie F. Long, President Panhandle Grading & Paving, Inc. Post Office Box 3687 Pensacola, Florida 32516

Dear Mr. Long:

On July 20, 2009, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 7775283. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

As a reminder, authority to operate this facility expires on June 9, 2010. To avoid lapse of authority to operate, an owner or operator intending to continue to use an air general permit must submit the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air operation permit or air general permit.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Carol Melton at 850/595-8300, extension 1228 or carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Erica Mitchell

Air Compliance Supervisor

Einen Mitchell

RB/cm/c

Enclosure



$\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\text{PLANTS}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	· · ·
AIRS ID#: 7775283 DA	TE: <u>7/20/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:45 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:10 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: PA	NHANDLE GRADING & PA	VING	
FACILITY LOCATION	S: 6108 Wastle Rd		
	MILTON 32583-894	41	
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: JO	DHNNIE LONG PHONE	: (850)478-5250
CONTACT NAME: R	icky Brooks, Plant Superinten	dent PHONE	: (850)478-5250
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 6/9/2005 / 6/9/2010 (effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION IN COMPLIANCE	CE MINOR Non-COM	·	T Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II-A: AIR GENE (check R appropriat	RAL PERMITS – Rule 62-2 re box(es))	10.310, F.A.C.	
		<u>ligibility</u> – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.	A.C.
a) 10 tons per year	eep records to show that it doe ar or more of any hazardous ai	s not have the potential to emit: r pollutant?	Yes No N/A
		of hazardous air pollutants?	
		ated air pollutants?	Yes No N/A
of units and ac or Rule 62-4.0	units or activities not covered by tivities that are exempt from p 40, F.A.C.?;		tule 62-210.300(3), F.A.C., Yes No N/A
general permit	and the air general permit of i	another air general permit where nterest specifically allow the use of	of one another
1. Has the owner or	operator of this facility comple	h/Re-registration – Rule 62-210.3 eted and submitted the proper regist be used?;	
2. Does this facility	have a current valid air genera	l permit (entitlement to operate)?;-	
·	ENERAL PERMITS – Rule	62-210.310, F.A.C., Cont.	
(check R appropriat 3. Has there been a continuous co		part of the facility?;	Yes No N/A
4. Have there been a	ny new administrative, constru	action, modification, or equipment	changes that require
a re-registration?-			Yes No N/A

	NERAL CONDITIONS – Rule 62-210.310(3), F.A.C. Does the air general permit registration form contain all current information regarding the facility?;	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A
2.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allow the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?;	
3.	Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?;	
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with terms and conditions of the air general permit?;	all ⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A
4.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, at to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air gent permit and Department rules?	neral
DART	II-B: <u>DETERMINATION</u> <u>OF FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABILITY</u>	
	neck \mathbf{R} only <u>one</u> box)	
∑ <u>F(</u>	DR FACILTIES SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1))	
(If	you have checked R this category, answer <u>all</u> questions <u>INCLUDING</u> those with **.)	
ele hot mi	bject Facilities: (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, sevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or a sphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphald facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)	rushers & grinding mills at
	<u>PR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO</u> : (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), Tyou have checked R this category, answer <u>all</u> questions <u>EXCEPT</u> those with **.)	and (d))
gri sai plants,	on-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operation and mills; facilities not subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Fand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; particles of 23 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay placapacities of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.)	cilities) of this part; <u>fixed</u> ortable sand & gravel
	III: EMISSION STANDARDS – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C. check R appropriate box(es))	
Stac	k Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40).	0 CFR 60,
	Appendix A)?	
**2.	Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any off affected emission point:	ner
	**a) exceed 7 % percent opacity?	
	**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of $\underline{0.05}$ grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? □ Yes ⊠ No

PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C., Cont. (check R appropriate box(es))	
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin exceed 7% percent opacity?	
<u>Visible Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?	
**2. Do visible emissions from any: **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity?	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity? Yes No	
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point NOT subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity?	
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	,
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed in a building? (<i>If answer to question #4 is YES, then proceed to #4.a</i>))	
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (<i>If</i> answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).)	
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is: 1) the particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	
2) the opacity greater than $\underline{7}\%$ percent? \square Yes \square No	
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed $\underline{7}\%$ percent opacity? \square Yes \square No	
**5. Do visible emissions from any: **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity? Yes No	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:	
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?	
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line?	

