

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		AINT/DISCOVI OMPLAINT N	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ΑI	<b>RS ID#:</b> 0951283 <b>DA</b> 7	ΓΕ: <u>9/7/11</u>	ARRIVE:	<u>12:48 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>2:15 PM</u>	<u>1</u>
FA	ACILITY NAME: REG	GENCY PARK READY-M	MIX PLANT			
FA	CILITY LOCATION	: 11525 UNITED W.	/AY			
		ORLANDO 3282	24-7609			
CC	WNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: ONTACT NAME: SI Email: VTITLEMENT PERIC		12/2013	PHON Mobile PHON Mobile	<b>IE:</b> (407)841-8409	
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
D.A	DE IL ONGUE INDE		C			
1.		resentative(s): Jose Medin			(check box for	each question)
2.	Is the Authorized Repro	esentative still SIGURD Bo	Ю?		🛚 Ye	s  No
3.		ility provide an administrat iill SIGURD BO?				
4.		ting VE test(s) during toda nce authority notified at lea				=

# Emissions Unit Section 2 – CCB Plant-split silo #1, comp #2 (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 8/12/10 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	(check ☑ box for each  ☐ Yes	only one question)  No No No No No No
	<ul> <li>j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour</li> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes	□ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	led during insp	
	f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>26.68</u> tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go to	h.	
	<ol> <li>Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?</li> <li>During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate</li> </ol>	te and	∐ No
	duration?3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu		☐ No
	h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	is separate	
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? 8 minutes.		☐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	<ul><li>∑ Yes</li><li>∑ Yes</li></ul>	<ul><li>☐ No</li><li>☐ No</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>d. What was the process rate? 26.68 tons/hour.</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes	□ No

# Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-split silo#2,comp#2(flyash/slag)w/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 8/12/10 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	☐ Yes	only one question)  No No No No No No
	<ul> <li>j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour</li> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes	□ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	
	f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>28.82</u> tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	□ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go to	h	
	<ol> <li>Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?</li> <li>During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate</li> </ol>	te and	∐ No
	duration?3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu		☐ No
	h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	n is separate	
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? 8 minutes.		☐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	<ul><li>∑ Yes</li><li>∑ Yes</li></ul>	☐ No ☐ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>d. What was the process rate? 28.82 tons/hour.</li> </ul>	Yes	☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section <u>5 -CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit</u>

1.	Date of last inspection: 11/3/10 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	box for each  Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	only one question)  No No No No No No No No No
PA	If not, what was the problem (if known)?  ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?  a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?  b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average.  c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?  If not, what was the problem (if known)?	∑ Yes     ∑ Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
	If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to  1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? ————————————————————————————————————	led during inspands of Yes  Yes  H. Yes  Yes  te and Yes  is separate	
2.	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? 8 minutes.  Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?  a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?  b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average.  c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?  d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>

# Emissions Unit Section 6 -CCB Plant-truck loadout, w/shroud & central dust collector subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION  1. Date of last inspection: 11/3/10 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	(check ☑ only on box for each question	1)
<ul><li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li><li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li><li>c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing</li></ul>		
operation? N/A  d. Date of last VE test: 11/3/10	Yes No	)
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A  i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test If not, what was the problem (if known)?	t? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No	)
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 only on	
encrosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each question	1)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?		)
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes No	)
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes No	)
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		)
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.11 - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.11 - g.3$ ) and $g.11 - g.3$		)
<ol> <li>Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?</li> <li>During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batchin</li> </ol>	Yes No	)
duration?3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>8</u> minut	X Yes	)
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector w	which is separate	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and durat 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? 8 minutes	tion? X Yes No	)
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.</li> </ul>		)

### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C</u> (	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?  gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation of the pro	-	No   No   No   No   No
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed	box for each	
2	the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?  Does the owner or operator:	Yes	⊠ No
2.	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	☐ No
	permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one				
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both sta	tionary and relocatable box for each question	)		
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If a</i>				
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		)		
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Lo</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day pr</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification</li> </ul>	ior to changing location? Yes No	)		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification		)		
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five by		)		
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpo If YES, what was the purpose?	that separate permit: se (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?  Yes No	)		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it we co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes No			
CHANGES	(check ☑ only one box for each question			
<ol> <li>Administrative Changes:         <ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administs.</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> </ol> </li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	e facility or authorized representative not  f the facility or any emissions units or  strative change at the facility? Yes  the change? Yes  No  Yes  No  Itially different? Yes  No  Total different Yes			
Norma Ali	9/7/2011			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: The inspector, Norma Ali met with Jose Medina, Plant Manager and Mathew Welborn, Consultant from Arlington Environmental Services, to audit the visual emission compliance test for this facility. Only Emission Units 2, 4, 5 and 6 were tested on this date. EU 1 and 3 will be tested in the near future.  EU002 Cement loading = 0% opacity observed Loading rate = 26.68 tph  EU004 Fly Ash loading = 0% opacity observed Loading rate = 28.82 tph  EU005 Weigh hopper = 0% opacity observed  EU006 Truck load out = 0% opacity observed  Roads are paved. No objectionable odors or dust leaving the property was observed.				