OWERTAL PROTECTION	
Same Harry	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 7770083 DATE: July 2, 2008 ARRIVE: 1:00pm DEPART: 1:20pm	
FACILITY NAME: BOARDWALK BEACH RESORT	
FACILITY LOCATION: 9420 S Thomas Dr	
PANAMA CITY BEACH 32408	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JOSEPH CHAPMAN PHONE: (850)769-8981	
CONTACT NAME: Joseph Chapman PHONE: (850)769-8981	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/21/2005 / 3/21/2010 (effective date) (end date)	
Plant was not built.	
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
Plant was not built.	
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	□ No □ No □ No

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)
<u>Plant was not built.</u>
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? □Yes □ No b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? □Yes □ No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))
<u>Plant was not built.</u>
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary : 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Zonly one box.</i>)
 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i>.)

~,		
b)	material processed on a monthly basis?	□Yes □ No
c)	the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	□Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (*continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Plant was not built.

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

۱.	Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined
	emissions by:

- a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:
 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?-----

 - 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?----- Yes No
 - 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?------ [Yes] No
- b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?----- \Box Yes \Box No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL</u> <u>CONDITIONS</u> <u>AND</u> <u>PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

- Yes	🗌 No
- Yes	No No
🗌 Yes	🗌 No
🗌 Yes	🗌 No
_	

Jerry Sheehan

1.

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

July 2, 2008

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: This facility was not built. I spoke on the telephone with Mr. Chapman and was told that the plant was not built and because of the present housing/credit situation there are no immediate plans for its construction. However the plans for the construction of the other phases of the resort are still active and it is expected that once the housing market rebounds, this plant will be built. I informed Mr. Chapman that the Department must be notified if the plant is relocated or put into use at this location, and that the plant will need to have visible emissions testing performed prior to being placed into operation. As part of the inspection I did go to the physical location for the plant and confirmed that it does not exist.