

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) \boxtimes COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 7775257 DATE: 4/17/08 ARRIVE: 10:49 AM DEPART: 11:08 AM			
FACILITY NAME: FORT WALTON CONCRETE CO			
FACILITY LOCATION: 465 Bulldog Road			
FREEPORT 32535			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: BARBARA WOOLLARD PHONE: (850)243-8114			
CONTACT NAME: Tommy McCoy PHONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/2/2004 / 12/2/2009 (effective date) (end date)			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
Stack Emissions			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? Yes No			
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?			
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,			
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? Yes No			
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then			
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)			
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?			
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate			
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
	le 🗌	
 (check ppropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)		
 (check appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes □ No	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plar emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, a 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking area 2) application of water or environmentally safe demissions?	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: as, stock piles, and yards? lust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4) 	Yes ⊠ No out replacement? □Yes ⊠ No y different than that noted on the most □Yes ⊠ No when the owner submit a new and complete	
Chris Stoll	4/17/08	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	4/09	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
	e inspection was conducted at the Fort Walton concrete batch facility Tommy McCoy, to review the facility's general permit and facility	

The facility was in operation at the time of the inspection. No emissions were observed as trucks were being loaded. A manual spray bar is used to control emissions from the drop point to the mixer.

The facility emission units consist of one split silo for fly ash and cement. The silo is equipped with a bag house for each side to control emissions. The bag houses are visually inspected three times per week and visual emission test are being conducted annually as required by permit. The last visual emission test was conducted on October 24, 2007. Results of the test indicate that no visual emissions were observed during the thirty minute test. The next visual emission test is due before October 24, 2008.

Unconfined emission sources at the facility include roads, parking areas and stock piles. Reasonable precautions must be implemented to control unconfined emissions. Currently, the facility uses the application of water on stock piles when necessary to control unconfined emissions. The road leading to the plant is dirt and a possible source of excess emissions. Drivers must adhere to the 5 mph speed limit sign is posted at the entrance to the facility and water should be applied as needed to control dust.