

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: | ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) | COMPLAINT/DISCO | OVERY (CI) | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | RE-INSPECTION (FUI) | ARMS COMPLAINT | `NO: | |
| | | | | |
| AIRS ID#: 0710231 DAT | ΓΕ: <u>09/24/09</u> | ARRIVE: <u>09:25</u> | DEPART: <u>10:45</u> | |
| FACILITY NAME: WEST LAKES CONCRETE BATCH PLANT | | | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION | : 15450 Alico Road | | | |
| | FORT MYERS, FL | 33913-8263 | | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MARCO LEONE PHONE: (216)389-3670 | | | | |
| CONTACT NAME: | | PH | ONE: | |
| ENTITLEMENT PERIO | | | | |
| | (effective date) (end da | te) | | |
| PART I: INSPECTION | COMPLIANCE STATUS | (check o nly one box) | | |
| ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | | | |
| | | | | |
| PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. | | | | |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) | | | | |
| Stack Emissions Were visible emiss | tions tests conducted during | this site visit according to EPA | Method 9 (Ref · Chanter | |
| 62-297, F.A.C.)? | | | \(\sqrt{Y}\) es \(\sqrt{\sqrt{N}}\) No | |
| 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No | | | | |
| 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, | | | | |
| unless such rate is unachievable in practice? | | | | |
| to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.) | | | | |
| a) Was the batchir | ng operation in operation du | uring the visible emissions test? | | |
| duration? | | | | |
| from the silo dust of | collector, are the visible em | operation are controlled by a dissions tests of the weigh hopped | er (batcher) dust collector | |
| conducted while ba | atching at a rate that is repr | esentative of the normal batchin | ng rate and duration? □Yes ⊠ No | |
| | | | | |

| PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es) | | | | |
| Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of | | | | |
| annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) | · ∐Yes ⊠ No | | | |
| New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? | m | | | |
| Submittal date: | | | | |
| Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes \sum No | | | | |
| Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ☐Yes ☐ No | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) | | | | |
| | ole 🗌 | | | |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)———————————————————————————————————— | | | | |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)———————————————————————————————————— | sing □Yes □ No □Yes □ No -□Yes □ No | | | |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)———————————————————————————————————— | sing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No | | | |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)———————————————————————————————————— | Sing Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No | | | |

| PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) | | | | | |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| DIDENTI OPPOSITI GOVERNOVA IN PROGERVING | D. I. (2.24) 200 (1) (N. E. I. G. | | | | |
| PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – A. New or Modified Process Equipment | Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. | | | | |
| New of Modified Process Equipment Since the last inspection has there been | | | | | |
| a) installation of any new process equipment? | | | | | |
| b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? | | | | | |
| c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most | | | | | |
| recent notification form? \square Yes \square Notice that the content of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete | | | | | |
| notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05 | * | | | | |
| local program office? | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Wayne Lewis | 09/24/09 | | | | |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print) | Date of Inspection | | | | |
| Inspector's Signature | Approximate Date of Next Inspection | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| COMMENTS: Cement side of split silo (EU 1) was not tested No batching hose ruptured during last few minutes of the test, the rupture was "repaired" with gray duct tape. By the look of the hose, this has been standard practice for a while. | | | | | |