

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>	ISPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
ΑI	IRS ID#: 0951283 DATE: <u>10/14/11</u> ARRIVE: <u>1:45 PM</u> DEPART	Γ: <u>2:45 PM</u>		
FA	ACILITY NAME: REGENCY PARK READY-MIX PLANT			
FA	ACILITY LOCATION: 11525 UNITED WAY			
	ORLANDO 32824-7609			
CC	WNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SIGURD BO Email: ONTACT NAME: SIGURD BO Email: NTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (407)841-84 (4	119 409		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PA	ART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
	Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Jose Medina, Plant Manager</u> Brief Notes:	box for each question)		
	Is the Authorized Representative still SIGURD BO?	⊠ Yes □No		
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?			
	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?			

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-split silo #1, comp #1 (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 8/12/10 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	(check ☑ box for each ☐ Yes	only one question) No No No No No
	 i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?		⊠ No □ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	□ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during insp	
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes Yes	☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching raduration?	Yes	☐ No
	 3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector. 	n is separate	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration (2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuto		☐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
	 c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? ~26.56 tons/hour. 	⊠ Yes	□ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-split silo#2,comp#1(flyash/slag)w/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 8/12/11 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	☐ Yes	only one question) No No No No No No No
	 j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	⊠ Yes	☐ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	□ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during insp	
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?		☐ No
	 3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector. 	n is separate ector	_
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut		☐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? ~34.92 tons/hour.	⊠ Yes	□ No

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one	
		box for each		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No	
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes	☐ No	
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM gal propared 1.6 MM gal propared 1.7 MM gal propared 1.8 MM gal propared 1.9 MM g	<u>ane/yr</u> < 1.00 e/yr)?	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption Yes	☐ No	
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ✓ only one box for each question)				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No	
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	Yes	☐ No	
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		— □ No	
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	S	□ No	

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check 🗹 c	only one	
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both sta	tionary and relocatable	ox for each q	uestion)	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If o		uestion 2.)		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		_		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		Yes	∐ No	
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Lo	cal Air Program by telephone			
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day pr		Yes	☐ No	
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat		_		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business		∐ Yes	∐ No	
 c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificati to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five b 		Yes	□ No	
to the appropriate Department of Local 1th 110grain at least five o	asiness days prior to relocation.			
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air		,		
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in		□ v	□ Na	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpo If YES, what was the purpose?	se (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?	res	∐ No	
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it w				
co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes	□ No	
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		Yes	∐ No	
CHANCES				
<u>CHANGES</u>		(check 🗹 o		
Administrative Changes:	D	ox for each q	uestion)	
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the				
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of			✓ Na	
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admini 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of			⊠ No □ No	
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	the change.	1 103		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been			_	
a. Installation of any new process equipment?			⊠ No	
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substant			⊠ No ⊠ No	
d. A change in ownership?		Yes	⊠ No	
				
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration		_		
30 days prior to the change?		Yes	∐ No	
Norma Ali	10/14/11			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	12/31/2012			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspe	 ction		
COMMENTS: The Inspector, Norma Ali, met with Jose Medina, Plant Manager and Mattew Welborn, Consultant from Arlington				
Environmental Services to audit the annual visual emissions compliance test for EU 001 and 003.				
EU001 Cement Loading Rate = ~26.56 tph - Opacity observed = 0%				
EU003 Fly Ash Lodiang Rate = ~ 34.92 tph - Opacity observed = 0%				
Sprinklers were on at the raw material piles. The yard is paved. No objectionable odors or particulate matter leaving the property was observed. Facility appeared to be in compliance at the time of inspection.				