

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV	ERY (CI)
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	O:
AIRS ID#: 7775258 DA	TE: <u>01/27/2009</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9: 10am</u>	DEPART: <u>10:43am</u>
FACILITY NAME: DA	NA SITE DEVELOPMENT &	z PAVING, INC.	
FACILITY LOCATION	3408 17th Street East		
	PALMETTO 34221		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: TH	ERESA NELSON PHON	E: (941)722-4913
CONTACT NAME: R	ex Nelson	PHON	Œ:
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 12/6/2004 / 12/6/200 (effective date) (end date)	9	
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)	
☐ IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COM	IPLIANCE SIGNIFICA	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II-A: AIR GENE (check R appropriate	RAL PERMITS – Rule 62-21 ee box(es))	0.310, F.A.C.	
		<u>igibility</u> – Rule 62-210.310(2),	F.A.C.
1.Does this facility ke a) 10 tons per yea	eep records to show that it does ar or more of any hazardous air	not have the potential to emit: pollutant?	☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐ N/A
		ted air pollutants?	Yes No No N/A
2. Does this facility		4 1' 1.1 '	or Maria or a section
of units and ac	tivities that are exempt from pe	y the applicable air general perusermitting pursuant to subsection	
general permit	and the air general permit of ir	another air general permit whe	e of one another
	•		
1. Has the owner or	operator of this facility comple	Re-registration – Rule 62-210 ted and submitted the proper re be used?:	
=			?;
=	ENERAL PERMITS – Rule 6	= =	
(check R appropriat		and after families 0	□ V . □ V . □ V .
		art of the facility?;ction, modification, or equipme	ent changes that require
			Yes No N/A

	NERAL CONDITIONS – Rule 62-210.310(3), F.A.C. Does the air general permit registration form contain all current information regarding the facility?;	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A
2.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allow the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?;	
3.	Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?;	
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with terms and conditions of the air general permit?;	all ⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A
4.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, at to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air gen permit and Department rules?	eral
PART	II-B: <u>DETERMINATION OF FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABILITY</u>	
(cł	\mathbf{R} only \mathbf{one} box)	
⊠ <u>F(</u>	DR FACILTIES SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1))	
(If	you have checked R this category, answer <u>all</u> questions <u>INCLUDING</u> those with **.)	
ele hot mi	bject Facilities: (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, sevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, cx asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphald facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)	rushers & grinding mills at
	DR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), you have checked R this category, answer <u>all</u> questions <u>EXCEPT</u> those with **.)	and (d))
gri sai plants,	nding mills; facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operation and mills; facilities not subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Fand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; put & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay place plants of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.)	cilities) of this part; <u>fixed</u> ortable sand & gravel
	III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C. check R appropriate box(es))	
	<u>k Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 Appendix A)?) CFR 60,
**2.	Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any oth affected emission point:	point on
	**a) exceed <u>7</u> % percent opacity?	Yes No
	**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of $\underline{0.05}$ grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?

PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C., Cont. (check R appropriate box(es))	
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin exceed 7% percent opacity?	
<u>Visible Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)? [Yes No	
**2. Do visible emissions from any: **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity? Yes No	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point NOT subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20 % percent opacity?	
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed in a building? (<i>If answer to question #4 is YES, then proceed to #4.a</i>))	
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (<i>If</i> answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).)	
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is: 1) the particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? Yes No	
2) the opacity greater than $\underline{7}\%$ percent?	
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed $\underline{7}\%$ percent opacity? \square Yes \square No	
**5. Do visible emissions from any: **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity? Yes No	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity? Yes No	
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:	
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin? Yes No	
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line? Yes No	

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3, F.A.C.) 1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3.e., F.A.C.)——————————————————————————————————
Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.) 2. Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes □ No
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test? Yes No
<u>Test Methods and Procedures</u> – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.
4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9? 🖂 Yes 🗌 No
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22? Yes No
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17? Yes No
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and
40 CFR Part 60.670 - 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]
Facility and/or Equipment Replacement
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility and/or equipment:
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?
**b) for a Screening Operation, **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation? Yes No
**c) for a Conveyor Belt, **1)the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt? Yes No
**d) for a Storage Bin, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?
Performance/Compliance Testing
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance test?
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar quarters?

