

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

July 11, 2012

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested csgjc@panhandle.rr.com

Mr. James E. Campbell, President Fort Walton Concrete, Inc. 930 Campbell Road Century, Florida 32535

Dear Mr. Campbell:

The purpose of this letter is to advise you of concerns noted during a May 30, 2012, Department compliance assistance visit at Fort Walton Concrete Freeport, Facility ID 7775257, and review of our files for the following three other Fort Walton Concrete facilities: Valparaiso ID 7774803; Fort Walton Beach ID 7770032; and Crestview Ready Mix ID 0910016. Department personnel noted the following concerns:

The October 21, 2010 visible emissions test report for Freeport ID 7775257 was obtained by the Department during the May 30, 2012 compliance assistance visit, or 588 days after the test was completed. The October 26, 2010 visible emissions test report for Valparaiso ID 7774803 was received by the Department on September 30, 2011 along with the reregistration for the facility's air general permit. The test report was submitted 339 days after the test was completed.

Rule 62-297.310(8)(b), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), provides that the required test report shall be filed with the Department as soon as practical but no later than 45 days after the last sampling run of each test is completed.

On January 20, 2012 the Department received an e-mail from Fort Walton Concrete providing notification of their plans to conduct visible emissions tests at Fort Walton Beach ID 7770032 on February 14, 2012. On March 16, 2012 the Department received test reports indicating that the cement baghouse at the facility was tested on the noticed date of February 14. However, the report also included a visible emissions test conducted on the flyash silo baghouse on February 16, 2012. The 15-day advanced notification given indicated both silo baghouses would be conducted on February 14.

On November 21, 2011 the Department received test reports for visible emissions tests conducted at Valparaiso ID 7774803 on November 9, 2011 and for visible emissions tests conducted at Freeport ID 7775257 on October 27 and 28, 2011. The test reports were reviewed and entered into our database. During the current review of files for these facilities, it was discovered that 15-day advanced notices of plans to conduct the October 2011 tests were not found.

Fort Walton Concrete, Inc. Page 2 July 11, 2012

On January 31, 2011 the Department received an e-mail from Fort Walton Concrete providing notification of their plans to conduct visible emissions tests at Crestview ID 0910016 on February 17, 2011. On March 24, 2011 the Department received visible emissions test reports indicating the cement silo baghouse was tested on the date noticed, but the flyash silo baghouse was tested two days prior to the noticed test date.

On October 8, 2010 the Department received a letter from Fort Walton Concrete providing notification of their plans to conduct visible emissions tests at Valparaiso 7774803 on October 21, 2010 and at Freeport 7775257 on October 26, 2010. Since the notification letter was dated October 5, or 16 days prior to conducting the test on October 21, it is within reason that the 15-day prior notification was met. However, the noticed test dates for the two facilities noticed appear to have been inadvertently switched.

On February 9, 2009 the Department received an e-mail from Fort Walton Concrete providing notification of their plans to conduct visible emissions tests at Crestview 0910016 on February 24, 2009. On March 11, 2009 the Department received reports indicating the cement silo baghouse at the facility was tested on the date noticed, February 24, but the flyash silo baghouse was tested two days after the noticed date. The facility was inspected on July 20, 2009 and was found to be in compliance with the air general permit.

Rule 62-297.310(7)(a)9, F.A.C., requires that the owner or operator shall notify the Department, at least 15 days prior to the date on which each formal compliance test is to begin, of the date, time, and place of each such test, and the test contact person who will be responsible for coordinating and having such test conducted for the owner or operator.

Please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us within 15 days of receipt of this letter to arrange a meeting to discuss these matters and ways to improve efforts for maintaining compliance with the facility's air operation permit.

We look forward to working with you to resolve these matters.