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.	
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3, F.A.C.) 1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3.e., F.A.C.)	No
Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.) 2. Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? ✓ Yes ✓ I	No
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?	No
<u>Test Methods and Procedures</u> – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9? X Yes	No
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22? Yes 🔲 Yes	No
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17? Yes 🔲 Yes	No
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and	
40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]	
Facility and/or Equipment Replacement	
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility and/or equipment:	ty
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?	No
**b) for a Screening Operation, **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?	No
**c) for a Conveyor Belt, **1)the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt? Yes I	No
**d) for a Storage Bin, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?	No
Performance/Compliance Testing	
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate? Yes	No
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance test?	No
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar quarters?	No

PARTITION DECORPTION DECORPTION DECLINE DESCRIPTION DELLA CASA CASA CASA CASA CASA CASA CASA C	
PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (<i>Continued</i>) (check R appropriate box(es)	
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance value of the part 60.672(e))?	vith
Process Changes	
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (<i>If your answer to this question is <u>YES</u>, then answer <u>either</u> a)1) <u>or</u> a)2) below.)</i>	⊠ Yes □ No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system: **1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (Note: The unsaturated material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b) and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	☐ Yes ⊠ No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (Note: The saturated material handling processes would now be subject to the no visible emission limit in 40 CFR 60.672(h) (If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)).)
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the change?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Notification Requirements	
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY — Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (check R appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable [□]; 2) stationary [□]; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check R only one box above.) (NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.) a) If this is a relocatable facility was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation?———— b) If this is a relocatable facility, is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from deposits? (If your answer to this question is NO, please proceed to question 1) below.)————————————————————————————————————	re box for rall Yes No onsite Yes No Yes No
the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	☐ Yes ☐ No

PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (Cont	inued)	
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es))		
**2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OC adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.</i>)	to	0
**a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:		
**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?		
**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	∐ Yes ∐ No	O
**b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	Yes No	0
**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?		
**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	Yes No	О
PART VI: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.		
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es))		
 Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable (<i>Please check R only one box.</i>) 	е	
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants, located with		
stationary or relocatable concreted batching plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	0
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel	⊠ Yes □ No	О
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline	☐ Yes ☐ No	О
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas	☐ Yes ☐ No	О
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane	☐ Yes ☐ No	О
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite	☐ Yes ☐ No	0
3. Does the owner/operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant submitting this registration maintain a log book or books to account for fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	⊠ Yes □ No	0
4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (not a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant?	Ves □ N	0
a) If YES , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the		J
operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	Yes No	О
5. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as		_
destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?	Yes No	
	☐ Yes ☐ No	

PART VII: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CO 210.310(5)(e)3.c., F.A.C.	ONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-	
(check R appropriate box(es))		
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)		
Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral processions by:		ined
-	rop points? Yes	⊠ No
	yards, which shall include one or more of the following: stock piles, and yards? Yes	□ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust- emissions?	-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control \bigsize Yes	☐ No
 removal of particulate matter from roads and othe re-entrainment, and from building or work areas t 	er paved areas under control of the owner/operator to to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of w particulate matter from stock piles?		□ No
*	Yes	☐ No
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipme matter?	ent to contain, capture and/or vent particulate Yes	⊠ No
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?	Yes	⊠ No
b) alteration of existing process equipment without rec) replacement of existing equipment substantially dis	replacement?	⊠ No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without re c) replacement of existing equipment substantially direcent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the onotification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050,	replacement?	⊠ No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without re c) replacement of existing equipment substantially direcent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the onotification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, local program office?	Pplacement?	⊠ No ⊠ No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without re c) replacement of existing equipment substantially direcent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the onotification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, local program office?	Pplacement?	⊠ No ⊠ No

crusher was crushing recycled asphalt. The annual VE tests is scheduled for August 4, 2009.