

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA	AINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0310526 DATE: <u>5/17/13</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART:		
FACILITY NAME: JACKSONVILLE PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 2885 Saint Clair St	t			
JACKSONVILLE	32254-1863			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: DENIS CYR Email: dcyr@tremron.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/2/2013 / 5/2/20 (effective date) (end decired to the content of the content	018	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: (904)359-590 Mobile:	00	
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATU		NIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE	
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Dennis Cyn			(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
Brief Notes: Walked thru plant with Mr. Cyr. Plant produces bricks for various applications. Plant area is paved and kept clean equip is in good condition. Truck unloading and loading were in progress and plant was in operationat time of inspection. No particulate prodlems noted. Material usage and output are kept on computer. Noviolations noted at time of inspection. VE's were done on Eu's 1-5 on 7/26/12				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still HUGUES (If no, who is?:	CARON?		⊠ Yes □No	
If different, did the facility provide an administra 3. Is the facility contact still DENIS CYR? If no, who is?:			☐ Yes ☐No ☑ Yes ☐No	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le			☐ Yes	

Emissions Unit Section 1 -Cement Silo No. 1 (SOUTH) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
TARTI, PILE REVIEW I MOR TO MULECITOR		ļ
		ļ
1. Date of last inspection: 5/19/12		ļ
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	X Yes	□ No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		□ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	V/A 1es	□ NO
c. what caused the problem(s) (if known):		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control un	confined	
emissions by:	Commica	
Christions by.		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more	of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary		
control emissions?		□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	X Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment		
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	□ No
parateurine manuer mont proof.		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truc	k? \ Yes	⊠ No
	_	_
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	⊠ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	☐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section 2 – Cement Silo No. 2 (CENTER) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
TARTI, PILE REVIEW TRIOR TO ENDI ECTION		ļ
		ļ
1. Date of last inspection: 5/29/12		ļ
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	\ Yes	□ No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N		□ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	//A L 168	□ NO
c. what caused the problem(s) (if known)?		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncontrol.	confined	
emissions by:	Johnned	
Chilosions by.		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of	of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary		
control emissions?		□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	23 100	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	X Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment		
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles.	Z 105	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the trucl	κ?	⊠ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	⊠ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	☐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section 3 - Cement Silo No. 3 (NORTH) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1 4 =	RII, PHE REVIEW PRIOR TO MOI BOTTOM	ļ
		ļ
1	Date of last inspection: $5/29/12$	ļ
	Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes	□ No
	If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	□ No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\] N/A \[\] Yes	□ No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
	c. what caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
<u>PA</u>	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
.		
	confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Co	nveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
l	emissions by:	
	emissions by.	
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes	□ No
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	
	control emissions? Yes	\square No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
	particulate matter? Yes	\square No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
	particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	□ No
	particulate matter from stock piles.	
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes	⊠ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	⊠ No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes	☐ No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 4 –TUMBLER subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
	Date of last inspection: 5/29/12 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by:	ed	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		☐ No
	control emissions?	- X Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	- X Yes	☐ No
	particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 5 –CCB Plant-Silo #4(cement) w/silotop baghouse-90,000kg subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 5/29/12 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
	ļ
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	ļ
	ļ
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes	□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	□ NO
control emissions? Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	□ Na
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes	⊠ No
2. If reasonable precautions not being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	⊠ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes	☐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Facility Section (continued)

C	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(cl	neck 🗹 (only one
			for each of	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	\boxtimes	Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		Yes	☐ No
4.	$\frac{0 \text{ gal diesel/yr} + 0 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} + 0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + 0 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} \leq 1.00?$ $275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr} 23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} 44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} 1.3 \text{ MM gal propan}$ Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption	Yes	□ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS		neck 🗹 of	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🔲	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	. 🗆	Yes	⊠ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🖂	Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both st concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If		(check 🗹 box for each g question 2.)	question)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		- Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	orior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat	ss days following a relocation?	Yes	☐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five			☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate at and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit i a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was a separate at an emission.	n that separate permit: oose (i.e, there is no repeated usage		□ No
co-located at the permitted facility?			☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES		(check 🗹	
		DOX TOT EACH	anesmoni
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility?	its or - 🔲 Yes	Question) ⊠ No □ No
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin	of the facility or any emissions un aistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different?	tive not its or - Yes - Yes Yes Yes Yes	∑ No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or -	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes mitted	No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes mitted	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un histrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or -	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo