

## $\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (  RE-INSPEC	INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV ARMS COMPLAINT N	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
AIRS ID#: 7775283 DATE: 12/15/20	<u>006</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:45 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>2:00 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: PANHANDLE GRADING & PAVING					
FACILITY LOCATION: 55 E. Quintette Rd.					
CAN	TONMENT 32516				
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: JOHN	NIE LONG (donaldle	ong@panhandlepaving.com	) <b>PHONE:</b> (850)478-5250		
CONTACT NAME:		PHON	IE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITLE	MENT PERIOD: 6/9/2003			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ M	IINOR Non-COMPL	LIANCE SIGNIFICA	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: <u>DETERMINATION</u> <u>OF</u> <u>F</u> (check ☑ only <u>one</u> box)	ACILITY TYPE/A	PPLICABILITY			
<b>FOR FACILTIES SUBJECT TO</b> (If you have checked <b>I</b> this cate					
<u>Subject Facilities</u> : (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)					
☐ FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJE (If you have checked ☑ this cate)					
grinding mills; facilities not subject sand & gravel plants, & crushed st	t to subparts F (Portlone plants w/capaciticities of 136 megagi	and Cement Plants) or I (Hoies of 23 megagrams/hr (25	screening operations at plants w/o crushers or ot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; <u>fixed</u> tons/hr) or less; <u>portable</u> sand & gravel; common clay plants, and pumice plants		

PART III: EMISSION STANDARDS – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?	7 N.
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on	7 140
belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other	
affected emission point:	
**a) exceed 7% percent opacity?	No
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	No
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage	_
bin exceed <b>7</b> % percent opacity?	No
Visible Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,	<b>-</b>
Appendix A)?	∫ No
**2. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity?	∃ No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,	] 110
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,	
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point <b>NOT</b> subject to 40 CFR Part 60,	
Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity?	] No
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, I	F.A.C.
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging	
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed	7
in a building? (If answer to question #4 is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to #4.a))	7 No
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? ( <i>If answer to this question is <u>NO</u>, then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) &amp; 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).)</i>	No
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is:	] 140
1) the particulate matter in excess of <b>0.05 grams</b> per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	No
2) the opacity greater than $\underline{7}\%$ percent?	No
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed 7% percent opacity?	No
**5. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	_
percent opacity?	No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	] No
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:	
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to	
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?   Yes	1 No
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors	7 140
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket	
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin	
	No

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)  1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)——————————————————————————————————	⊠Yes □ No
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:  a) initial compliance prior to beginning commercial operation?  b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification form submittal date?	1
<ul> <li>Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)</li> <li>3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:</li> <li>a) compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form?</li></ul>	□Yes □ No
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification form submittal date?	ı □Yes □ No
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.  4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9?  5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22?  6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17?	⊠Yes ☐ No
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C. )[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]	
<ul> <li>Facility and/or Equipment Replacement</li> <li>**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of and/or equipment:</li> </ul>	existing facility
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rate capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment? **b) for a Screening Operation,	d
**1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the top surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?  **c) for a Conveyor Belt,	□Yes ⊠ No
**1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt?  **d) for a Storage Bin,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?	_
<ul> <li>Performance/Compliance Testing</li> <li>**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change</li> </ul>	
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance.	o d ace
test? **a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar quarters?	

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Continued)	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)	Ī
	ı
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with	
· //	⊠Yes □ No
Process Changes  **11 Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a helt conveyor system? (If your	,
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES, then answer either a)1) or a)2) below.</i> )	⊠Yes □ No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:	X Yes no
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? ( <i>Note: The unsaturated</i>	,
material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b)	,
	□Yes ⊠ No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? ( <i>Note: The saturated</i>	
material handling processes would now be subject to the no visible emission limit in 40 CFR 60.672	
(If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	∐Yes ⊠ No
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the	
change?	☐Yes ☐ No
Notification Requirements  **12. We notification of the actual data of startum for each affected an combination of affected facilities	,
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities	Mr. Mo
submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?***a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial	⊠Yes ☐ No
	□Yes □ No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also	_168
	⊠Yes □ No
include com the nonic contest and the contest	<b>4100</b> L
PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check $\square$ appropriate box(es))	
(Check in appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable [☐]; 2) stationary [☐]; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable [☐] concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check [☐] one box above.)  (NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the b stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all	box for
relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)	<i>u</i>
a) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a	
	Yes 🗌 No
b) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from one	
deposits? (If your answer to this question is NO, please proceed to question 1) below.)	∃Yes ⊠ No
1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray	· - !
bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the	
conveyor drop points?	Yes No
c) If this is a <u>stationary facility</u> , does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water	
suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s),	7**
the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	JYes ∐ No

	V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Cont	inued)
(cl	neck ☑ appropriate box(es))	
**2.	Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OC adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed	to
	<i>questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.</i> )	□Yes ⊠ No
**	a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:	
	**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	☐Yes ☐ No
	**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	☐Yes ☐ No
**	b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	□Yes □ No
	**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	□Yes □ No
	**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	
3.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant using	
	individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this question)	
	is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	☐Yes ⊠ No
	a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	Yes No
	b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate unde	er
	a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	□Yes □ No
	c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
	d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	□Yes □ No
4.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete	
	batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this	
	question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5.)	□Yes ⊠ No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	Yes No
	b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
5.	Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing	_
	plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	Yes No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
	calendar year?	☐Yes ☐ No
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	Yes No
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	Yes No
6.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	☐Yes ☐ No
	b) material processed on a monthly basis?	Yes No
	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	Yes No
7.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (no	-t
	a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt	
	plant?	⊠Yes ☐ No
	a) If <b>YES</b> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the	_
	operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	⊠Yes ☐ No
8.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as	_ <b>_</b>
	destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?	□Yes ⊠ No
	a) If <b>YES</b> , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	☐Yes ☐ No
		_ <b>_</b>

PART VI: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-					
210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C.					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)  1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral processi emissions by:  a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars located crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yar 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-sup emissions?	d at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the points?	Yes			
PART VII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – R  A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?  b) alteration of existing process equipment without replace c) replacement of existing equipment substantially difference recent notification form?  d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the own notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, I local program office?	cement? ent than that noted on the most er submit a new and complete F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes         ⊠No           ☐Yes         ⊠No           ☐Yes         ⊠No           ☐Yes         ☐No			
Michael Gordon	12/15/2006				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
/s/	8-12 Months				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_			

**COMMENTS:** Crusher not operating during inspection. Plant supervisor for Group III Asphalt said that they were having some maintenance issues and had only used the unit for a few days. He sent the Department a copy of their records for the machine in the month of December.