

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE : ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 7775275 DATE: <u>1/16/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>07:40</u> DEPART: <u>11:02</u>			
FACILITY NAME: ATLANTA AVE, ORLANDO			
FACILITY LOCATION: 1406 Atlanta Ave			
ORLANDO 32806-3917			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID GUILLAUME PHONE: (770)392-5300			
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/12/2007 / 12/12/2012			
(effective date) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
DARTH, TESTING/DECORD/JEEDING DECHIDEMENTS DL. (2.30/ 414 E.A.C.			
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
Stack Emissions			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?			
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,			
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? No			
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then			
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)			
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?			
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector			
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No			

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III. OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIRE	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u> MIDITIO – MIR UM-M/U-TIT(M/(II) IIII (W/) I MINO (U</u>	Onumuea,		
(Check in appropriate con(CS))				
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:	1			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, a	and yards, which shall include one or more of the follo	owing:		
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking area	as, stock piles, and yards?	∐Yes ∏ No		
2) application of water or environmentally safe du	lust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control			
emissions?		_		
	other paved areas under control of the owner/operator t			
		∐Yes □ No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of		_		
	· <u>D</u>			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mit	tigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	∐Yes □ No		
DADTIN. SDECIAL CONDITIONS AND DEOCEDIDE	2C Dula 42 210 200(A)(A)A F A C			
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	<u>5</u> – Kule 02-210.300(4)(0)4., r.A.C.			
A. New of Mounted Process Equipment				
Since the last inspection has there been				
		∃Yes ⊠ No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment withor	ut replacement?	Tes ⊠ No Yes ⊠ No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially]103 KA1.0		
		Yes No		
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
		∃Yes □ No		
room program office.	_			
Assefa Hailemariam	1/16/2008			
Ingrestor's Name (Diago Drint)	Date of Inspection			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of inspection			
	~1/16/2010			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: EU01(east side of the cement silo is not done VE).	at this time due to truck was late and consultant has to	o go for another		