

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0610089 DATE: 11/17/2011 ARRIVE: 11:30 DEPART	: <u>11:40</u>					
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX/VERO BEACH						
FACILITY LOCATION: 500 2ND ST SW						
VERO BEACH 32962-3640						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Mr. Scott Morgan PHONE: Email: Morgan, Scott C. [SCMorgan@cemexusa.com] Mobile: 5612622324 CONTACT NAME: PHONE: Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/25/2004 / 11/25/2009 Facility may be operating without Entitlement! (effective date) (end date)						
Facility Section						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes: FACILITY APPEARS TO BE CLOSED	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still ? If no, who is?:	- YesNo					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?						

Emissions Unit Section 1 -Concrete Batch Plant with 3 Silos subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check only or box for each question	
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes N	10 10 10
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ only or box for each question	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncor emissions by: 	nfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the stock piles and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes N	10 10
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	of	10 10
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes N	10
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		10 10

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check v box for each	only one question)	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		□ No	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		☐ No	
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	☐ No☐ No☐ No☐ No☐ No	
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared		0?	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No	
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	□ No	
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	_	□ No	
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	🗌 Yes	\square No	

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ c	•
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both st concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If</i>		uestion)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifical 	orior to changing location? Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification	ss days following a relocation? Yes tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate at	ir construction or air operation permit,	∐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose?		☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?	\ Yes	□ No
If 1 ES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		□ N0
CHANGES	(Januaria)	1
	(check ☑ c box for each q	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of	istrative change at the facility? Yes	⊠ No □ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	⊠ No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		⊠ No ⊠ No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated. A change in ownership?	Yes	⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registratio 30 days prior to the change?		☐ No
John Vigliotti	11/17/2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: Facility Appeared to be closed at the time of this inpection. Florida Department of Environmental Protection ("Department") representative John Vigliotti, Engineering Specialists, contacted Mr. Scott morgan, Plant representative, of Cemex Ready-Mix Plant of Vero Beach ("Company") at its facility located at 500 2nd St. Vero Beach, Florida 32960. Mr. Vigliotti explained that the Department is conducting a baseline inspection and providing compliance assistance. The facility has been subject to the following rules: Method 9 V.E. testing Rule No. 62-296.413(2), F.A.C.;(thirty Min.), with a minuimum Silo Rate of 25 Tons/Hr. During Loading. Rule 62-210.300(3) F.A.C. (Rolling 12- Month fuel consumption). Rule 62-296.414(2) F.A.C. (Unconfined Field Emissions). Conversation with mr. Scott Morgan, he stated that the facility is currently idle and that it is expected to be re-opened at a later date. Facility status reported to permitting Department for evaluation.