$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{PART IV:} \ \underline{\textbf{TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS}} - \textbf{Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.} \ (\textit{Continued}) \\ (\textit{check } \textbf{R} \ \textit{appropriate box(es)} \end{array} $	
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance w 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?	!
Process Changes	
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (If your answer to this question is <u>YES</u> , then answer <u>either</u> a)1) <u>or</u> a)2) below.)	⊠ Yes □ No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system: **1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (Note: The unsaturated material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b) and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	☐ Yes ⊠ No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (<i>Note: The saturated material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(h). (If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)</i>	.) Yes No
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the change?	Yes No
Notification Requirements	
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	⊠ Yes □ No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available?	⊠ Yes □ No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	⊠ Yes □ No
PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.	
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable (□; 2) stationary (□; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable	; 🗌
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check R only one box above.) (NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)	r all
a) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation?	⊠ Yes □ No
c) If this is a <u>stationary facility</u> , does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	☐ Yes ☐ No

PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (Cont	inued)
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es))	
**2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OC adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)	to
**a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for: **1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	
**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	☐ Yes ☐ No
**b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	☐ Yes ☐ No
**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	☐ Yes ☐ No
**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	☐ Yes ☐ No
PART VI: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.	
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es))	
 Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable (<i>Please check R only one box.</i>) 	е
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants, located with stationary or relocatable concreted batching plants:	
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel	⊠ Yes □ No
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline	⊠ Yes □ No
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas	⊠ Yes □ No
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane	⊠ Yes □ No
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite	⊠ Yes □ No
3. Does the owner/operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant submitting this registration maintain a log book or books to account for fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (not a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an	
4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility	☐ Yes ⊠ No
 4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (not a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
 4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (not a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
 4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (not a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant?	☐ Yes ☐ No

PART VII: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION 210.310(5)(e)3.c., F.A.C. (check R appropriate box(es))	CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)	
 Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral premissions by: a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars 	located at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the drop points? \overline{\text{Yes}} \overline{\text{No}}
	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: s, stock piles, and yards? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe du emissions?	ust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control 🄀 Yes 🔲 No
	ther paved areas under control of the owner/operator to as to reduce airborne particulate matter?
•	⊠ Yes □ No
5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation?	⊠ Yes □ No
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equip matter?	ment to contain, capture and/or vent particulate
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems	-0
T) the enclosure of covering of conveyor systems	s? ☐ Yes ⊠ No
PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially	ES – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C. Yes ⋈ No t replacement? ☐ Yes ⋈ No different than that noted on the most Yes ⋈ No ne owner submit a new and complete 60, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or
PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05)	ES – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C. Yes ⋈ No t replacement? ☐ Yes ⋈ No different than that noted on the most Yes ⋈ No ne owner submit a new and complete 60, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or
PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05 local program office?	Yes No t replacement?
PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05 local program office?	ES – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C. Yes No treplacement?————————————————————————————————————

COMMENTS: Pre-inspection: This facility's last Visible Emissions (VE) test was on 11/3/2004. No relocation notices have been sent for this facility. According to ARM's, they have 4 emission points. Inspection findings: I spoke with Mr. Rex Nelson, who escorted me to the location of equipment just down the street from their main office. Mr. Nelson stated the equipment stays on site, 99.9% of the time. He also said their business is to receive product on site for crushing and if the company got a job off site they would likely rent equipment to do it. This facility has a free standing screener that is not in their current registration. Mr. Nelson stated he was not aware of new GP rules. A Field Warning Notice (FWN) was not issued, but I did inform the facility they were out of compliance with the new rules. During this inspection, I explained some of the new requirements and aided Mr. Nelson in finding the FDEP Air Rules webpage, SBEAP website, and I showed him where to find the new GP registration form. I reminded Mr. Nelson and Ms. Theresa Nelson of the facility's permit expiration date and explained the necessity of sending the re-registration information in 30 days prior to expiration. Mr. Nelson, said he would call Grove Scientific to schedule testing ASAP. On January 29, 2009, the SWD received a notification for VE tests at this facility. Testing is scheduled for February 18, 2009. I plan to witness the testing and create a follow-up inspection report when test reports are received from facility's consultant, Grove Scientific.