

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV	YERY (CI)		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	NO:		
ГЕ: <u>5/10/06</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1000</u>	<b>DEPART:</b> 1130		
RK TWAIN REDI-MIX, INC.				
: 13201 S. Orange Ave				
Orlando, FL 32824-				
AL: Jeff Bross, President	PHO	<b>NE:</b> (573)221-5958		
vid Mosley, General Manager	PHO	NE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2006 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/17/2006 / 2/17/2011 (effective date) (end date)				
	(circuit)	(cha dire)		
COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck 🗹 only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
n silos, weigh hoppers (batchers tent necessary to limit visible essions tests of the silo dust colle resentative of the normal silo lounachievable in practice?	s), and other enclosed storage emissions to 5 percent opacity ector exhaust points was the lading rate, or at least at the negration controlled by the silottions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a the visible emissions test?ing rate representative of the exaction are controlled by a dust ons tests of the weigh hopper	and conveying equipment  and conveying equipment  and conveying equipment  and conducted  animum 25 tons per hour rate,  adust collector? (If answer  answer is "No" then  anormal batching rate and  act collector, which is separate  (batcher) dust collector	Yes □ No Yes □ No	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)  TE: 5/10/06  RK TWAIN REDI-MIX, INC.  13201 S. Orange Ave Orlando, FL 32824-  TAL: Jeff Bross, President vid Mosley, General Manager  2006  ENTITL  COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch.  EE MINOR Non-COMI  CORDKEEPING REQUIRED  Extent necessary to limit visible extent nec	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT N  TE: 5/10/06 ARRIVE: 1000  RK TWAIN REDI-MIX, INC.  : 13201 S. Orange Ave     Orlando, FL 32824-  TAL: Jeff Bross, President PHO!  2006 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/17/20 (effective)  COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)  TE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFIC.  CORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, e box(es))  ions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Market a	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:  TE: 5/10/06 ARRIVE: 1000 DEPART: 1130  RK TWAIN REDI-MIX, INC.  : 13201 S. Orange Ave     Orlando, FL 32824-  AL: Jeff Bross, President PHONE: (573)221-5958  wid Mosley, General Manager PHONE:  2006 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/17/2006 (effective date) / 2/17/2011 (end date)  COMPLIANCE STATUS (check Only one box)  TE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE  CORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.  To silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment stent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?  Sissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted resentative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unachievable in practice?  The weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer 'Yes'', then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then and continue on to question 5.)  To go operation in operation during the visible emissions test?  To go operation in operation during the visible emissions test?  To go operation in operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector, which is separate collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.	

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)	
1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the	
annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	⊠Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)	
2. Did this facility demonstrate:	
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	⊠Yes □ No
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	∏Yes ∏ No
Submittal date:	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)	
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to	
the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	∐Yes ∐ No
<b>Test Reports</b> – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)	
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the	
test was completed?	⊠Yes ∐ No
DADT III. ODEDATING/DECODDKEEDING DECLIIDEMENTS   Dulo 62-210-300(4)(c)2   F.A. C	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	
<ul> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable  ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable</li> </ul>	; []
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	; []
<ul> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable  ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>,</li> </ol>	ng
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ng ∐Yes ∐ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ng
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ng ∐Yes ∐ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>), <i>below.</i>)</li></ol>	ng
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ng □Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>), <i>below.</i>)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ng
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d)</i> , <i>below.</i> )————————————————————————————————————	ng  □Yes □ No

	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))				
T				
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:				
	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:			
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?   Yes   No				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control				
emissions?				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and of	other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to			
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \(\Sigma\)Yes \(\Sigma\) No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of	of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of			
	\ \ Yes \ \ No			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mit				
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE	<b>ES</b> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment				
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
<ul> <li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li> </ul>				
	out replacement? Tyes No			
<ul> <li>c) replacement of existing equipment substantially</li> </ul>	y different than that noted on the most			
	Yes No			
d) If you answered <b>YES</b> to any of the above, did t	the owner submit a new and complete			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-	-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or			
local program office? Yes				
1 0				
Jodi D. Dittell	5/10/06			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	2/17/07			
	2/11/01			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: This was the initial test for the batch plant	No visible emissions were observed. The truck loads the cement into			
<b>COMMENTS:</b> This was the initial test for the batch plant. No visible emissions were observed. The truck loads the cement into another tanker then pumps the cement into the silo. The tanker is used for extra storage. The loading rate is ~8 psi. The truckload				
was 27 tons and normal operations are less than 25 tons per hour.				
was 27 tons and normal operations are less than 23 tons per in	Our.			
Vavatt Mickla CSE conducted the visible emissions test				
Kevett Mickle, GSE, conducted the visible emissions test.				