Sincerely,

Rick Bradburn

Air Program Administrator

Rich Bradbon

RB/jw/c

Enclosure

c: Zac Sims, Fort Walton Concrete: ftwal26@yahoo.com Matthew R. Parker, P.E., JP Engineering: parkermatt@cox.net John Thompson, Fort Walton Concrete: ftwal26@yahoo.com



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
· · · · 						
AIRS ID#: 7775257 DATE: 5/30/12 ARRIVE: 11:23 am DEPAR	RT: <u>11:50 AM</u>					
FACILITY NAME: FORT WALTON CONCRETE-FREEPORT						
FACILITY LOCATION: 465 BULLDOG RD						
FREEPORT 32439-3168						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JAMES CAMPBELL Email: csgjc@panhandle.rr.com CONTACT NAME: ZACHARY SIMS Email: ftwal26@yahoo.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/7/2010 / 3/7/2015 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (850)243- Mobile: PHONE: (850)243- Mobile: (850)685-	8114					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Michael White, Plant Operator Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JAMES CAMPBELL?	⊠ Yes □No					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still ZACHARY SIMS? If no, who is?:						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	YesNo YesNo					

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-split silo,cement/flyash 800 Bblw/single baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

 Date of last inspection: 4/14/10 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	box for each of the box fo	only one question) No No No No No No No No No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		☐ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ————————————————————————————————————	yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		. 🖂	Ā
	-		eck 🗹 o or each g	only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels and the propagation of the propagation o	ane/yr	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes ≤1.00?	No No No No No
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		Yes	□ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS		eck 🗹 o	only one question)
2.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNo
	permit and Department rules?	·- 🛛 `	Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	*	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ☐; relocatable ☒; or consisting of b concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plant	oth stationary and relocatable	ch question)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement a soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes v.) t or Local Air Program by telephone, day prior to changing location? Yes otification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] usiness days following a relocation? Yes	NoNoNoNo
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least		☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separand the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine If YES, what was the purpose?	unit in that separate permit: purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes	□ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how lo co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No
<u>CHANGES</u>	Z.11. D	only one
		ch question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	box for each rof the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	box for each rof the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes lays of the change?	ch question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been at a Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each rof the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes lays of the change? Yes ent? Yes substantially different? Yes Yes tration form and the appropriate fee submitted	ch question) No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been at a Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each rof the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes lays of the change? Yes ent? Yes substantially different? Yes Yes tration form and the appropriate fee submitted	Ch question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been at a Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each rof the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes lays of the change? Yes ent? Yes substantially different? Yes Yes tration form and the appropriate fee submitted	Ch question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been at a Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each of the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes lays of the change? Yes ent? Yes substantially different? Yes tration form and the appropriate fee submitted Yes	Ch question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each of the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes lays of the change? Yes ent? Yes substantially different? Yes tration form and the appropriate fee submitted Yes	Ch question) No No No No No No No

COMMENTS: On May 30, 2012, Department personnel conducted a compliance assistance visit at Fort Walton Concrete in Freeport. The Department would like to thank Mr. Michael White and Mr. John Thompson for their assistance during and after the compliance visit.

Records of routine maintenance and inspections were well maintained and available for review. Each operating shift facility personnel check the baghouses to ensure the baghouses are operating properly and there are no visible emissions. Weekly maintenance logs include watering the yard; cleaning the conveyor belts, cement silo and fly ash silo; and inspection and cleaning of spray bar.

No trucks were loaded during the inspection, but water was noted in the area around the silo, which would help prevent fugitive emissions. Mr. White indicated he regularly cleans the loading bay between loading trucks to further prevent unconfined fugitive emissions.

The Department was notified on October 8, 2010 of a test scheduled for October 26, 2010. However, a review of Department records indicates that no report was submitted for the calendar year 2010 Visible Emissions (VE) test. A copy of the 2010 VE test was requested during the inspection and available for review. The report indicated the test was conducted on October 21, 2010.

The Department notes the following concerns for the 2010 VE test:

The test report was not filed with the Department within 45 days after the test date as required by Rule 62-297.310(8)(b), Florida Administrative Code.

The Department was not properly notified 15 days prior to the test date. The test date occurred 13 days following the October 8, 2010 notification date.

Department personnel discussed strategies to maintain future compliance with Mr. John Thompson on June 1, 2012. Future plans include tracking submittal of test reports and working with the Department to develop a spreadsheet to track specific compliance deadlines for each Fort Walton Concrete facility.