

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0710235 DATE: <u>03/06/07</u>	ARRIVE: <u>07:30</u> DEPART: <u>09:00</u>
FACILITY NAME: WEST LAKES CONCRETE BAT	ICH PLANT
FACILITY LOCATION: 15470 Alico Road	
FORT MYERS FL 33	3913
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: ROBERT BROWN	PHONE: (239)337-3993
CONTACT NAME: Bill Arlington	PHONE:
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2007 ENTITI	LEMENT PERIOD: 5/28/2005 / 5/28/2010 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (c	heck only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COM	MPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIRE (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.
	<u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batcher controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collat a rate that is representative of the normal silo let 	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batcher controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible During visible emissions tests of the silo dust col at a rate that is representative of the normal silo le unless such rate is unachievable in practice? Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) of to this question is "Yes", then continue on to queskip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.) 	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes ⊠ No		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
	e 🗌		
 Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————			

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
U				
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant taken	ra reasonable presentions to control unconfined			
emissions by:	te reasonable precautions to control uncommed			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:				
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes No				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control				
emissions?	emissions?			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other	or to			
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to	reduce airborne particulate matter?	□Yes □ No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of win				
particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	e emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes □ No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES -	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	× / / /			
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?		⊠Yes □ No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without re		□Yes ⊠ No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially diff	erent than that noted on the most			
recent notification form?		□Yes ⊠ No		
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?		□Yes ⊠ No		
iocai program office:				
Wayne Lewis	03/06/07			
<u>-</u>				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
inspector s digitatore	Approximate Date of Next hispection			
COMMENTS:				
COMMENTS.				