



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
 RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:

AIRS ID#: 1050378	DATE: <u>11/28/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:40pm</u>	DEPART: <u>3:37pm</u>
FACILITY NAME: DAVENPORT PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 4000 SAND MINE RD DAVENPORT 33897-3415			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Thomas Lang		PHONE: (561)478-9980	
Email:		Mobile: (561)472-4045	
CONTACT NAME: Dean Luzader		PHONE: (863)424-6510	
Email: dxluzader@prestige-concrete.com		Mobile:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/17/2008 / 1/17/2013 (effective date) (end date)			

Facility Section

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)

IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING (check only one box for each question)

1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Dean Luzader

Brief Notes: During the last inspection, the Plant Manager was Dean Luzader

2. Is the Authorized Representative still MICHAEL MAHONEY? ----- Yes ..No
 If no, who is?: Mr. Thomas Lang

If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? ----- Yes ..No

3. Is the facility contact still FRED WHEELER? ----- Yes ..No
 If no, who is?: Dean Luzader

4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? ----- Yes ..No
 If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance? ----- Yes ..No

Emissions Unit Section

1 –CEMENT SILO WITH BAGHOUSE-SOUTHERN SIDE OF SPLIT SILO subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION

(check only one box for each question)

- 1. Date of last inspection: 09/04/2009
- 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? ----- Yes No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ----- Yes No
b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ----- N/A Yes No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? _____

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.

(check only one box for each question)

Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards

- 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:
 - 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ----- Yes No
 - 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? ----- Yes No
 - 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? ----- Yes No
 - 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? ----- Yes No
 - b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- Yes No
- 2. If reasonable precautions not being taken:
 - a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ----- Yes No
 - b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ----- Yes No
 - c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? _____

Emissions Unit Section

2-FLYASH SILO WITH BAGHOUSE NORTHERN MOST SILO--SPLIT SILO subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION

(check only one box for each question)

- 1. Date of last inspection: 09/04/2009
- 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? ----- Yes No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ----- Yes No
b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ----- N/A Yes No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? _____

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.

(check only one box for each question)

Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards

- 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:
 - 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ----- Yes No
 - 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? ----- Yes No
 - 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? ----- Yes No
 - 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? ----- Yes No
 - b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- Yes No
- 2. If reasonable precautions not being taken:
 - a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ----- Yes No
 - b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ----- Yes No
 - c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? _____

Emissions Unit Section

3 –CDC BAGHOUSE--CONTROLS WEIGH HOPPER & TRUCK LOAD OUT. subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION

(check only one box for each question)

- 1. Date of last inspection: 09/04/2009
- 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? ----- Yes No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ----- Yes No
b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ----- N/A Yes No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? _____

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.

(check only one box for each question)

Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards

- 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:
 - 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ----- Yes No
 - 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? ----- Yes No
 - 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? ----- Yes No
 - 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? ----- Yes No
 - b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- Yes No
- 2. If reasonable precautions not being taken:
 - a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ----- Yes No
 - b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ----- Yes No
 - c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? _____

Emissions Unit Section

4 –CEMENT/SLAG SILO-SOUTHERN MOST SILO-SINGLE SILO subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION

(check only one box for each question)

- 1. Date of last inspection: 09/04/2009
- 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? ----- Yes No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ----- Yes No
b. If tested: (____) % opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ----- N/A Yes No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? _____

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.

(check only one box for each question)

Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards

- 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:
 - 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ----- Yes No
 - 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? ----- Yes No
 - 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? ----- Yes No
 - 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? ----- Yes No
 - b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- Yes No
- 2. If reasonable precautions not being taken:
 - a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ----- Yes No
 - b. If tested: (____) % opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ----- Yes No
 - c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? _____

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY

(check only one
box for each question)

1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:
 - a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? ----- Yes No
 - b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? ----- Yes No
 - c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? ----- Yes No

2. Does this facility include:
 - a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? ----- Yes No
 If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? _____

 - b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? ----- Yes No
 If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 7775529

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:
 - a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? ----- Yes No
 - b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? ----- Yes No
 - c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? ----- Yes No
 - d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? ----- Yes No
 - e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? ----- Yes No
$$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} + \frac{\text{gal gasoline/yr}}{23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \leq 1.00?$$

4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? ----- Yes No

GENERAL CONDITIONS

(check only one
box for each question)

1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? ----- Yes No
2. Does the owner or operator:
 - a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? ----- Yes No
 - b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? ----- Yes No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules? ----- Yes No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:

(check only one box for each question)

- 1. Is the facility: stationary ; relocatable ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? *(If only stationary, skip the following question 2.)*
- 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? ----- Yes No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)
 - a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? ----- Yes No
 - b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? ---- Yes No
 - c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? --- Yes No
- 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:
 - a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No
 If YES, what was the purpose?
 - b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? ----- Yes No
 If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? ----- Yes No

CHANGES

(check only one box for each question)

Administrative Changes:

- 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? ---- Yes No
- 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ----- Yes No

New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:

- 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been
 - a. Installation of any new process equipment? ----- Yes No
 - b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? ----- Yes No
 - c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? ----- Yes No
 - d. A change in ownership? ----- Yes No
- 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? ----- Yes No

Wendy D Akins

11/28/2011

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

11/14/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Last VE testing was conducted July 2010 for Emission Units (EU) 1, 2, and 4. Emission Unit No. 3 (EU003) was tested on 08/2011. Inspection Findings: VE Testing was conducted today on 2 silo top dust collectors, EU001 and EU002. During inspections conducted in 2009, the Department confirmed that the weigh hopper and the truck load out were both routed to the central dust collector (EU003). According to Mr. Luzader, some time in the last year or so, "corporate" ordered the weigh hopper to be removed from venting to the central dust collector and it is now vented to a dedicated dust collector. According to Rule 62-296.414(3)(d) the weigh hopper dust collector should be tested annually. Mr. Luzader stated the facility had no more batching to do on this day and suggested they could conduct some batching and "throw away" the product if it would prevent Prestige from getting fines in an enforcement action. I explained that the batcher should not be started up, just to conduct testing. After speaking with Ms.

Danielle Henry, Compliance Manager in the SWD office, I explained to Mr. Luzader and Mr. Bill Arlington that the facility should re-register as soon as possible to add the weigh hopper dust collector and then schedule Visible Emissions testing on the weigh hopper dust collector when the permit becomes effective some time in January or February 2012. I explained that the Department would use the January 2012 testing on what will be Emission Unit No. 5 to satisfy the 2011 testing they need for weigh hopper dust collector. I then reminded Mr. Luzader and the facility's consulting representative, Mr. Bill Arlington, that the facility will need to test all their emission units no less than 9 months from when the last test was conducted. This means if they test all their emission units at one time for 2012, testing should not be conducted prior to September 2012. A Field Warning Notice was prepared to formally notify the facility's Authorized Representative, Mr. Thomas Lang, of the need to re-register and test the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector. Visible Emissions Testing of the split silo dust collectors (EU001 and EU002) appeared to be in compliance. On November 30th, 2011 I placed a call to Mr. Thomas Lange to inform him that this facility needs to re-register and conduct testing on the new emission unit in January. A Mr. Bill Pagano returned my call, see attached email follow-up for that conversation. Photos were not taken during my visit on this day.