

# **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>		ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		AINT/DISCOVE OMPLAINT NO	· · · · —		
ΑI	RS ID#: 7775275 DAT	ΓΕ: <u>10/14/11</u>	ARRIVE:	12:25 PM	DEPART: 1:15 PM	<u>I</u>	
FA	CILITY NAME: ATI	LANTA AVENUE READ	Y-MIX PLANT				
FA	CILITY LOCATION	: 1406 ATLANTA A	AVE				
		ORLANDO 3280	06-3917				
CO	WNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: DNTACT NAME: SI Email: VTITLEMENT PERIC		014	PHONI Mobile: PHONI Mobile:	E: (407)841-8409		
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
<u> </u>							
		resentative(s): Robert Carl		<u>r</u>	(check box for e	only one each question)	
2.	Is the Authorized Representation, who is?:	esentative still SIGURD B	O?		X Yes	s 🗀No	
3.		ility provide an administrat				_	
4.		ting VE test(s) during toda nce authority notified at lea				= 1	

# Emissions Unit Section 5 –CCB Plant-split bin (cement) compartment #2 w/baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 9/8/11 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	☐ Yes	only one question)  No No No No No No No
	<ul> <li>j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour</li> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	∑ Yes	□ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes	☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	
	f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	☐ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		⊠ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	- Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth.</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.</li> </ul>	n is separate	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>17</u> minutes.	? Xes	☐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	<ul><li>∑ Yes</li><li>∑ Yes</li></ul>	☐ No ☐ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	⊠ Yes	□ No

## **Facility Section (continued)**

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2. Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	<ul><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li></ul>	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propar 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane		?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	tion  Yes	☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 o box for each q	
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	- □ Yes	⊠ No
Does the owner or operator:     a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No

RI	RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one					
1.	Is the facility: stationary $\square$ ; relocatable $\boxtimes$ ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable $\square$ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following</i> )	box for each g question 2.)	• '			
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	- Yes	⊠ No			
	<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]</li> </ul>		☐ No			
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	Yes	☐ No			
	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? -	X Yes	☐ No			
3.	If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?		☐ No			
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	- Yes	☐ No			
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	- Yes	☐ No			
<u>C1</u>	HANGES .	(check <b>☑</b> box for each				
1. 2. <u>Ne</u>	Iministrative Changes:  Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions undeperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	its or -	⊠ No □ No □ No			
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?  d. A change in ownership?	- Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No			
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub 30 days prior to the change?	mitted - Yes	☐ No			
No	orma Ali 10/14/11					
	Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Date of Inspection					
	12/31/2012					
	Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection				

**COMMENTS:** The Inspector Norma Ali, met with Jeff Woody, Batch Plant and Matt Welborn to audit the annual compliance test on EU 005. Product was loaded from silo to West truss bin. Opacity observed was zero percent. The process took 17 minutes.

At the time of inspection, a tanker was loading cement to one of the silos, inspector noticed that the hose from the tanker to the hook up part of the silo was cracked and dust was coming out from the hose. Matt Welborn mentioned to Mr. Woody, who tried to make a improvised repair and the tanker driver was adding water to that part of the hose, to prevent dust to fly. Inspector requested to Mr. Woody to repair or replace that hose as soon as possible, to prevent a greater leakage. Mr. Woody said he was going to fix it. The yard is paved. No objectionable odors or dust leaving the property was observed.