

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)						
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0710234 DATE: <u>09/21/11</u> ARRIVE: <u>09:10</u> DEPAR	RT: <u>10:50</u>					
FACILITY NAME: WEST LAKES CONCRETE BATCH PLANT						
FACILITY LOCATION: 15460 ALICO RD						
FORT MYERS 33913-8263						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TERRY DRAKE Email: terrydrake@drakereadymix.com CONTACT NAME: TERRY DRAKE Email: terrydrake@drakereadymix.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/13/2009 / 8/13/2014 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (239)590- Mobile: PHONE: (239)590- Mobile: PHONE: (239)590- Mobile: (239)590- Mobile: (239)590- Mobile:						
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING						
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Terry Drake</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still TERRY DRAKE? If no, who is?:	🛚 Yes 🗀No					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still TERRY DRAKE?						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/dust collector, 215 Bbl capacity subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 09/24/10 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	(check ☑ box for each ☐ Yes	only one question) No No No No No
	i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?		□ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	□ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes Yes	☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	- Yes	☐ No
	 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected which has the silong state of the second dust in t	n is separate ector	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration (2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.	es	□ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		□ No□ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? 27.6 tons/hour. 	⊠ Yes	☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared (275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared (3,000 gal gasoline/yr 45 MM gal gas/yr 45 MM gal propared (3,000 gal gasoline/yr 45 MM gal gas/yr 45 MM	$\frac{\text{ane/yr}}{\text{e/yr}} \le 1.00$?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		⊠ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	⊠ Vas	□ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		∐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	· 🛚 Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s	tationary and relocatable	(check ☑ box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (I)		g question 2.))
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		- Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day j b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica	ess days following a relocation?tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	- Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a			∐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose?	pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?			□ No □ No
CHANGES		(check v box for each	
Administrative Changes:			1
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of t			
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	of the facility or any emissions un histrative change at the facility?	its or - Yes	⊠ No □ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or -	NoNoNoNoNoNo
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or -	No No No No No No No
 associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or -	No No No No No No No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or -	No No No No No No No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or -	No No No No No No No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub 09/21/11 Date of Inspection	its or -	No No No No No No