	WEITUL PROTECTION	
NG.BI	1 Car	
E FI	ORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	INT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 0710234 DATE: <u>1/10/14</u> ARRIVE: <u>8</u>	B:00 am DEPART: <u>10:00 am</u>			
FACILITY NAME: WEST LAKES CONCRETE BATCH PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 15460 ALICO RD				
FORT MYERS 33913-8263				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TERRY DRAKE Email: terrydrake@drakereadymix.com	PHONE: (239)590-9199 Mobile:			
CONTACT NAME: TERRY DRAKE Email: terrydrake@drakereadymix.com	PHONE: (239)590-9199 Mobile:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/11/2013 / 3/11/2018 (effective date) (end date)				
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)				
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one			
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Terry Drake</u>	box for each question)			
Brief Notes:				
 Is the Authorized Representative still TERRY DRAKE? If no, who is?: 	YesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 3. Is the facility contact still TERRY DRAKE?				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance				

Emissions Unit Section

1 -CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/dust collector,	215 Bbl capacit	ty subject to	5% Opacity Limit
---------------------------	---------------------	-----------------	---------------	------------------

 PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u> 1. Date of last inspection: <u>9/21/2011</u> 	(check \mathbf{M} box for each each each each each each each each	only one question)
 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
 d. Date of last VE test: 3/11/2013 	Yes Yes	🗌 No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>32.45</u> tons/hour	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
 k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Yes Yes	🗌 No
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes Yes	🗌 No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0.0 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Xes Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con-		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \bigotimes Yes \square No \square N/A – silo not load		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>27.9</u> tons/hour	🛛 Yes	∐ No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ and go to	\square Yes h .	🛛 No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	🗌 No
 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?		🗌 No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?		🗌 No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>6.0</u> minutes.	_	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? 	☐ Yes	
 d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 		

Emissions Unit Section 3 –Cement silo - load out subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 9/21/2011	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	∇ Vac	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	\bigvee Yes	
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	🖂 Yes	∐ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A	Yes Yes	🗌 No
d. Date of last VE test: $3/11/2013$	_	_
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	⊠ Yes □ Yes	∐ No □ No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? 	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
 j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Xes Yes	🗌 No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment		•
	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	X Yes	□ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0.0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? 		— No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con	nducted at a r	ato
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \Box Yes \Box No \Box N/A – silo not load		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	<u> r</u> es	∐ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	_	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go to a	\Box Yes <i>h</i> .	🛛 No
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 		🗌 No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat duration?	\boxtimes Yes	🗌 No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu	tes	
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	is separate	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle	ector	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?	Yes Yes	🗌 No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>6.0</u> minutes.		
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	🗌 No
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.		
d. What was the process rate: tons/nour.		

Emissions Unit Section 4 –south silo subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
1.	Date of last inspection: <u>9/21/2011</u>	box for each	question)
2.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	V.	
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	∐ No □ No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
	operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 3/11/2013	Yes Yes	∐ No
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	\boxtimes Yes \boxtimes Yes	□ No □ No
	 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? 	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
	 j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
PA	RT II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹	only one
	enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes Yes	🗌 No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0.0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
	that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \boxtimes Yes \square No \square N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		pection.
	f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>39.4</u> tons/hour		
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to	\square Yes	🛛 No
	 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 	Yes	🗌 No
	duration?	Yes	🗌 No
	 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which 		
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll	ector	
	 conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>6.0</u> minutes. 	Yes	🗌 No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🖂 No
	 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. 	Yes	🗌 No
	 c. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? 	Yes	🗌 No
	d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.		

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	
	(check $\mathbf{\nabla}$ only one box for each question)
	box for each question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	
c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes 🛛 No
2. Does this facility include:	
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with th	ne exception of
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.30	
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such oth	
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility	$7? \dots$ Yes \square No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes No
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?	
c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?	Yes No
d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?	Yes No
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	? Yes No
$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr} + \text{gal gasoline/yr} + MM \text{ SCF nat. gas/yr} + M}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + M}$	
275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM	/i gai propane/yr
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly	fuel consumption
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	
r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r	

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
 a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access	Yes	□ No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary 🖾; relocatable 🛄; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable 🔲 concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the followi</i>)		•
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? 	(6)]	□ No
 c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? 	6)]	No No
 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	e)? 🗌 Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		No No
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent		. ,

associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? ---- Yes

2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ------ 🗌 Yes

a. Installation of any new process equipment? ------ Yes

c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? ------ [] Yes

d. A change in ownership? ------ Yes

b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? -----

4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? — Yes

ROBERT J. STEWART	

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

<u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been

Date of Inspection

1/2016

obert J. Stewart

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Facility is in compliance at this time. A small amount of dust was seen coming from one of the joints of the truck batching dust collector during the visible emissions test. Operations manager was informed of this and said that he would look at the joint and tighten it up.

No No

No No

🖂 No

 \boxtimes

No

🛛 No

No No

□ No

Yes