

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	RY (CI)		
1	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	):		
AIRS ID#: 0951283 DAT	E: <u>09/12/2008</u>	<b>ARRIVE:</b> <u>7:45 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:30 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS, LLC/REGENCY PARK READY MIX PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION:	11525 United Way				
	ORLANDO 32824-7	7609			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED	REPRESENTATIVE:	PHONI	E: (561)820-8348		
CONTACT NAME: Rus	sty Richards	PHONI	Ξ:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	<b>D:</b> 1/20/2005 / 1/20/201 (effective date) (end date)	10			
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (	check <b>v</b> only one box)			
IN COMPLIANC	E MINOR Non-COM	MPLIANCE SIGNIFICAL	NT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/REC (check ☑ appropriate		<u> EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.	A.C.		
Stack Emissions			al a 10 (Defection)		
62-297, F.A.C.)?			⊠Yes □ No		
		ers), and other enclosed storage a emissions to 5 percent opacity?	nd conveying equipment ⊠Yes □ No		
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					
unless such rate is u	unachievable in practice?		\ Yes \ \ No		
to this question is "	Yes", then continue on to que	estions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If ans	swer is "No" then		
a) Was the batchin	g operation in operation durir	ng the visible emissions test?			
duration?			Yes No		
from the silo dust c	ollector, are the visible emiss	eration are controlled by a dust c ions tests of the weigh hopper (b entative of the normal batching ra			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
<ol> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable  ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check  only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing ☐Yes ☑ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)  1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant emissions by:				
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe du</li> </ol>	nd yards, which shall include one or more of the following: s, stock piles, and yards? st-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control			
<ul><li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and ot re-entrainment, and from building or work area.</li><li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of</li></ul>	ther paved areas under control of the owner/operator to as to reduce airborne particulate matter?   Yes  No wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	0		
	gate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \(\times\)Yes \(\D\) No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially or recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4, local program office?	Tyes ⊠ N of the replacement?	No No		
Bill Rhodes & Ilka Bundy	09/12/2008			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
<u> </u>	(1) West dust collector on South Silo (2) West dust collector North sit collector on North Silo (5) East dust collector on South silo (6) table.			
d ·				